arXiv:math/0612549v2 [math.AT] 1 Aug 2008

# Two-Categorical Bundles and Their Classifying Spaces

Nils A. Baas, Marcel Bökstedt and Tore August Kro*

August 1, 2008

**Abstract**

For a 2-category $2\mathcal{C}$ we associate a notion of a principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundle. In case of the 2-category of 2-vector spaces in the sense of M.M. Kapranov and V.A. Voevodsky this gives the the 2-vector bundles of N.A. Baas, B.I. Dundas and J. Rognes. Our main result says that the geometric nerve of a good 2-category is a classifying space for the associated principal 2-bundles. In the process of proving this we develop a lot of powerful machinery which may be useful in further studies of 2-categorical topology. As a corollary we get a new proof of the classification of principal bundles. A calculation based on the main theorem shows that the principal 2-bundles associated to the 2-category of 2-vector spaces in the sense of J.C. Baez and A.S. Crans split, up to concordance, as two copies of ordinary vector bundles. When $2\mathcal{C}$ is a cobordism type 2-category we get a new notion of cobordism-bundles which turns out to be classified by the Madsen-Weiss spaces.

## 1 Introduction and main result

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce a general notion of bundles associated to topological 2-categories, and classify these. This encompasses the notion of 2-vector bundles developed in [3].

In this paper we mean by a 2-category what is sometimes called a bicategory [6], or a weak 2-category, i.e. where the associativity conditions of the horizontal composition is relaxed. We specify strict 2-categories when we need them.

The key idea behind principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundles is to categorify the transition data description of a principal $G$-bundle by formally replacing the group $G$ with a 2-category $2\mathcal{C}$. Given an ordered open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of a space, we associate objects in $2\mathcal{C}$ to points in each $U_\alpha$ and 1-morphisms to points in each double intersection $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Instead of the ordinary cocycle condition, we associate

---

*The first and third author gratefully acknowledge support by the Institute Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweeden).

2-morphisms to points in each triple intersection. These are subject to a higher cocycle condition on each quadruple intersection.

A 2-category has a geometric nerve, $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$, which is a simplicial set, [10]. There are obvious generalizations of these nerves to topological 2-categories, which produce simplicial spaces. A principal 2-bundle is the same as a simplicial map from the ordered Čech complex, $U_\bullet$, of the ordered open cover to the geometric nerve of the 2-category. Alternatively, one may describe a principal 2-bundle as a 2-functor from a certain 2-category into $2\mathcal{C}$.

Two principal 2-bundles over $X$ are concordant if they are the restriction to $X \times \{0,1\}$ of some principal 2-bundle over $X \times I$. This is an equivalence relation, and our aim is to determine the set of concordance classes. We restrict to base spaces $X$ having the homotopy type of a CW complex. A space $B$ is said to be a classifying space if there is a bijection between principal 2-bundles over such $X$ and homotopy classes of maps from $X$ into $B$. Our main theorem, which is a 2-categorical answer to M. Weiss' question, [32], "What does the classifying space of a category classify?", says:

**Theorem 1.1** *The realization of the geometric nerve of a good topological* 2*-category* $2\mathcal{C}$ *is a classifying space for principal* $2\mathcal{C}$*-bundles.*

We get the homotopy class in $[X, |\Delta 2\mathcal{C}|]$ corresponding to a principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundle $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ by taking geometric realization. The adjective "good" concerns the topology of $2\mathcal{C}$, see Definition 5.5, and this condition is satisfied whenever the total space of 2-morphisms is a CW complex and all source-target maps are Hurewicz fibrations, see Theorem 5.6.

In [3] Baas, Dundas and Rognes introduce 2-vector bundles and define an associated second order $K$-theory. The representing spectrum of this cohomology theory is $K(ku)$, see [3, 2], and it qualifies as a form of elliptic cohomology theory since the chromatic filtration essentially is 2, see [1]. We recover in Example 3.3 the notion of 2-vector bundles as the principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundles for a suitable $2\mathcal{C}$ defined in the spirit of Kapranov and Voevodskys 2-vector spaces.

A similar construction based on Baez and Crans version of 2-vector spaces [4] leads to a cohomology theory which is two copies of ordinary $K$-theory, and hence not a form of elliptic cohomology, see Conclusion 3.11.

The last four sections are more technical. They deal with facts which are used in the proof of the main theorem. In Section 4 we study the following problem. Suppose that $Z_\bullet$ is a simplicial space. By applying the simplicial functor degreewise, we get a bisimplicial set. Let us diagonalize this bisimplicial set. What are reasonable conditions on $Z_\bullet$ so that this diagonal simplicial set has the Kan property? The answer to this question, Theorem 4.4, is a topological Kan condition.

In Section 5 we provide criteria for what we think a good and sufficiently fibrant topological 2-category $2\mathcal{C}$ should be, i.e. we ask when the geometric nerve $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ is a good simplicial space and when it satisfies the topological Kan condition.

In Section 6 we generalize the concept of concordance classes by replacing the geometric nerve of a topological category with an arbitrary simplicial space, $Z_\bullet$.

We give some general properties (homotopy invariance, exact sequence, gluing) for such generalized concordance classes. We also show how to replace arbitrary $Z_\bullet$ by another simplicial space $\tilde{Z}_\bullet$, satisfying the topological Kan condition, without changing the set of concordance classes. This relies on Quillen's small object argument.

In Section 7 we generalize the statement of Theorem 1.1, and prove that concordance classes of $Z_\bullet$-bundles are classified by $|Z_\bullet|$ provided that $Z_\bullet$ is a good simplicial space, Theorem 7.12.

## 2 Principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundles

In this section we define what a principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundle is. In our definition we specify transition data. However, there are two other, equivalent, definitions; either as a simplicial map from the ordered Čech complex to the geometric nerve, or as a continuous functor from a certain 2-category into $2\mathcal{C}$. We end the section with the definition of concordance and the restatement of our main theorem.

Our structure 2-category $2\mathcal{C}$ will be *topological*. This may be understood in at least two different ways, either as a 2-category enriched in spaces or as a 2-category internal in spaces. We take the latter, and the most general, point of view. Hence, misunderstanding our usage of the term topological 2-category will not lead to any mistakes. Discrete (or ordinary) 2-categories is the special case where the spaces of objects, 1- and 2-morphisms have the discrete topology.

We apply the following notation: $2\mathcal{C}_0$, $2\mathcal{C}_1$, and $2\mathcal{C}_2$ are the topological spaces of objects, 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms respectively. If $x, y \in 2\mathcal{C}_0$, then $2\mathcal{C}_1(x,y)$ is the space of 1-morphisms $x \to y$, while $2\mathcal{C}(x,y)$ is the topological category with 1-morphisms $x \to y$ as objects and 2-morphisms between these as morphisms. If $f, g \in 2\mathcal{C}_1(x,y)$, then $2\mathcal{C}_2(f,g)$ is the space of 2-morphisms $f \Rightarrow g$. We denote horizontal composition by $*$, whereas vertical composition (of 2-morphisms) is written by juxtaposition. The natural associativity, left and right unit coherence isomorphisms are denoted $\underline{\alpha}$, $\underline{\lambda}$, and $\underline{\rho}$ respectively.

As defined in [3], an *ordered open cover* $\mathcal{U}$ of a topological space $X$ consists of a family $\{U_\alpha\}$ of open subsets $U_\alpha \subseteq X$ indexed over a partially ordered set $\mathcal{I}$ such that the family cover $X$, i.e. $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} U_\alpha = X$, and whenever a finite intersection $U_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_k} = U_{\alpha_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{\alpha_k}$ is nonempty then the partial ordering of $\mathcal{I}$ restricts to a total ordering on $\{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$.

**Definition 2.1** *Let $X$ be a topological space, and let $2\mathcal{C}$ be a topological 2-category. A* principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundle *$\mathcal{E}$ over $X$ consists of an ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}$, indexed by $\mathcal{I}$, together with*

*(1) for each $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{I}$ a continuous map*

$$V_\alpha \colon U_\alpha \to 2\mathcal{C}_0,$$

*and*

*(2) for each $\alpha < \beta$ a continuous family of 1-morphisms*

$$E_{\alpha\beta}\colon\ U_{\alpha\beta} \to 2\mathcal{C}_1$$

*with source and target $V_\alpha \xrightarrow{E_{\alpha\beta}} V_\beta$, and*

*(3) for each $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$ a continuous family of 2-morphisms*

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\colon\ U_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \to 2\mathcal{C}_2$$

*with source and target $E_{\alpha\gamma} \overset{\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}}{\Rightarrow} E_{\beta\gamma} * E_{\alpha\beta}$, such that*

*(4) the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_{\gamma\delta} * (E_{\beta\gamma} * E_{\alpha\beta}) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\underline{\alpha}"] & & (E_{\gamma\delta} * E_{\beta\gamma}) * E_{\alpha\beta} \\
E_{\gamma\delta} * E_{\alpha\gamma} \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "E_{\gamma\delta} * \phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}"'] & E_{\alpha\delta} \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\phi_{\alpha\gamma\delta}"] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\phi_{\alpha\beta\delta}"'] & E_{\beta\delta} * E_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "\phi_{\beta\gamma\delta} * E_{\alpha\beta}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

*commutes over $U_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, for each chain $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \delta$ in $\mathcal{I}$. The 2-morphism $\underline{\alpha}$ is the natural associativity isomorphism of the 2-category $2\mathcal{C}$.*

In Section 3 we will give concrete examples of structure 2-categories giving rise to different types of principal 2-bundles. In particular, we recover the charted 2-vector bundles of [3] for a suitably chosen $2\mathcal{C}$. In the forthcoming examples, it is often possible to interpret $V_\alpha$, $E_{\alpha\beta}$, and $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ as bundles of objects, 1-morphisms, and 2-morphisms respectively.

Recall from [8, 10, 28], the notion of the geometric nerve $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ of a discrete 2-category $2\mathcal{C}$. The simplicial set $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ has 0-simplices the objects $x_0$ of $2\mathcal{C}$. The 1-simplices are the 1-morphisms $x_0 \xrightarrow{x_{01}} x_1$ of $2\mathcal{C}$, and the 2-simplices are triangles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & x_1 \arrow[dr, "x_{12}"] & \\
x_0 \arrow[ur, "x_{01}"] \arrow[rr, "x_{02}"'] & {} \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "x_{012}"'] & x_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $x_{012}$ is a 2-morphism $x_{02} \Rightarrow x_{12} * x_{01}$. For $n \geq 3$ the $n$-simplices are built from 2-simplices, such that for each subtetrahedron the obvious coherence condition for 2-morphisms is satisfied. The geometric nerve is 3-coskeletal, i.e. any simplex in the geometric nerve is uniquely determined by its 3-skeleton, see [5, Proposition 3.1]. The definition of the geometric nerve extends, in the obvious way, to the cases where $2\mathcal{C}$ is topological or simplicial, and then $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ becomes a simplicial space or a bisimplicial set respectively.

To an ordered cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $X$ we associate the Čech complex $\check{C}_\bullet$ and the ordered Čech complex $U_\bullet$. Their definitions are as follows: $\check{C}_\bullet$ and $U_\bullet$ are both

1-coskeletal simplicial spaces (and thus determined by their 0- and 1-simplices). We define $\check{C}_0$ and $\check{C}_1$ to be

$$\coprod_{\alpha} U_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \coprod_{\alpha,\beta} U_{\alpha\beta}$$

respectively. The the ordered Čech complex $U_\bullet$ is defined as the sub-simplicial space of $\check{C}_\bullet$ having the same 0-simplices, but the 1-simplices being the disjoint union of all $U_{\alpha\beta}$'s with $\alpha \leq \beta$.

Let $|-|$ denote geometric realization. From [9, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.6] we have that the spaces $|\check{C}_\bullet|$ and $|U_\bullet|$ are weakly equivalent to $X$ via the natural maps.

With the geometric nerve, we get our first reformulation of the definition of a principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundle:

**Proposition 2.2** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundles subordinate to the ordered cover $\mathcal{U}$ and simplicial maps $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$.*

**Proof:** Let $\mathrm{tr}_3$ denote the functor truncating a simplicial space at its 3-skeleton. Since the geometric nerve is 3-coskeletal, a simplicial map $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ corresponds to its truncation $\mathrm{tr}_3 U_\bullet \to \mathrm{tr}_3 \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$. The ordered Čech complex has free degeneracies, [9, Definition A.4], hence the truncated map is uniquely given as maps from the non-degenerate 0-, 1-, 2-, and 3-simplices of $U_\bullet$ satisfying the face relations. These data are precisely what is written out in Definition 2.1 above. □

Below we will give two additional reformulations of Definition 2.1. The idea is to look at $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ and think about the geometric nerve as a right adjoint. To illustrate this we consider the 1-categorical example $U_\bullet \to N_\bullet\mathcal{C}$, where $N_\bullet\mathcal{C}$ is the nerve of the category $\mathcal{C}$.

Define $X_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ to be the topological category whose spaces of objects and morphisms are the disjoint unions

$$\coprod_{\alpha} U_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \coprod_{\alpha\leq\beta} U_{\alpha\beta}$$

respectively. Whenever some underlying point $x \in X$ is contained in $U_\alpha$ we write $x_\alpha$ for the object of $X_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ corresponding to this copy of $x$. Similarly, we denote a typical morphism of $X_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ by $x_{\alpha\beta}$. The source and target of $x_{\alpha\beta}$ are $x_\alpha$ and $x_\beta$ respectively, and composition is given by $x_{\beta\gamma}x_{\alpha\beta} = x_{\alpha\gamma}$.

Intuitively, $X_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ is the left adjoint of $N_\bullet$ applied to the ordered Čech complex $U_\bullet$. Hence, there is a one-to-one correspondence between simplicial maps $U_\bullet \to N_\bullet\mathcal{C}$ and continuous functors $X_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{ord}} \to \mathcal{C}$. Also observe that $N_\bullet X_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ is isomorphic to $U_\bullet$, so it follows that $|N_\bullet X_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{ord}}|$ is weakly equivalent to $X$ via the natural map.

**Remark 2.3** *The construction above is related to topological category $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ defined by Segal [25] as follows: To an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ indexed by an unordered set $\mathcal{I}$*

*we can associate a new open cover cover $\{U_S\}$, indexed over all finite non-empty subsets $S$ of $\mathcal{I}$. There is a partial ordering of finite subsets given by inclusion $R \subseteq S$. Even though $\{U_S\}$ does not satisfy the conditions defining "an ordered open covering" the construction of $X^{ord}_{\{U_S\}}$ is still well-defined. Moreover, the category $X^{ord}_{\{U_S\}}$ is equal to $X_{\mathcal{U}}$. Explicitly; the space of objects of $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ is the disjoint union of all $U_S$ and the space of morphisms is the disjoint union of $U_S$ over all pairs $R \subseteq S$. The source map forgets $R$, and the target map is induced from the inclusions $U_S \subseteq U_R$. By [9, Proposition 2.7], $|N_\bullet X_{\mathcal{U}}|$ is weakly equivalent to $X$ via the natural map.*

**Definition 2.4** *Let $2X^{norm}_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the topological $2$-category constructed from $X^{ord}_{\mathcal{U}}$ by adding identity $2$-morphisms only.*

We now get the following reformulation of the definition of a principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundle subordinate to an ordered cover $\mathcal{U}$:

**Proposition 2.5** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between simplicial maps $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ and continuous normalized colax $2$-functors $F\colon\ 2X^{norm}_{\mathcal{U}} \to 2\mathcal{C}$.*

**Proof:** Observe that $\Delta 2X^{\mathrm{norm}}_{\mathcal{U}} \cong N_\bullet X^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\mathcal{U}} \cong U_\bullet$. Hence, a continuous normalized colax 2-functor $F$ yields a simplicial map $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ by functorality of the geometric nerve construction.

To reconstruct $F$ from a simplicial map $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$, notice that the restrictions to 0- and 1-morphisms, $U_0 \to (\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_0$ and $U_1 \to (\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_1$, determine $F$ on objects and 1-morphisms respectively. Since $F$ is normalized, it takes identities to identities, and there are no non-identity 2-morphisms in $2X^{\mathrm{norm}}_{\mathcal{U}}$. The remaining data of the colax 2-functor $F$ are 2-cells

$$\phi\colon\ F(x_{\alpha\gamma}) \Rightarrow F(x_{\beta\gamma}) * F(x_{\alpha\beta}),$$

and we define these to be the value of $U_2 \to (\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_2$ on the 2-simplices $x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$.

The verification of the cocylce condition on 3-cells is omitted. □

If we restrict our attention to strict 2-functors, the left adjoint of $\Delta$ yields more complicated 2-categories. We define:

**Definition 2.6** *Define the topological $2$-category $2X_{\mathcal{U}}$ as follows: Let the space of objects, $(2X_{\mathcal{U}})_0$, be the disjoint union $\coprod U_\alpha$. A typical object is denoted $x_\alpha$. Let the space of $1$-morphisms be non-associatively freely generated by the disjoint union $\coprod_{\alpha<\beta} U_{\alpha\beta}$. A typical $1$-morphism is thus a parenthesized sequence of $x_{\alpha_{i-1}\alpha_i}$'s. For example if $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \delta < \epsilon$ and $x \in U_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon}$, then all the following expressions are different $1$-morphisms:*

$$x_{\alpha\beta}, \quad x_{\alpha\gamma}, \quad x_{\beta\gamma}{*}x_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (x_{\gamma\delta}{*}x_{\beta\gamma}){*}x_{\alpha\beta}, \quad x_{\gamma\delta}{*}(x_{\beta\gamma}{*}x_{\alpha\beta}), \quad (x_{\delta\epsilon}{*}x_{\gamma\delta}){*}(x_{\beta\gamma}{*}x_{\alpha\beta}).$$

*Observe that any $1$-morphism $f\colon\ x_{\alpha_0} \to x_{\alpha_k}$ has an associated chain $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_k$ of indices, thus $f$ is some parenthesizing of $x_{\alpha_{k-1}\alpha_k} * \cdots * x_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} * x_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}$.*

*The space of* 2*-morphisms* $(2X_{\mathcal{U}})_2$ *is defined as follows: for arbitrary* 1*-morphisms* $f\colon x_{\alpha_0} \to x_{\alpha_k}$ *and* $g\colon y_{\beta_0} \to y_{\beta_l}$ *there are either one or zero* 2*-morphisms* $f \Rightarrow g$*. If* $x = y$, $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$ *and* $\alpha_k = \beta_l$*, and the chain* $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_k$ *is a refinement of* $\beta_0 < \beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_l$*, then there exists a unique* 2*-morphism* $f \Rightarrow g$*. Otherwise, there is no* 2*-morphism* $f \Rightarrow g$*. Let* $x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ *denote the unique* 2*-morphism* $x_{\alpha\gamma} \Rightarrow x_{\beta\gamma} * x_{\alpha\beta}$*.*

This leads to the following reformulation of Definition 2.1:

**Proposition 2.7** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between simplicial maps* $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ *and continuous strict* 2*-functors* $F\colon 2X_{\mathcal{U}} \to 2\mathcal{C}$*.*

**Proof:** The proof is to inspect the definitions. Suppose first that we are given such a strict 2-functor $F$. Since $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ is 3-coskeletal, a map $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ is uniquely determined by the maps of 0-, 1-, 2-, and 3-simplices. Restricting $F$ to the objects, 1-morphisms, and 2-morphisms of the form $x_\alpha$, $x_{\alpha\beta}$, and $x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ we recover the maps $U_0 \to (\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_0$, $U_1 \to (\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_1$, and $U_2 \to (\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_2$ respectively. To get the map on 3-simplices, we need to verify the tetrahedron coherence condition of $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$. Take a 3-simplex of $U_\bullet$. It is represented by a point $x$ in $U_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$. Now inspect how $F$, in the following diagram, transforms the top, consisting of 2-morphisms in $2X_{\mathcal{U}}$, into the bottom, consisting of 2-morphisms in $2\mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & x_{\gamma\delta} * (x_{\beta\gamma} * x_{\alpha\beta}) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\alpha"] \arrow[ddd, rightsquigarrow, "F"] & & (x_{\gamma\delta} * x_{\beta\gamma}) * x_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[ddd, rightsquigarrow, "F"'] & \\
x_{\gamma\delta} * x_{\alpha\gamma} \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, "1*x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}"] \arrow[ddd, rightsquigarrow, "F"] & & & & x_{\beta\delta} * x_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[ul, Rightarrow, "x_{\beta\gamma\delta}*1"'] \arrow[ddd, rightsquigarrow, "F"'] \\
 & & x_{\alpha\delta} \arrow[ull, Rightarrow, "x_{\alpha\gamma\delta}"'] \arrow[urr, Rightarrow, "x_{\alpha\beta\delta}"] \arrow[ddd, rightsquigarrow, "F"'] & & \\
 & E_{\gamma\delta} * (E_{\beta\gamma} * E_{\alpha\beta}) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\alpha"] & & (E_{\gamma\delta} * E_{\beta\gamma}) * E_{\alpha\beta} & \\
E_{\gamma\delta} * E_{\alpha\gamma} \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, "1*\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}"'] & & & & E_{\beta\delta} * E_{\alpha\beta}. \arrow[ul, Rightarrow, "\phi_{\beta\gamma\delta}*1"] \\
 & & E_{\alpha\delta} \arrow[ull, Rightarrow, "\phi_{\alpha\gamma\delta}"] \arrow[urr, Rightarrow, "\phi_{\alpha\beta\delta}"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

This verifies the tetrahedron coherence condition.

Next, we consider the problem of reconstructing a strict 2-functor $F\colon 2X_{\mathcal{U}} \to 2\mathcal{C}$ from a simplical map $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$. The map on 0-, 1-, and 2-simplices determine $F$ on objects, 1-morphisms, and 2-morphisms of the form $x_\alpha$, $x_{\alpha\beta}$, and $x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. Now observe that $2X_{\mathcal{U}}$ is generated by such 1- and 2-morphisms, and the associators. Thus the strict functor $F$ is uniquely determined by the data above. We omit further details. $\square$

**Proposition 2.8** *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an ordered open cover of $X$. The corresponding ordered Čech complex $U_\bullet$ embeds as a simplicial deformation retract of $\Delta 2X_{\mathcal{U}}$, and consequently we get a homotopy equivalence $|\Delta 2X_{\mathcal{U}}| \simeq X$.*

We know that $U_\bullet \cong \Delta 2X_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{norm}}$. If $2X_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{norm}}$ and $2X_{\mathcal{U}}$ are biequivalent as 2-categories, then the result would follow from [29, Section 10]. However, a direct argument is not difficult:

**Proof:** Observe that $\Delta 2X_{\mathcal{U}}$ actually is 2-coskeletal, and we can write any $n$-simplex as a flag

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{\alpha_0} & f_{01} & f_{02} & f_{03} & \cdots & f_{0n} \\ & x_{\alpha_1} & f_{12} & f_{13} & \cdots & f_{1n} \\ & & x_{\alpha_2} & f_{23} & \cdots & f_{2n} \\ & & & x_{\alpha_3} & \cdots & f_{3n} \\ & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & x_{\alpha_n} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \ldots < \alpha_n$, $x \in U_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_n}$ and $f_{ij} \colon x_{\alpha_i} \to x_{\alpha_j}$ are 1-morphisms in $2X_{\mathcal{U}}$ such that for every $i < j < k$ there exists a 2-morphism $f_{ik} \Rightarrow f_{jk} * f_{ij}$. Define $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2X_{\mathcal{U}}$ by sending the $n$-simplex $x \in U_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}$ to the $n$-simplex in $\Delta 2X_{\mathcal{U}}$ given by $f_{ij} = x_{\alpha_i \alpha_j}$. Observe that this gives an inclusion, and we view $U_\bullet$ as a sub-simplicial space of $\Delta 2X_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Now we define a simplicial deformation retraction $h$ from $\Delta 2X_{\mathcal{U}}$ down to $U_\bullet$ by

$$h_k(f_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{\alpha_0} & \cdots & x_{\alpha_0 \alpha_{k-1}} & x_{\alpha_0 \alpha_k} & x_{\alpha_0 \alpha_k} & x_{\alpha_0 \alpha_{k+1}} & \cdots & x_{\alpha_0 \alpha_n} \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & x_{\alpha_{k-1}} & x_{\alpha_{k-1} \alpha_k} & x_{\alpha_{k-1} \alpha_k} & x_{\alpha_{k-1} \alpha_{k+1}} & \cdots & x_{\alpha_{k-1} \alpha_n} \\ & & & x_{\alpha_k} & 1_{x_{\alpha_k}} & x_{\alpha_k \alpha_{k+1}} & \cdots & x_{\alpha_k \alpha_n} \\ & & & & x_{\alpha_k} & f_{k\,k+1} & \cdots & f_{kn} \\ & & & & & x_{\alpha_{k+1}} & \cdots & f_{k+1\,n} \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & x_{\alpha_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

These maps $h_k \colon (\Delta 2X_{\mathcal{U}})_n \to (\Delta 2X_{\mathcal{U}})_{n+1}$, for $0 \leq k \leq n$, verify the usual identities for a simplicial deformation retraction. $\square$

Given a principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $X$ and a subspace $A$ of $X$ it is clear that we may restrict $\mathcal{E}$ to $A$. We denote the restriction by $\mathcal{E}|_A$. More generally, there is a pullback $f^*\mathcal{E}$ over $Y$, for every continuous map $f \colon Y \to X$.

We define equivalence of principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundles by concordance:

**Definition 2.9** *Two principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ over $X$ are* concordant *if there exists a principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $X \times I$ such that the restrictions $\mathcal{E}|_{X\times\{0\}}$ and $\mathcal{E}|_{X\times\{0\}}$ are $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ respectively.*

Concordance is an equivalence relation. The main theorem, Theorem 1.1, classifies the set of concordance classes of principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundles over $X$: under mild assumptions on $X$ and $2\mathcal{C}$, there is a bijection between concordance classes and homotopy classes of maps $X \to |\Delta 2\mathcal{C}|$.

**Remark 2.10** *There are other ways to define an equivalence relation between principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundles. In all the following cases one should allow elementary refinements of the cover, compare [3, Definition 2.4]. In addition one declares two principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$, subordinate to the same ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}$, to be equivalent if either*

- *i) there exists a natural transformation between the 2-functors $2X_{\mathcal{U}} \to 2\mathcal{C}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$,*
- *ii) there is an adjoint equivalence relating $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ in the 2-category of 2-functors, natural transformations and modifications, or*
- *iii) there is a simplical homotopy $U_\bullet \times \Delta^1_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ between the simplicial maps $U_\bullet \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ representing $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ respectively.*

*This list of possible equivalence relations is not exhaustive. Under what circumstances will this actually lead to a set of equivalence classes different from the concordance classes? Discussing this in detail would be beyond the scope of this article, but we believe that for compact $X$ and those $2\mathcal{C}$ that occur most commonly, the equivalences of type i)—iii) should all coincide with concordance.*

# 3 Examples

In this section we give several examples of structure 2-categories, their principal 2-bundles, and the corresponding classifying space. Let us start off by discussing a simple example which illuminates the fact that different categories can have the same concordance classes of principal bundles:

**Example 3.1 ((Complex line bundles))** *In this example we consider the more elementary setting of topological categories. In this case the nerve, $N_\bullet$, and the geometric nerve, $\Delta$, coincide, and we may use Quillen's Theorem A, [23], for calculations.*

*Let $U(1)$ be the topological group of complex numbers with modulus $1$. Needless to say, a principal bundle with structure group $U(1)$ corresponds to a complex line bundle. If we want to think about $U(1)$ as a topological category, it has only one object, $*$, and $U(1)$ is the space of morphisms. We may also think about the space $\mathbb{CP}^\infty$ as a category: The space of objects is $\mathbb{CP}^\infty$, and we add identity morphisms only. It is classical that maps $X \to \mathbb{CP}^\infty$ corresponds to a complex line bundle by pulling back the canonical line bundle.*

*There is an intermediate topological category, which we will denote by $\mathcal{CU}$. The space of objects is $\mathbb{CP}^\infty$. The morphisms $\mathcal{CU}(L, L')$ between two complex lines in $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ are the unitary linear transformations $f\colon L \to L'$. To topologize the total space of all morphisms we consider basis vectors $b$ and $b'$ of length $1$ for $L$ and $L'$ respectively, together with a point $c \in U(1)$. To the triple $(b, b', c)$ we associate the transformation $f\colon zb \mapsto zcb'$. Therefore $\mathcal{CU}_1$ is defined as the quotient of all such triples, where we identify $(b, b', c)$ with $(\theta b, \theta b', \theta^{-1}\theta' c)$ for all $\theta, \theta' \in U(1)$.*

*Fix a complex line $L_0$ in $\mathcal{CU}_0$. This gives an inclusion functor $i\colon U(1) \to \mathcal{CU}$ by viewing $c \in U(1)$ as an operator on $L_0$. For every object $L$ of $\mathcal{CU}$, the comma category $L\backslash i$ consists of terminal objects only, and hence $L\backslash i$ is contractible. By Quillen's Theorem A it follows that $i$ induces a weak equivalence*

$$BU(1) = |N_\bullet U(1)| \xrightarrow{\simeq} |N_\bullet \mathcal{CU}| = B\mathcal{CU}.$$

*There is also an inclusion $j\colon \mathbb{CP}^\infty \to \mathcal{CU}$ given as the identity map on objects. Let $L$ be an arbitrary object of $\mathcal{CU}$. Observe that all morphisms of the comma category $L\backslash j$ are identity morphisms, and that the space of objects can be identified with the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^\infty$. Consequently, $B(L\backslash j)$, which in this case is equal to the space of objects, is contractible, and by Quillen's Theorem A it follows that $j$ induces a weak equivalence*

$$\mathbb{CP}^\infty = |N_\bullet \mathbb{CP}^\infty| \xrightarrow{\simeq} |N_\bullet \mathcal{CU}| = B\mathcal{CU}.$$

**Example 3.2 ((Gerbes))** *Recall from [22, Section 3.1] the notion of a* local bundle gerbe*. This fits into our framework as follows. Let $2\mathcal{G}$ be the topological $2$-category with $2\mathcal{G}_0 = *$, $2\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathbb{CP}^\infty$, and interpreting $L, L' \in \mathbb{CP}^\infty$ as complex lines in $\mathbb{C}^\infty$, a $2$-morphism $f\colon L \Rightarrow L'$ is the same as a unitary linear transformation between these lines. Represent the lines as $L = [z_1, z_2, z_3, \ldots]$ and $L' = [z'_1, z'_2, z'_3, \ldots]$. Then we may write their tensor product as line represented by the collection of all products $z_i z'_j$, i.e. $L \otimes L' = [z_1z'_1, z_2z'_1, z_1z'_2, z_1z'_3, z_2z'_2, z_3z'_1, \ldots]$. This gives a map $\mathbb{CP}^\infty \times \mathbb{CP}^\infty \to \mathbb{CP}^\infty$, and which we take as the definition of horizontal composition of $1$-morphisms.*

*Now consider a principal $2\mathcal{G}$-bundle. Since $\mathbb{CP}^\infty$ classifies complex line bundles, we interpret $E_{\alpha\beta}$ as a complex line bundle over $U_{\alpha\beta}$. From this point of view, $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is a bundle isomorphism from $E_{\alpha\gamma}$ to $E_{\beta\gamma} \otimes E_{\alpha\beta}$, and it corresponds to the trivialization $\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ considered by [22]. There is a one-to-one correspondence between stable isomorphism classes of gerbes over $X$ and elements of the cohomology group $H^3(X;\mathbb{Z})$, see [22, Theorem 3.3]. Thus we write*

$$\mathrm{Gerbe}(X) = [X, K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)].$$

**Example 3.3 ((BDR 2-vector bundles))** *In order to classify the concordance classes of $2$-vector bundles it is sufficient to describe the corresponding structure $2$-category. By Theorem 1.1 the geometric nerve of the structure $2$-category classifies the concordance classes. Since this result depends only on transition data, the description of the fiber plays no role here.*

*Based on the* $2$*-vector spaces of [18], we define a topological* $2$*-category* $2\mathcal{KV}(n)$ *as follows: Take a single point* $*$ *as the space of objects, and let the* $1$*-morphisms be the space of* $n \times n$*-matrices of complex Grassmannians*

$$\coprod \big(\operatorname{Gr}(a_{ij})\big)_{i,j=1}^{n},$$

*where the disjoint union runs over all invertible* $n \times n$*-matrices* $(a_{ij})$ *consisting of non-negative integers. Thus a map* $E_{\alpha\beta}\colon U_{\alpha\beta} \to (2\mathcal{KV}(n))_1$ *defines an* $n \times n$*-matrix* $(E_{ij})_{\alpha\beta}$ *of complex vector bundles such that* $\det(\dim(E_{ij})_{\alpha\beta}) = \pm 1$*. The* $2$*-morphisms are defined as matrices of linear isomorphisms, and the composition,* $*$*, of* $1$*-morphisms is defined as reverse matrix multiplication using the exterior* $\otimes$ *of Grassmanians as product between matrix entries, and* $\oplus$ *as sum. This recovers the definition of* charted 2-vector bundles *given in [3]. Their composition* $\cdot$ *is dual to our* $*$*, and our* $\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ *is the inverse of their* $\phi^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$*. We write*

$$2\operatorname{Vect}_n(X) = [X, |\Delta 2\mathcal{KV}(n)|].$$

*This leads to a geometrically defined form of elliptic cohomology: Baas, Dundas and Rognes show in [3] how to define a kind of second order* $K$*-theory, namely the* $2K$*-theory of* $2$*-vector bundles. They conjectured that the representing spectrum was* $K(ku)$*, and this has been proved by Baas, Dundas, Richter and Rognes in [2]. Calculations by Ausoni and Rognes [1] show that this spectrum has telescopic complexity* $2$*, and hence it qualifies as a form of elliptic cohomology.*

**Example 3.4 ((String bundles))** *Let* $2\mathscr{S}$ *denote the surface* $2$*-category defined by U. Tillmann in [31, Section 2]. Its objects,* $1$*-morphisms, and* $2$*-morphisms are closed strings, oriented cobordisms, and their diffeomorphisms respectively. Define* string bundles *as principal* $2\mathscr{S}$*-bundles. The classifying space* $|\Delta 2\mathscr{S}|$ *is an infinite loop space, and it is related to the stabilized mapping class group of oriented surfaces,* $\Gamma_\infty$*, by the formula:*

$$\Omega|N_\bullet 2\mathscr{S}| \cong \mathbb{Z} \times B\Gamma_\infty^+,$$

*see [31, Theorem 3.1].*

*Let* $\mathbb{CP}^\infty_{-1}$ *denote the spectrum whose* $2k$*th space is the Thom space of the complex bundle complementary to the canonical complex line bundle over* $\mathbb{CP}^{k-1}$*. By I. Madsen and M. Weiss' proof of the generalized Mumford conjecture [21], the classifying space of string bundles is* $\Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbb{CP}^\infty_{-1}$*. In [14, Definition 5.1] S. Galatius, I. Madsen, U. Tillmann, and M. Weiss introduce a topological category* $\mathcal{C}_2^+$ *of embedded oriented surfaces. By their new proof of the generalized Mumford conjecture, the notion of principal* $\mathcal{C}_2^+$*-bundles coincides with the notion of string bundles, up to concordance. They also introduce the notation* $MTSO(2)$ *for the spectrum* $\mathbb{CP}^\infty_{-1}$*. To summarize, the concordance classes of string bundles are classified by* $\Omega^{\infty-1}MTSO(2) = \Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbb{CP}^\infty_{-1}$*, i.e.*

$$\operatorname{String}(X) = [X, \Omega^{\infty-1}MTSO(2)] = [X, \Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbb{CP}^\infty_{-1}].$$

**Example 3.5 ((Cobordism bundles))** *Extending the previous example, we now define $d$-*cobordism bundles *as principal $\mathcal{C}_d$-bundles, where $\mathcal{C}_d$ is the topological category of embedded $(d-1)$-manifolds and embedded cobordisms introduced in [14, Section 2.1]. Furthermore, let $MTO(d)$ be the spectrum whose $(n+d)$th space is the Thom spectrum of the bundle $U_{d,n}^{\perp}$ complementary to the canonical $d$-plane bundle over the Grassmannian of $d$-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{n+d}$. The main theorem of Galatius, Madsen, Tillmann, and Weiss shows that the classifying space of $d$-cobordism bundles is $\Omega^{\infty-1}MTO(d)$. There are variations of these constructions by placing various types of tangential structure on the cobordism category, e.g. orientation, etc. We write*

$$\mathrm{Cob}^d(X) = [X, \Omega^{\infty-1}MTO(d)].$$

**Example 3.6 ((Graph bundles))** *Let $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ denote the graph cobordism category defined by Galatius in [13, Section 3]. We define* graph bundles *as principal $\mathcal{C}_\infty$-bundles. On one hand, the classifying space $B\mathcal{C}_\infty$ is weakly equivalent to $\Omega^{\infty-1}S$, where $S$ is the sphere spectrum. On the other hand, there is a weak equivalence $\mathbb{Z} \times B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty^+ \simeq \Omega B\mathcal{C}_\infty$, where $\mathrm{Aut}_\infty$ is the automorphism group of the free group on $n$ generators as $n$ tends to infinity. For the concordance classes of graph bundles over $X$ we write*

$$\mathrm{Graph}(X) = [X, \Omega^{\infty-1}S].$$

**Example 3.7 ((BC 2-vector bundles))** *Let us now discuss choices of $2\mathcal{C}$ based on Baez and Crans' 2-vector spaces. There are numerous variations depending on how strictly the 1-morphisms should be equivalences, how much structure the 2-morphisms should contain, and how to choose the objects from 2-vector spaces within the same weak equivalence class. Let $b_1$ and $b_0$ be nonnegative integers and let $C_*$ be a 2-term chain complex of vector spaces.*

**i)** *Let $2\mathcal{B}_{strict}(C_*)$ be the 2-category with a single object $C_*$, the 1-morphisms are the chain isomorphisms $f\colon C_* \to C_*$, and the 2-morphisms $f \Rightarrow g$ are chain homotopies $\phi$ from $f$ to $g$.*

**ii)** *Let $2\mathcal{B}_{weak}(C_*)$ be the 2-category with a single object $C_*$, the 1-morphisms are the chain equivalences $f\colon C_* \to C_*$, and the 2-morphisms $f \Rightarrow g$ are chain homotopies $\phi$ from $f$ to $g$.*

**iii)** *Let $2\mathcal{B}_{eq}(C_*)$ be the 2-category with a single object $C_*$, the 1-morphisms are tuples $(f, \bar{f}, \iota_f, \epsilon_f)$, where $f$ and $\bar{f}$ are chain maps $C_* \to C_*$, and $\iota_f\colon 1 \Rightarrow f\bar{f}$ and $\epsilon_f\colon \bar{f}f \Rightarrow 1$ are chain homotopies, and the 2-morphisms $(f, \bar{f}, \iota_f, \epsilon_f) \Rightarrow (g, \bar{g}, \iota_g, \epsilon_g)$ are pairs of chain homotopies $\phi\colon f \Rightarrow g$ and $\bar{\phi}\colon \bar{f} \Rightarrow \bar{g}$ such that the following identities hold:*

$$\iota_g - \iota_f = f\bar{\phi} + \phi\bar{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_f - \epsilon_g = f\bar{\phi} + \phi\bar{g}.$$

**iv)** *Let $2\mathcal{B}_{ad}(C_*)$ be the 2-category with a single object $C_*$, the 1-morphisms are tuples $(f, \bar{f}, \iota_f, \epsilon_f)$, where $f$ and $\bar{f}$ are chain maps $C_* \to C_*$, and*

*$\iota_f\colon 1 \Rightarrow f\bar{f}$ and $\epsilon_f\colon \bar{f}f \Rightarrow 1$ are chain homotopies such that the zigzag identities*

$$f\epsilon_f + \iota_f f = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \epsilon_f \bar{f} + \bar{f}\epsilon_f = 0$$

*hold, and the 2-morphisms $(f, \bar{f}, \iota_f, \epsilon_f) \Rightarrow (g, \bar{g}, \iota_g, \epsilon_g)$ are pairs of chain homotopies $\phi\colon f \Rightarrow g$ and $\bar{\phi}\colon \bar{f} \Rightarrow \bar{g}$ such that the following identities hold:*

$$\iota_g - \iota_f = f\bar{\phi} + \phi\bar{g} \quad \textit{and} \quad \epsilon_f - \epsilon_g = f\bar{\phi} + \phi\bar{g}.$$

**v)** *Let $2\mathcal{B}_{weak}(b_1, b_0)$ be the 2-category with objects all 2-term chain complexes $C_*$ with Betti-numbers $b_0, b_1$, the 1-morphisms are the chain equivalences $f\colon C_* \to C'_*$, and the 2-morphisms $f \Rightarrow g$ are chain homotopies $\phi$ from $f$ to $g$.*

**vi)** *Let $2\mathcal{B}_{eq}(b_1, b_0)$ be the 2-category with objects all 2-term chain complexes $C_*$ with Betti-numbers $b_0, b_1$, the 1-morphisms are tuples $(f, \bar{f}, \iota_f, \epsilon_f)$, where $f\colon C_* \to C'_*$ and $\bar{f}\colon C'_* \to C_*$ are chain maps, and $\iota_f\colon 1 \Rightarrow f\bar{f}$ and $\epsilon_f\colon \bar{f}f \Rightarrow 1$ are chain homotopies, and the 2-morphisms $(f, \bar{f}, \iota_f, \epsilon_f) \Rightarrow (g, \bar{g}, \iota_g, \epsilon_g)$ are pairs of chain homotopies $\phi\colon f \Rightarrow g$ and $\bar{\phi}\colon \bar{f} \Rightarrow \bar{g}$ such that the following identities hold:*

$$\iota_g - \iota_f = f\bar{\phi} + \phi\bar{g} \quad \textit{and} \quad \epsilon_f - \epsilon_g = f\bar{\phi} + \phi\bar{g}.$$

**vii)** *Let $2\mathcal{B}_{ad}(b_1, b_0)$ be the 2-category with objects all 2-term chain complexes $C_*$ with Betti-numbers $b_0, b_1$, the 1-morphisms are tuples $(f, \bar{f}, \iota_f, \epsilon_f)$, where $f\colon C_* \to C'_*$ and $\bar{f}\colon C'_* \to C_*$ are chain maps, and $\iota_f\colon 1 \Rightarrow f\bar{f}$ and $\epsilon_f\colon \bar{f}f \Rightarrow 1$ are chain homotopies such that the zigzag identities*

$$f\epsilon_f + \iota_f f = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \epsilon_f \bar{f} + \bar{f}\epsilon_f = 0$$

*hold, and the 2-morphisms $(f, \bar{f}, \iota_f, \epsilon_f) \Rightarrow (g, \bar{g}, \iota_g, \epsilon_g)$ are pairs of chain homotopies $\phi\colon f \Rightarrow g$ and $\bar{\phi}\colon \bar{f} \Rightarrow \bar{g}$ such that the following identities hold:*

$$\iota_g - \iota_f = f\bar{\phi} + \phi\bar{g} \quad \textit{and} \quad \epsilon_f - \epsilon_g = f\bar{\phi} + \phi\bar{g}.$$

We will now calculate the classifying space in the case **v)** of the example above. Set $2\mathcal{B} = 2\mathcal{B}_{\text{weak}}(b_1, b_0)$. In order to study $2\mathcal{B}$ in more detail, we choose for each object $C_*$ isomorphisms

$$C_1 \cong H_1 \oplus B \quad \text{and} \quad C_0 \cong B \oplus H_0,$$

where $H_1$ and $H_0$ are complex vector spaces of complex dimension $b_1$ and $b_0$ respectively, and $B$ is an arbitrary complex vector space. Moreover, we can choose these isomorphisms such that the differential $d\colon C_1 \to C_0$ is identified with the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. A 1-morphism $f\colon C_* \to C'_*$ is then identified with a pair of matrices,

$$\begin{pmatrix} f^{H_1}_{H'_1} & f^{B}_{H'_1} \\ 0 & f^{B}_{B'} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} f^{B}_{B'} & f^{H_0}_{B'} \\ 0 & f^{H_0}_{H'_0} \end{pmatrix}$$

representing $f_1$ and $f_0$ respectively. Here the notation $f_{B'}^{H_1}$ indicates a linear map from $H_1$ to $B'$, etc. Observe that $f$ is a chain equivalence if and only if both $f_{H_1'}^{H_1}$ and $f_{H_0'}^{H_0}$ are invertible. A chain homotopy $\phi$ from $f$ to $g$ is a linear map $C_0 = B \oplus H_0 \to H_1' \oplus B' = C_1'$, and thus represented by a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{H_1'}^{B} & \phi_{H_1'}^{H_0} \\ \phi_{B'}^{B} & \phi_{B'}^{H_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

such that

$$\phi_{H_1'}^{B} = f_{H_1'}^{B} - g_{H_1'}^{B}, \quad \phi_{B'}^{B} = f_{B'}^{B} - g_{B'}^{B}, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{B'}^{H_0} = f_{B'}^{H_0} - g_{B'}^{H_0}.$$

Horizontal composition corresponds to matrix multiplication and vertical composition to addition of matrices.

Because $\phi_{H_1'}^{H_0}$ is arbitrary the space of chain homotopies between two fixed chain maps $f$ and $g$ admits an affine structure. This indicates that there are too many 2-morphisms in $2\mathcal{B}$. Therefore, define $2\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Ho}}$ as the quotient of $2\mathcal{B}$, where the objects and 1-morphisms are the same, and two 2-morphisms from $2\mathcal{B}$ are identified in the quotient if their source and target 1-morphisms are pairwise equal. Explicitly, the class of $\phi$ in $2\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Ho}}$ is represented by a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{H_1'}^{B} & * \\ \phi_{B'}^{B} & \phi_{B'}^{H_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where we do not care about the stuff in the upper right corner. Not unexpectedly, we get:

**Lemma 3.8** *The geometric nerves $\Delta 2\mathcal{B}$ and $\Delta 2\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Ho}}$ are weakly equivalent.*

**Proof:** Here is one way to show this: both 2-categories satisfies the assumtions **G1'**, **G2'**, **G3**, and **G4** of Section 5, and the canonical map $2\mathcal{B} \to 2\mathcal{B}^{Ho}$ is a continuous strict 2-functor. In order to apply Lemma 5.8, we fix chain compexes $C_*$ and $C_*'$, and compare nerves of morphism categories:

$$N_\bullet 2\mathcal{B}(C_*, C_*') \to N_\bullet 2\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Ho}}(C_*, C_*').$$

At each simplicial degree $k$, this map is a fiber bundle with fiber an affine space, namely a $(k-1)$-tuple of $\phi_{H_1}^{H_0}$s. Since the fiber is contractible, the map is a weak equivalence. $\square$

We finish the calculation by comparing $2\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Ho}}$ to the product of the complex general linear groups $GL(b_1)$ and $GL(b_0)$. We have 2-functors

$$GL(b_1) \times GL(b_0) \xrightarrow{i} 2\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Ho}} \xrightarrow{H} GL(b_1) \times GL(b_0),$$

where $i$ includes $GL(b_1) \times GL(b_0)$ as the automorphisms of the chain complex $\mathbb{C}^{b_1} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{C}^{b_0}$, and $H$ is homology of the chain complex $C_*$, i.e. we choose bases for $H_1$ and $H_0$ for each object of $2\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Ho}}$. For $\mathbb{C}^{b_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{b_0}$ we choose the standard bases. Observe that the composition $Hi$ is the identity on $GL(b_1) \times GL(b_0)$. We will now construct a normalized colax transformation $\sigma$ from the identity on $2\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Ho}}$ to the composition $iH$.

The choice of bases for $H_1$ and $H_0$ specifies projection maps $C_1 \cong H_1 \oplus B \to \mathbb{C}^{b_1}$ and $C_0 \cong B \oplus H_0 \to \mathbb{C}^{b_0}$. This yields for each chain complex $C_*$ a chain map $\sigma_{C_*}$ into $\mathbb{C}^{b_1} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{C}^{b_0}$. For a chain equivalence $f\colon C_* \to C'_*$ we observe that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_1 \oplus B \arrow[r, "\begin{pmatrix} f^{H_1}_{H'_1} & f^{B}_{H'_1} \\ 0 & f^{B}_{B'} \end{pmatrix}"] \arrow[d, "\sigma_{C_*}"] & H'_1 \oplus B' \arrow[d, "\sigma_{C'_*}"] \\
\mathbb{C}^{b_1} \arrow[r, "{[f^{H_1}_{H'_1}]}"] & \mathbb{C}^{b_1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

does not commute. Therefore we define $\sigma_f$ as the class of chain homotopies represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} f^{B}_{H'_1} & * \end{pmatrix}$. We leave it to the reader to verify that $\sigma$ is a normalized colax transformation.

**Remark 3.9** *To see why we need the intermediate* 2*-category* $2\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Ho}}$*, try to replace* $2\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Ho}}$ *with* $2\mathcal{B}$*, and then define* $\sigma$ *as above. In that case take* $\sigma_f = \begin{pmatrix} f^{B}_{H'_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$*. Given a pair of composable chain maps* $C_* \xrightarrow{f} C'_* \xrightarrow{g} C''_*$*, observe that, in general, we have* $\sigma_{gf} \neq g \circ \sigma_f + \sigma_g \circ f$ *in* $2\mathcal{B}$*. Hence* $\sigma$ *is not well-defined on* $2\mathcal{B}$*.*

We now apply the following standard technique:

**Lemma 3.10** *Let* $F$ *and* $G$ *be normalized colax* 2*-functors* $2\mathcal{C} \to 2\mathcal{D}$*. A normalized colax transformation* $\phi\colon F \to G$ *induces a homotopy between the induced maps* $|\Delta F|$ *and* $|\Delta G|$*.*

**Proof:** Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the category with two objects, 0 and 1, and one non-identity morphisms, $0 \to 1$. Our normalized colax transformation $\phi$ defines a 2-functor $2\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{I} \to 2\mathcal{D}$, which restricts to $F$ and $G$ over 0 and 1 respectively. Since the geometric nerve of $\mathcal{I}$ is an interval, we get our homotopy. □

This lemma immediately implies that the geometric nerve of $2\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Ho}}$ is weakly equivalent to the geometric nerve of $GL(b_1) \times GL(b_0)$. We arrive at:

**Conclusion 3.11** *The geometric nerve of* $2\mathcal{B} = 2\mathcal{B}_{weak}(b_1, b_0)$ *is weakly equivalent to the nerve* $N_\bullet GL(b_1) \times N_\bullet GL(b_0)$*. Hence, the corresponding Baez–Crans* 2*-vector bundles splits, up to concordance, as two copies of ordinary vector bundles. With little or no modification, similar calculations can be carried out for the other* 2*-categories of Example 3.7. In all cases the geometric nerve splits, up to weak equivalence, as* $N_\bullet GL(b_1) \times N_\bullet GL(b_0)$*. We can therefore conclude that* $2K$*-theories based on Baez–Crans* 2*-vector bundles are given as two copies of ordinary* $K$*-theory.*

# 4 The topological Kan condition

We consider a simplicial space $Z_\bullet$ with a topological Kan property. We apply the following notation: let $\Delta^n$ be the topological $n$-simplex, i.e. the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ consisting of tuples $(t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ such that each $t_i \geq 0$ and $\sum t_i = 1$. However, $\Delta^n_\bullet$ denotes the simplicial $n$-simplex, i.e. the simplicial set with $q$-simplices all order preserving maps $[q] \to [n]$. We define the *space of $k$-horns of dimension $n$* in the simplicial space $Z_\bullet$ to be

$$\begin{gathered}\Lambda^n_k(Z_\bullet) = \\ \{(z_0, \ldots, z_{k-1}, -, z_{k+1}, \ldots, z_n) \mid d_i(z_j) = d_{j-1}(z_i), i < j, i \neq k \neq j\} \\ \subset \underbrace{Z_{n-1} \times Z_{n-1} \times \cdots \times Z_{n-1}}_{n \text{ factors}}\end{gathered}$$

We give $\Lambda^n_k(Z_\bullet)$ the subspace topology. For our purposes, it seems more natural to associate this horn to the complementary set $I(k) = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1, k+1, \ldots n\}$. So we think of a $k$-horn as an $I(k)$-cohorn. If $I \subset [n] = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, we define the *space of $I$-cohorns* to be

$$\begin{gathered}\Lambda^n_I(Z_\bullet) = \\ \{(z_i)_{i \in I} \mid d_i(z_j) = d_{j-1}(z_i), i < j, i \in I \ni j\} \\ \subset \underbrace{Z_{n-1} \times Z_{n-1} \times \cdots \times Z_{n-1}}_{|I| \text{ factors}}\end{gathered}$$

Note that $\Lambda^n_k = \Lambda^n_{I(k)}$. The face maps $d_i \colon Z_n \to Z_{n-1}$, $i \neq k$ induces a canonical map $c^n_k \colon Z_n \to \Lambda^n_k(Z_\bullet)$, and more generally, for any $I \subset [n]$ we have a canonical map $c^n_I \colon Z_n \to \Lambda^n_I(Z_\bullet)$.

**Definition 4.1** *We say that $Z_\bullet$ satisfies the* discrete Kan condition *if the maps $c^n_k$ are surjective for all $n$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$. We say that $Z_\bullet$ satisfies the* topological Kan condition *if the maps $c^n_k$ are surjective Serre fibrations for all $n$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$.*

**Remark 4.2** *E.H. Brown and R.H. Szczarba consider in [7, Definition 2.1] a related notion of fibrancy.*

**Lemma 4.3** *If the simplicial space $Z_\bullet$ satisfies the topological Kan condition, then for all $n$ and every non-empty proper subset $I$ of $[n]$ the map $c^n_I$ is a surjective Serre fibration.*

**Proof:** The proof is by double induction. First by induction on $n$: assume that all $c^{n'}_{I'}$ are surjective Serre fibrations for $n' < n$ and every non-empty proper subset $I'$ of $[n']$. Next by downwards induction on $|I| = m$: assume that all $c^n_J$ are surjective Serre fibrations for all non-empty proper subsets $J$ of $[n]$ with $|J| > m$. This is true for $m = n-2$ by assumption, since the $J$'s in this case have the form $I(k)$ and $c^n_J = c^n_{I(k)} = c^n_k$. For the inductive step assume that $k \notin I$ and $J = I \cup \{k\}$, so that by the induction hypothesis $c^n_J$ is a surjective Serre fibration. Consider the canonical map which forgets $z_k$

$$r^J_I \colon \Lambda^n_J(Z_\bullet) \to \Lambda^n_I(Z_\bullet).$$

Then $c^n_I = r^J_I \circ c^n_J$. So it is enough to show that the map $r^J_I$ is a surjective Serre fibration.

By $\delta_k \colon [n-1] \to [n]$ we denote the injective map which omits $k$, thus the face map $d_k \colon Z_n \to Z_{n-1}$ is the map induced by $\delta_k$. Let the sequence $(z_i)_{i \in I}$ be a point in $\Lambda^n_I(Z_\bullet)$. Let $I' \subset [n-1]$ be the subset determined by $I = \delta_k(I')$. There is such a subset, since $k \notin I$. Moreover, $|I'| = |I|$ and the map $\delta_k \colon I' \to I$ is a bijection. For $i \in I'$ we define elements

$$y_i = \begin{cases} d_{k-1}(z_{\delta_k(i)}) = d_{k-1}(z_i) & \text{if } i \in I', \text{ and } i < k, \\ d_k(z_{\delta_k(i)}) = d_k(z_{i+1}) & \text{if } i \in I', \text{ and } k \leq i. \end{cases}$$

We claim that the sequence $(y_i)_{i \in I'}$ forms an $I'$-cohorn of dimension $(n-1)$ in $Z_\bullet$. This can be seen either by meditating on the geometry of the simplex, or more computationally in the following way: for $i, j \in I'$ and $i < j$, we have

$$d_i y_j = \begin{cases} d_i d_{k-1}(z_j) = d_{k-2} d_i(z_j) & i < j < k \\ d_i d_k(z_{j+1}) = d_{k-1} d_i(z_{j+1}) & i < k \leq j \\ d_i d_k(z_{j+1}) = d_k d_{i+1}(z_{j+1}) & k \leq i < j \end{cases}$$

and also, using that $(z_i)_{i \in I}$ is in the space of $I$-cohorns,

$$d_{j-1} y_i = \begin{cases} d_{j-1} d_{k-1}(z_i) = d_{k-2} d_{j-1}(z_i) = d_{k-2} d_i(z_j) & i < j \leq k \\ d_{j-1} d_{k-1}(z_i) = d_{k-1} d_j(z_i) = d_{k-1} d_i(z_{j+1}) & i < k < j \\ d_{j-1} d_k(z_{i+1}) = d_k d_j(z_{i+1}) = d_{i+1}(z_{j+1}) & k \leq i < j. \end{cases}$$

The association $(z_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto (y_i)_{i \in I'}$ defines a continuous map $P^I_k \colon \Lambda^n_I(Z_\bullet) \to \Lambda^{n-1}_{I'}(Z_\bullet)$. Since $k \in J$ there is also a continuous map $p_k \colon \Lambda^n_J(Z_\bullet) \to Z_{n-1}$ defined by picking out the appropriate component, i.e. we have $p_k((z_i)_{i \in J}) = z_k$. These maps fit into a diagram of spaces

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda^n_J(Z_\bullet) \arrow[r, "p_k"] \arrow[d, "r^J_I"] & Z_{n-1} \arrow[d, "c^{n-1}_{I'}"] \\
\Lambda^n_I(Z_\bullet) \arrow[r, "P^I_k"] & \Lambda^{n-1}_{I'}(Z_\bullet)
\end{tikzcd}$$

This is actually a pullback diagram. The right map is a surjective Serre fibration by the induction hypothesis of the first induction, so it follows that $r^J_I$ is also a surjective Serre fibration. $\square$

We can now prove the main theorem of this section:

**Theorem 4.4** *Let $Z_\bullet$ be a simplicial space. Assume that $Z_\bullet$ satisfies the topological Kan condition. Then the diagonal simplicial set $D_\bullet = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{Sing}_\bullet Z_\bullet)$ is a Kan complex, i.e. it satisfies the discrete Kan condition.*

**Proof:** We need to show that any horn in $D_\bullet$ can be filled. The Kan condition is always satisfied for $n = 1$, since a horn of dimension 1 is filled by the degeneracy map $s_0 \colon D_0 \to D_1$. So we assume without restriction that $n \geq 2$. A $k$-horn of dimension $n$ in $D_\bullet$ consists of a sequence of continuous maps

$$z_i \colon \Delta^{n-1} \to Z_{n-1} \qquad \text{defined for } 0 \leq i \leq n-1,\, i \neq k,$$

with the property that if $z_i$ and $z_j$ are defined and $i < j$, then the following two continuous maps agree:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\delta_i} \Delta^{n-1} \xrightarrow{z_j} Z_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_i} Z_{n-2}, \\ &\Delta^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\delta_{j-1}} \Delta^{n-1} \xrightarrow{z_i} Z_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{j-1}} Z_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, and in the rest of the proof, we abuse notation by not distinguishing between a map $[m] \to [n]$ and its affine extension to a continuous map $\Delta^m \to \Delta^n$ between the associated topological standard simplices. The way to visualize these $z_i$'s, is to consider the topological horn

$$\Lambda^n_k = \cup_{i \neq k} \delta_i(\Delta^{n-1}) \subset \Delta^n.$$

The domain of $z_i$ can be identified with the subset $\delta_i(\Delta^{n-1})$ of $\Lambda^n_k$. The maps corresponding to $z_i$ and $z_j$ do not necessarily agree on the intersection $\delta_i(\Delta^{n-1}) \cap \delta_j(\Delta^{n-1})$ of these subsets, but satisfy a more complicated compatibility condition.

*Main step.* We define a continuous map

$$y \colon \Lambda^n_k \to Z_n$$

such that for every $i \neq k$ we have that $z_i = d_i \circ y \circ \delta_i$. We define this map inductively. The induction is done over a sequence of subspaces of the skeleton of $\Lambda^n_k$, which we define now. By $v_i \in \Delta^n$ we denote the $i$'th vertex. Let $W_r$ be the union of all $r$-dimensional subsimplices of $\Delta^n$ which contain $v_k$. Then

$$\{v_k\} = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \ldots W_{n-1} = \Lambda^n_k.$$

*Start of induction.* $W_0$ is included in every subspace $\delta_i(\Delta^n)$, $i \neq k$, so there exists a sequence of vertices $\phi^i \in \Delta^{n-1}$, $i \neq k$, such that $\delta_i \phi^i = v_k$. Let $(b_i)_{i \neq k}$ be the sequence in $Z_{n-1}$ given by $b_i = z_i \phi^i$. Take $i < j$, $i \neq k \neq j$, then there exists a vertex $\psi \in \Delta^{n-2}$ such that $\delta_j \delta_i(\psi) = v_k$, hence $\phi^i = \delta_{j-1}(\psi)$, and $\phi^j = \delta_i(\psi)$. Now we can check that $b_i$ and $b_j$ satisfy

$$d_i b_j = d_i z_j \phi^j = d_i z_j \delta_i(\psi) = d_{j-1} z_i \delta_{j-1}(\psi) = d_{j-1} z_i \phi^i = d_{j-1} b_i.$$

Thus, $(b_i)_{i \neq k}$ lies in $\Lambda^n_k(Z_\bullet)$. By assumption the map $c^n_k$ is surjective, so we can find an element $a \in Z_n$ such that $d_i(a) = b_i$ for all $i \neq k$. We define $y$ on $W_0 = \{v_k\}$ by $y(v_k) = a \in Z_n$.

*Induction step.* Assume that we have defined $y\colon W_{r-1} \to Z_{n+1}$ such that for each $i \neq k$ we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_{r-1} \cap \delta_i(\Delta^{n-1}) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, hook] & W_{r-1} \arrow[r, "y"] & Z_n \arrow[d, "d_i"] \\
\Delta^{n-1} \arrow[rr, "z_i"] & & Z_{n-1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We want to extend $y$ to a map from $W_r$ with the same property. To define this extension, it is sufficient to define it on each $r$-simplex in $W_r$. Such a simplex is determined by an injective order preserving map $\tau\colon [r] \hookrightarrow [n]$ with the property that $\tau(k') = k$ for some $k'$ in $[r]$. Let $I \subset [n]$ be the complement of the image of $\tau$. Let us now translate the problem of extending the map $y$ to the simplex $\tau \subset W_r$, into a problem of constructing a map $y^\tau\colon \Delta_r \to Z_n$ with certain properties. Since $\tau$ is a general $r$-simplex of $W_r$ the collection of all these maps $y^\tau$ defines the extension of $y$ to $W_r$. We have to be careful about what the transformed problem is.

First, note that $\tau(\Delta^r) \cap W_{r-1} = \tau(\Lambda^r_{k'})$. Thus $y^\tau$ is already defined on $\Lambda^r_{k'}$ by induction.

There are two cases for the maps $z_i$. If $i \notin I$, then $\tau(\Delta^r) \cap \delta_i(\Delta^{n-1})$ is contained in $W_{r-1}$. So the $z_i$'s corresponding to these $i$'s do not give extra conditions on $y^\tau$, beyond that it has to be an extension of the already defined map $y^\tau \colon \Lambda^r_{k'} \to Z_n$. On the other hand, if $i \in I$, we do get an extra condition.

The image $\tau(\Delta^r)$ is included in every subspace $\delta_i(\Delta^n)$, $i \in I$, so there exists a sequence of injective order preserving maps $\phi^i\colon [r] \hookrightarrow [n-1]$, $i \in I$, such that $\delta_i \phi^i = \tau$. Let $(b_i)_{i \in I}$ be the sequence of maps $\Delta^r \to Z_{n-1}$ given by $b_i = z_i \phi^i$.

Take $i < j$, $i \notin I \not\ni j$, then there exists an injective order preserving map $\psi\colon [r] \hookrightarrow [n-2]$ such that $\delta_j \delta_i \psi = \tau$, hence $\phi^i = \delta_{j-1}\psi$, and $\phi^j = \delta_i \psi$. As before $b_i$ and $b_j$ satisfy

$$d_i b_j = d_i z_j \phi^j = d_i z_j \delta_i \psi = d_{j-1} z_i \delta_{j-1} \psi = d_{j-1} z_i \phi^i = d_{j-1} b_i.$$

Thus, the collection $(b_i)_{i \in I}$ gives a map $b\colon \Delta^r \to \Lambda^n_k(Z_\bullet)$. The extension problem we have to solve is the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda^r_{k'} \arrow[r, "y^\tau"] \arrow[d, hook] & Z_n \arrow[d, "c^n_I"] \\
\Delta^r \arrow[r, "b"] \arrow[ur, dashed] & \Lambda^n_I(Z_\bullet).
\end{tikzcd}$$

However, this is solvable, since the map $c^n_I$ is a Serre fibration by Lemma 4.3. This completes the induction step.

*Final step.* We have constructed a continuous map $y\colon \Lambda^n_k \to Z_n$ such that $z_i = d_i \circ y \circ \delta_i$ for every $i \neq k$. The composition of $y$ with a retraction $\Delta^n \to \Lambda^n_k$ fills the $k$-horn in $D_\bullet$ that we considered initially. □

**Remark 4.5** *A $k$-horn, $0 \leq k \leq 2$, in dimension 2 in $D_\bullet = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{Sing}_\bullet Z_\bullet)$ can be filled if $Z_\bullet$ satisfies the weaker condition that the three boundary maps $d_i\colon Z_2 \to Z_1$ are Serre fibrations, and that $Z_\bullet$ satisfies the discrete Kan extension condition in dimension 2.*

*To see this use the Kan extension property at the vertex $v_k$ to obtain a point in $Z_2$. Then use that $d_i\colon Z_2 \to Z_1$ is a Serre fibration to obtain a continuous map $y\colon \Lambda^k_2 \to Z_2$. Finally extend this to the topological 2-simplex.*

*In this case, we do not need Lemma 4.3.*

We end this section by giving a relativizing Theorem 4.4 in a special case:

**Corollary 4.6** *Let $Z_\bullet$ be a simplicial space, and $p_0\colon\ Z_0 \to B$ a map of topological spaces. Then the induced map* $\mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{Sing}_\bullet Z_\bullet) \to \mathrm{Sing}_\bullet X$*, extending $p_0$, is a Kan fibration provided that $p_0$ is a Serre fibration and $Z_\bullet$ satisfies the topological Kan condition.*

**Proof:** Consider a simplicial $n$-dimensional $k$-horn in $\mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{Sing}_\bullet Z_\bullet)$ together with a matching $n$-simplex in $\mathrm{Sing}_\bullet B$. We need to find an extension to an $n$-simplex in $\mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{Sing}_\bullet Z_\bullet)$. The main step of the proof of Theorem 4.4 transforms this problem into a lifting problem of spaces, namely

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda^n_k \arrow[r] \arrow[dd] & Z_n \arrow[dr, "s"] \arrow[dd] & \\
 & & Z_0. \arrow[dl, "p_0"] \\
\Delta^n \arrow[r] \arrow[uur, dotted] & B &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the degeneracy map $s\colon\ Z_n \to Z_0$ is a surjective Serre fibration by Lemma 4.3, and $p_0$ is a Serre fibration by assumption. Hence, the lifting exists. □

# 5 Good and sufficiently fibrant 2-groupoids

In this section we will give criteria on a topological 2-category $2\mathcal{C}$ to ensure that its geometric nerve $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ is good, and in the case $2\mathcal{C}$ is a topological 2-groupoid, we will give criteria that ensure that $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the topological Kan condition.

We will use the notion of a *locally equiconnected* (abbr. LEC) space $X$, i.e. the diagonal map $X \to X \times X$ is a closed cofibration. All CW-complexes are LEC spaces by E. Dyer and S. Eilenberg's adjunction theorem, see [12]. On the other hand, as a corollary of their Theorem II.7, we get:

**Theorem 5.1 ((Dyer, Eilenberg))** *Assume that $X$ is a LEC space, and $i\colon A \hookrightarrow X$ admits a retraction $r\colon X \to A$. Then $i$ is a closed cofibration, and $A$ is a LEC space.*

**Proof:** View $A$ as a subset of $X$. A *haloing function* for $A$ is a function $q\colon X \to I$ such that $q^{-1}(0) = A$. A *halo retract* $A$ of $X$ is a retract which admits a haloing function. By [12, Theorem II.7] the result above follows if we can find a haloing function for our retract $A$.

By Dyer and Eilenberg's characterization of LEC spaces $X$, their Theorem II.1, there exists a haloing function $k\colon X \times X \to I$ for the diagonal in $X \times X$. Now define $q\colon X \to I$ by the formula

$$q(x) = k(x, ir(x)).$$

Since $q(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in A$, we have constructed our haloing function for $A$. □

**Corollary 5.2** *A simplicial space $Z_\bullet$, where $Z_q$ is a LEC space for infinitely many $q$, is a good simplicial space.*

**Proof:** By downward induction on $q$ we assume that $Z_q$ is LEC. Any degeneracy $s_i\colon Z_{q-1} \to Z_q$ has a retract, namely $d_i$. Hence, $s_i$ is a closed cofibration and $Z_{q-1}$ is LEC. □

One basic tool to prove that a space is LEC is:

**Theorem 5.3 ((Heath, [15]))** *Assume that $X$, $B$ and $E$ are LEC spaces. Then the pullback of $X \xrightarrow{f} B \xleftarrow{p} E$ is a LEC space provided that $p$ is a Hurewicz fibration.*

For nerves of topological categories we now get the following:

**Corollary 5.4** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a topological category. If the total space of morphisms $\mathcal{C}_1$ is LEC and the source map $s\colon \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_0$ is a Hurewicz fibration, then $N_\bullet\mathcal{C}$ is a good simplicial space.*

**Proof:** The space of objects, $\mathcal{C}_0$, is LEC, since it is a retract of $\mathcal{C}_1$. We will prove by induction that $N_q\mathcal{C}$ is LEC, and the result then follows by Corollary 5.2. Observe that $N_{q+1}\mathcal{C}$ is given as the pullback of $N_q\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{v_q} \mathcal{C}_0 \xleftarrow{s} \mathcal{C}_1$, where $v_q$ is the last vertex map, $N_q\mathcal{C}$ is LEC by induction, and $s$ is a Hurewicz fibration by assumption. By Heath's theorem $N_{q+1}\mathcal{C}$ is also LEC. □

**Definition 5.5** *A topological* $2$*-category* $2\mathcal{C}$ *is called* good *if its geometric nerve* $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ *is a good simplicial space.*

Let us now list the criteria on a topological 2-category $2\mathcal{C}$ that together imply that $2\mathcal{C}$ is good:

**G1** The source map $s_1\colon\ 2\mathcal{C}_1 \to 2\mathcal{C}_0$ from 1-morphisms to objects is a Hurewicz fibration.

**G2** The target map $t_2\colon\ 2\mathcal{C}_2 \to 2\mathcal{C}_1$ from 2-morphisms to 1-morphisms is a Hurewicz fibration.

**G3** The total space of 2-morphisms, $2\mathcal{C}_2$, is LEC.

**G4** All 2-morphisms are isomorphisms vertically and the assignment $(-)^{-1}\colon\ 2\mathcal{C}_2 \to 2\mathcal{C}_2$ sending a 2-morphism to its vertical inverse is continuous.

The assumptions **G1**–**G3** exclude pathological 2-categories. All examples in Section 3 satisfy assumptions **G1**–**G4**.

**Theorem 5.6** *A topological* $2$*-category* $2\mathcal{C}$ *is good if it satisfies the assumptions* ***G1****,* ***G2****,* ***G3****, and* ***G4****.*

Before proving the theorem, we consider the following lemma which, under assumption **G4**, characterize $n$-simplices of $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$:

**Lemma 5.7** *Let* $2\mathcal{C}$ *be a topological* $2$*-category which satisfies* ***G4****. Then the space of* $n$*-simplexes* $(\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_n$ *is homeomorphic to the space of flags*

$$\begin{pmatrix}
x_0 & f_{01} & \phi_{012} & \phi_{013} & \phi_{014} & \phi_{015} & \cdots & \phi_{01n} \\
 & x_1 & f_{12} & \phi_{123} & \phi_{124} & \phi_{125} & \cdots & \phi_{12n} \\
 & & x_2 & f_{23} & \phi_{234} & \phi_{235} & \cdots & \phi_{23n} \\
 & & & x_3 & f_{34} & \phi_{345} & \cdots & \phi_{34n} \\
 & & & & x_4 & f_{45} & \cdots & \phi_{45n} \\
 & & & & & x_5 & \cdots & \phi_{56n} \\
 & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\
 & & & & & & & x_n
\end{pmatrix},$$

*where* $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$ *are objects of* $2\mathcal{C}$*, the* $f_{i\,i+1}\colon\ x_i \to x_{i+1}$ *are* $1$*-morphisms, and* $\phi_{i\,i+1\,j}\colon\ f_{ij} \Rightarrow f_{i+1\,j} * f_{i\,i+1}$*,* $i+1<j$*, are* $2$*-morphisms.*

**Proof:** The 1-morphisms $f_{ij}$ with $i+1<j$ are implicitly defined as the source of the 2-morphism $\phi_{i\,i+1\,j}$.

Given a flag of the form above, the only data of an $n$-simplex in $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ missing are the $\phi_{ijk}$ with $0 \leq i < j < k \leq n$ and $j \neq i+1$. We claim that these may be reconstructed continuously from the flag and the coherence condition of the geometric nerve.

Define the *valence* of the symbol $\phi_{ijk}$, $0 < i < j < k < n$, to be the difference $j - i$. Observe that the flag contains all $\phi_{ijk}$ of valence 1. A subtetrahedron, of the $n$-simplex under consideration, is determined by indices $0 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n$. Rewrite the coherence condition for such a subtetrahedron as the equation

$$\phi_{ikl} = (f_{kl} * \phi_{ijk})^{-1}\, \underline{\alpha}\, (\phi_{jkl} * f_{ij})\, \phi_{ijl}.$$

Here $(-)^{-1}$ is the inverse 2-morphism map, and $\underline{\alpha}$ is the natural associativity isomorphism. Observe that the valence of the 2-morphisms on the right hand side is strictly less than the valence of $\phi_{ikl}$. Inductively, we use this equation as a definition of $\phi_{ikl}$. A calculation is needed to check that $\phi_{ikl}$ does not depend on a particular choice of $j$. For $i = 0$, $k = 3$, and $l = 4$, inspect the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & f_{04} \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi_{014}"'] \\
 & & f_{14}*f_{01} \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi_{014}*f_{01}"'] \\
f_{24}*f_{02} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "f_{24}*\phi_{012}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi_{234}*f_{02}"] & f_{24}*(f_{12}*f_{01}) \arrow[r, Rightarrow] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi_{234}*(f_{12}*f_{01})"'] & (f_{24}*f_{12})*f_{01} \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "(\phi_{234}*f_{12})*f_{01}"'] \\
(f_{34}*f_{23})*f_{02} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(f_{34}*f_{23})*\phi_{012}"] & (f_{34}*f_{23})*(f_{12}*f_{01}) \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & ((f_{34}*f_{23})*f_{12})*f_{01} \\
f_{34}*(f_{23}*f_{02}) \arrow[u, Rightarrow] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "f_{34}*(f_{23}*\phi_{012})"] & f_{34}*(f_{23}*(f_{12}*f_{01})) \arrow[u, Rightarrow] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & \\
 & f_{34}*((f_{23}*f_{12})*f_{01}) \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & (f_{34}*(f_{23}*f_{12}))*f_{01} \arrow[uu, Rightarrow] \\
f_{34}*f_{03} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "f_{34}*\phi_{013}"] & f_{34}*(f_{13}*f_{01}) \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "f_{34}*(\phi_{123}*f_{01})"] \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & (f_{34}*f_{13})*f_{01}. \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "(f_{34}*\phi_{123})*f_{01}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The unmarked arrows are natural associativity isomorphisms. Going from $f_{04}$ to $f_{34} * f_{03}$ on the left side corresponds to defining $\phi_{034}$ with $j = 2$, while the composition on the right side corresponds to $j = 1$. Since the diagram commutes, the two possible definition agree. $\square$

**Proof:** [of theorem 5.6] It is enough to show that for all $n$ the space of $n$-simplices, $(\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_n$ is a LEC space.

By assumption **G3** it follows that $2\mathcal{C}_2$ is LEC. Observe that both $2\mathcal{C}_0$ and $2\mathcal{C}_1$ are retracts of $2\mathcal{C}_2$, where the inclusions are provided by identity maps and the retractions by source maps. Hence, $2\mathcal{C}_0$ and $2\mathcal{C}_1$ are also LEC spaces.

This provides the start of an induction on the simplicial degree $n$. Assume that $(\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_{n-1}$ is LEC. We can construct $(\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_n$ from the $(n-1)$-simplices by iterated pullback, i.e. we have a tower

$$(\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_n = P_n \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow P_1 \twoheadrightarrow P_0 = (\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_{n-1}.$$

The steps of the tower corresponds to the entries in the last column of the flag describing $n$-simplices. $P_1$ is the pullback of

$$P_0 \xrightarrow{x_{n-1}} 2\mathcal{C}_0 \overset{s_1}{\twoheadleftarrow} 2\mathcal{C}_1.$$

Here we adjoin the 1-morphism $f_{n-1\,n}$ along $x_{n-1}$. The map $s_1$ is a Hurewicz fibration by assumption **G1**. Hence $P_1$ is LEC by Theorem 5.3. The space $P_k$, $k > 1$, is the pullback of

$$P_{k-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-k+1,\,n} * f_{n-k,\,n-1+1}} 2\mathcal{C}_1 \overset{t_2}{\twoheadleftarrow} 2\mathcal{C}_2.$$

Here we adjoin the 2-morphisms $\phi_{n-k,\,n-k+1,\,n}$ along $f_{n-k+1,\,n} * f_{n-k,\,n-1+1}$. By assumption **G2**, $t_2$ is a Hurewicz fibration. So $P_k$ is LEC by Heath's theorem. $\square$

Let $2\mathcal{C}$ be a strict discrete 2-category. For each pair of objects $x, y$ in $2\mathcal{C}_0$ we have a morphism category $2\mathcal{C}(x, y)$. Applying the nerve to each of these morphism categories, we get a simplicial category $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}$. Apply the nerve construction again to produce a bisimplicial set $N_\bullet N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}$. Bullejos and Cegarra [8] prove that the geometric nerve $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ is naturally weakly equivalent to the double nerve $N_\bullet N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}$.

Inspired by this, we now want to study the geometric nerve of a strict topological 2-category $2\mathcal{C}$ via the nerves $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}(x, y)$ of its morphism categories. To do this we introduce $\mathrm{Ar}^{\|}(2\mathcal{C})$, the subspace of $2\mathcal{C}_1 \times 2\mathcal{C}_1$ consisting of a pair $(f, g)$ of parallel 1-morphisms, i.e. the source of $f$ is also the source of $g$ and the target of $f$ is also the target of $g$. Observe that we have a pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ar}^{\|}(2\mathcal{C}) \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "g"] & 2\mathcal{C}_1 \arrow[d, "{(s_1,t_1)}"] \\
2\mathcal{C}_1 \arrow[r, "{(s_1,t_1)}"] & 2\mathcal{C}_0 \times 2\mathcal{C}_0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Next we strengthen the assumptions **G1** and **G2** as follows:

**G1'** The source-target map of 1-morphisms, $2\mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{(s_1,t_1)} 2\mathcal{C}_0 \times 2\mathcal{C}_0$, is a Hurewicz fibration.

**G2'** The source-target map of 2-morphisms, $2\mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{(s_2,t_2)} \mathrm{Ar}^{\|}(2\mathcal{C})$, is a Hurewicz fibration.

Together with **G3** and **G4**, these assumptions simplifies comparison of two geometric nerves of strict 2-categories:

**Lemma 5.8** *Let $2\mathcal{C}$ and $2\mathcal{D}$ be strict topological 2-categories that satisty the assumptions **G1'**, **G2'**, **G3** and **G4**. Furthemore, let $F\colon 2\mathcal{C} \to 2\mathcal{D}$ be a continuous strict 2-functor. If the map on objects $F_0\colon 2\mathcal{C}_0 \to 2\mathcal{D}_0$ is a weak equivalence, and for each pair of objects $x, y \in 2\mathcal{C}_0$ the induced map between the nerves of morphism categories $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}(x,y) \to N_\bullet 2\mathcal{D}(F(x), F(y))$ is a weak equivalence, then $F$ induces a weak equivalence of the geometric nerves, $\Delta 2\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Delta 2\mathcal{D}$.*

**Proof:** We will prove the lemma via a series of claims:

*First claim.* There is a natural weak equivalence $|\Delta 2\mathcal{C}| \simeq |\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_\bullet N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}|$.

Since $2\mathcal{C}$ is strict, we may form the double nerve $N_\bullet N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}$, as well as the geometric nerve $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$. Because $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ is a good simplicial space, by Theorem 5.6, we have a weak equivalence $|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet \Delta 2\mathcal{C}| \simeq |\Delta 2\mathcal{C}|$. Notice that the functor $\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet$ commutes with nerve constructions. Fix a simplicial degree $k$ for the $\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet$-direction. Observe that $\operatorname{Sing}_k 2\mathcal{C}$ is a strict discrete 2-category. By Bullejos and Cegarra's theorem we get a natural weak equivalence

$$|\Delta \operatorname{Sing}_k 2\mathcal{C}| \simeq |N_\bullet N_\bullet \operatorname{Sing}_k 2\mathcal{C}|.$$

This proves the claim since a map between simplicial spaces, which in each simplicial degree is a weak equivalence, is itself a weak equivalence.

*Second claim.* The simplicial space $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}$ is good, and moreover, for each pair of objects $x$, $y$ in $2\mathcal{C}$ the simplicial space $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}(x,y)$ is good.

The simplicial space $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}$ is the nerve construction applied to the vertical composition of 2-morphisms. Thus $N_k 2\mathcal{C}$ is topologized as a subspace of the $k$-fold product $2\mathcal{C}_2 \times \cdots \times 2\mathcal{C}_2$. Taking the source and target object, we get a map $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C} \to 2\mathcal{C}_0 \times 2\mathcal{C}_0$, and $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}(x,y)$ denotes the fiber over the pair of objects $x, y$.

By Corollary 5.4 it is sufficient to check that $2\mathcal{C}_2$ is LEC and the source map $s_2\colon 2\mathcal{C}_2 \to 2\mathcal{C}_1$ is a Hurewicz fibration. The former is assumption **G3**, while the latter holds because of **G1'** and **G2'**.

Since the source-target map $2\mathcal{C}_1 \to 2\mathcal{C}_0 \times 2\mathcal{C}_0$ is a Hurewicz fibration, **G1'**, it follows by Heath's theorem that the source map $2\mathcal{C}_2(x,y) \to 2\mathcal{C}_1(x,y)$ is a Hurewicz fibration between LEC spaces, for every pair of objects $x, y$ in $2\mathcal{C}_0$. Thus $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}(x,y)$ also is good.

*Third claim.* The map $|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}| \to |\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet(2\mathcal{C}_0 \times 2\mathcal{C}_0)|$ is a Serre fibration with fiber $|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}(x,y)|$ over the path component corresponding to $(x,y)$ in $2\mathcal{C}_0 \times 2\mathcal{C}_0$.

Given that the map is a Serre fibration, the statement about the fiber is obvious. Since the geometric realization of a Kan fibration is a Serre fibration, we can use Corollary 4.6. Hence, we need to show that the map $p_0\colon N_0 2\mathcal{C} \to 2\mathcal{C}_0 \times 2\mathcal{C}_0$ is Serre fibration, and that $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the topological Kan condition. Now observe that $p_0$ is the source-target map $2\mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{(s_1,t_1)} 2\mathcal{C}_0 \times 2\mathcal{C}_0$, whence a Serre fibration by **G1'**.

The maps $c^1_k\colon N_1 2\mathcal{C} \to \Lambda^1_k(N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C})$ are for $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ the target and source maps $t_2, s_2\colon 2\mathcal{C}_2 \to 2\mathcal{C}_1$. These maps are Serre fibrations by **G1'** and **G2'**, and they are surjective by the vertical identity map $2\mathcal{C}_1 \to 2\mathcal{C}_2$.

The maps $c_k^2\colon N_2 2\mathcal{C} \to \Lambda_k^2(N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C})$ are homeomorphisms by **G4**, while the maps $c_k^n$, for $n \geq 3$, are homeomorphisms because the nerve is 2-coskeletal.

*Fourth claim.* For each $k$ the map $|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_k N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}| \to |\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}_0^{\times(k+1)}|$ is a Serre fibration with fiber $|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}(x_0, x_1)| \times \cdots \times |\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}(x_{k-1}, x_k)|$ over the path component corresponding to $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ in $2\mathcal{C}_0^{\times(k+1)}$.

Under consideration here is a category which has $2\mathcal{C}_0$ as its space of objects and where the collection of 1-morphisms forms a simplicial space $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}$. We denote this category by its morphisms, namely $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}$. Observe that the horizontal composition in $2\mathcal{C}$ induces the composition in $N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}$.

Since both $\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet$ and $|-|$ commutes with finite limits, the following diagram is pullback

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_{k+1} N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}|} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, two heads] & {|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_k N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}| \times |\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}|} \arrow[d, two heads] \\
{|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}_0^{\times(k+2)}|} \arrow[r] & {|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}_0^{\times(k+1)}| \times |\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet(2\mathcal{C}_0 \times 2\mathcal{C}_0)|.}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The claim now follows by induction on $k$.

*Final claim.* The map $|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_\bullet N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}| \to |\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_\bullet N_\bullet 2\mathcal{D}|$ is a weak equivalence.

Observe that the previous claims applies to $2\mathcal{D}$ as well. Fix a simplicial degree $k$ for the nerve corresponding to horizontal composition. Let $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k$ be any choice of objects in $2\mathcal{C}$, and set $y_i = F(x_i) \in 2\mathcal{D}_0$. We get a map of fiber sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_\bullet\left(2\mathcal{C}(x_0, x_1) \times \cdots \times 2\mathcal{C}(x_{k-1}, x_k)\right)|} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_\bullet\left(2\mathcal{D}(y_0, y_1) \times \cdots \times 2\mathcal{D}(y_{k-1}, y_k)\right)|} \arrow[d] \\
{|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_k N_\bullet 2\mathcal{C}|} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet N_k N_\bullet 2\mathcal{D}|} \arrow[d] \\
2\mathcal{C}_0^{\times(k+1)} \arrow[r] & 2\mathcal{D}_0^{\times(k+1)}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By the assumptions of the lemma, and the second claim, the top and bottom maps are weak equivalences, and it follows that the middle map is a weak equivalence. Since this holds for all $k$, the final claim holds.

Together the first and the final claim proves the lemma. $\square$

We will now give criteria on $2\mathcal{C}$ to ensure that $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the topological Kan condition. Recall from [10] that a 2-groupoid is a 2-category whose 2-morphisms are isomorphisms, and whose 1-morphisms $x_0 \xrightarrow{f} x_1$ induce equivalences of categories, internally in $2\mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
- * f &\colon 2\mathcal{C}(x_1, y) \to 2\mathcal{C}(x_0, y), \\
f * - &\colon 2\mathcal{C}(y, x_0) \to 2\mathcal{C}(y, x_1).
\end{aligned}$$

We need to make the equivalence of categories continuous. We first remark that an equivalence of categories is the same as a pair of adjoint equivalences. For this and for detail about adjoint functors, see [19, Chapter IV].

Our approach is to define a space $\mathrm{AdEq}(2\mathcal{C})$ whose points are tuples $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ consisting of 1-morphisms $f\colon x_0 \to x_1$ and $g\colon x_1 \to x_0$ together with 2-morphisms $\eta\colon id_{x_0} \Rightarrow g * f$ and $\epsilon\colon f * g \Rightarrow id_{x_1}$ such that $\eta$ and $\epsilon$ are isomorphisms which satisfy

$$(\epsilon * f)(f * \eta) = id_f \quad \text{and} \quad (g * \epsilon)(\eta * g) = id_g.$$

We give $\mathrm{AdEq}(2\mathcal{C})$ the subspace topology with respect to the inclusion into $2\mathcal{C}_1 \times 2\mathcal{C}_1 \times 2\mathcal{C}_2 \times 2\mathcal{C}_2$. Thus the map $\pi\colon\ \mathrm{AdEq}(2\mathcal{C}) \to 2\mathcal{C}_1$ sending $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ to $f$ is continuous.

We arrive at the following reasonable set of fibrancy conditions:

**SF1** $2\mathcal{C}$ is a topological 2-groupoid.

**SF2** The source and target maps for the 1-morphisms are Serre fibrations.

**SF3** The maps from $2\mathcal{C}_2$ to $2\mathcal{C}_1$ given by the source and by the target of 2-morphisms are both Serre fibrations.

**SF4** The assignment sending a 2-morphism to its vertical inverse is continuous.

**SF5** The map $\pi\colon\ \mathrm{AdEq}(2\mathcal{C}) \to 2\mathcal{C}_1$ is a Serre fibration.

**Lemma 5.9** *Assume that $2\mathcal{C}$ is a topological 2-category satisfying **SF1**, **SF4**, and **SF5**. Let $\psi\colon D^n \to 2\mathcal{C}_2$ and $f, h_s, h_t\colon D^n \to 2\mathcal{C}_1$ be maps such that the target of $f$ is the source of both $h_s$ and $h_t$, and $\psi\colon h_s * f \Rightarrow h_t * f$. Then there exists a unique map $\phi\colon D^n \to 2\mathcal{C}_2$ such that*

$$\phi * f = \psi$$

*for all points in $D^n$. Similarly, if $\psi\colon D^n \to 2\mathcal{C}_2$ and $f', h'_s, h'_t\colon D^n \to 2\mathcal{C}_1$ are such that the source of $f$ is equal to the target of both $h'_s$ and $h'_t$, and $\psi\colon f' * h'_s \Rightarrow f' * h'_t$, then there is a unique $\phi'\colon D^n \to 2\mathcal{C}_2$ such that $f' * \phi' = \psi$.*

**Proof:** We prove the first part, the last statement is dual. We view $\phi * f = \psi$ as an equation parameterized over $D^n$, where $f$ and $\psi$ are known, and $\phi$ is the unknown to be solved for.

By the assumptions **SF1** and **SF5** there are maps $g\colon D^n \to 2\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\eta, \epsilon\colon D^n \to 2\mathcal{C}_2$ such that $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ is an adjoint equivalence for all points in $D^n$. The following commutative diagram shows that $\phi$ can be expressed uniquely in terms of $\psi * g = (\phi * f) * g$, the adjoint equivalence, and other known quantities:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(h_s * f) * g \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\psi * g"'] & h_s * (f * g) \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\alpha"'] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "h_s * \epsilon"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi * (f * g)"] & h_s * id \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi * id"] & h_s \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi"] \\
(h_t * f) * g & h_t * (f * g) \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\alpha"'] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "h_t * \epsilon"] & h_t * id \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho"] & h_t.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\rho}$ denote the natural associativity isomorphism and natural right unit isomorphism of $2\mathcal{C}$ respectively. Also, when writing out the expression for $\phi$, we observe that assumption **SF4** is used to invert some 2-morphisms. This completes the proof. $\square$

We made the definition above because of the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.10** *If **SF1**, **SF2**, **SF3**, **SF4**, and **SF5** holds for a topological 2-category $2\mathcal{C}$, then the geometric nerve $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the topological Kan condition.*

**Proof:** We have to show that all fill-horn-maps

$$c_k \colon (\Delta 2\mathcal{C})_n \to \Lambda^k_n(\Delta 2\mathcal{C})$$

are surjective Serre fibrations. By [10, Theorem 8.6] all maps $c_k$ are surjective. It remains to show that they are Serre fibrations. Since $\Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ is 3-coskeletal, the $c_k$s are homeomorphisms in dimensions $n > 3$. For $n = 1$ the fill-horn-maps $c_0$ and $c_1$ are the source and target maps $2\mathcal{C}_1 \to 2\mathcal{C}_0$, which are Serre fibrations by condition **SF2**.

For $n = 3$ there are essentially two different cases to consider. For $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ the fill-horn-map $c_k$ asks us to solve the coherence equation

$$(\phi_{123} * f_{01})\phi_{013} = \underline{\alpha}(f_{23} * \phi_{012})\phi_{023}$$

with respect to $\phi_{023}$ and $\phi_{013}$ given all other 1- and 2-morphisms. This can be done continuously using assumption **SF4** alone. Hence $c_1$ and $c_2$ are homeomorphisms. Next consider the case $k = 0$. In order to show that $c_0$ is a Serre fibration we view the coherence condition above as an equation, parameterized over some disk $D^m \times I$, and with $\phi_{123}$ as the unknown. We want to extend a solution $\phi_{123} \colon D^m \times \{0\} \to 2\mathcal{C}_2$ to all parameters $D^m \times I$. Using the continuous inverse we reduce to a parameterized equation of the form $\phi * f = \psi$. This has a unique solution by Lemma 5.9. The case $k = 3$ is similar.

The rest of the proof concerns the case $n = 2$, i.e. we are trying to find lifts for all diagrams of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D^m \times \{0\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \Delta(2\mathcal{C})_2 \arrow[d, "c_k"] \\
D^m \times I \arrow[r] \arrow[ur, dotted] & \Lambda^k_2(\Delta 2\mathcal{C}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

In the case $k = 1$ observe that the following diagram is pullback:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Delta(2\mathcal{C})_2 \arrow[r, "\phi_{012}"] \arrow[d, "c_1"] & 2\mathcal{C}_2 \arrow[d, "\text{target}"] \\
\Lambda^1_2(\Delta 2\mathcal{C}) \arrow[r, "f_{12} * f_{01}"] & 2\mathcal{C}_1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus $c_1$ is a Serre fibration by assumption **SF3**.

Next we consider the case $k = 0$. The map $D^m \times I \to \Lambda^0_2(\Delta 2\mathcal{C})$ corresponds to families of 1-morphisms $f_{01}$ and $f_{02}$, parametrized over $D^m \times I$, such that the source of $f_{01}$ equals the source of $f_{02}$. The map $D^m \to \Delta 2\mathcal{C}_2$ corresponds to two additional maps $f_{12}\colon D^m \to 2\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\phi_{012}\colon D^m \to 2\mathcal{C}_2$ such that the following diagram commutes for all parameters in $D^m$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \bullet \arrow[dr, "f_{12}"] & \\
\bullet \arrow[ur, "f_{01}"] \arrow[rr, "f_{02}"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=40, "\Uparrow \phi_{012}"] & & \bullet.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We can choose $g\colon D^m \times I \to 2\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\eta, \epsilon\colon D^m \times I \to 2\mathcal{C}_2$ such that $(f_{01}, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ is an adjoint equivalence for all parameters. By assumption **SF3** we may solve the lifting problem

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D^m \times \{0\} \arrow[r, "(f_{12}*\epsilon)(\phi_{012}*g)"] \arrow[d, hook] & 2\mathcal{C}_2 \arrow[d, "\text{source}"] \\
D^m \times I \arrow[r, "f_{02}*g"] \arrow[ur, dotted, "\psi"] & 2\mathcal{C}_1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 5.9 and assumption **SF4** the equation

$$(f_{12} * \epsilon)(\phi_{012} * g) = \psi$$

has a unique solution for $\phi_{012}$ for all parameters $D^m \times I$, and by uniqueness this solution extends the given $\phi_{012}$ defined for parameters $D^m \times \{0\}$.

The case $k = 2$ is dual to the case $k = 0$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

# 6 Concordance theory

Fix a simplicial space $Z_\bullet$. In this section we will define the notion of a $Z_\bullet$-bundle over a topological space $X$, and study its homotopy properties up to concordance. In the case $Z_\bullet = \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ this recovers the notion of principal $2\mathcal{C}$-bundles.

**Definition 6.1** *A* $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ *over* $X$ *consists of an ordered open cover* $\mathcal{U}$ *together with a simplicial map* $\phi\colon U_\bullet \to Z_\bullet$*, where* $U_\bullet$ *is the ordered Čech complex of* $\mathcal{U}$*.*

We have to be precise about our conventions and definitions regarding covers. For every cover $\mathcal{U}$ we will assume that each $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ is non-empty. We say that $\mathcal{U}'$ is a *refinement* of $\mathcal{U}$ if there exists a *carrier function* $c\colon \mathcal{I}' \to \mathcal{I}$ between the respective indexing sets such that for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}'$ the set $U'_\alpha$ is contained in $U_{c(\alpha)}$. Whenever the indexing sets $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}'$ are partially ordered, we will demand that any carrier function is order-preserving. Observe that to any refinement together with a choice of carrier function there is a canonical way of associating a simplicial map $U'_\bullet \to U_\bullet$ between the corresponding (ordered) Čech complexes. Specializing the notion of a refinement with carrier function, we say that a cover $\mathcal{U}'$ is a *shrinking* of $\mathcal{U}$, and we write $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, if the indexing set $\mathcal{I}'$ is a subset of $\mathcal{I}$ and for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}'$ we have $U'_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$. A cover $\mathcal{U}$ is *good* if each finite intersection $U_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_k} = \bigcap_{i=0}^k U_{\alpha_i}$ is either empty or contractible. Given a map $f\colon X \to Y$, we may pull back a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $Y$ to $X$ as follows: let $\mathcal{U}'$ be the ordered open cover of $X$ consisting of the non-empty open sets having the form $f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ where $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$. There is a natural map of ordered Čech complexes $U'_\bullet \to U_\bullet$. Define $f^*\mathcal{E}$ to be $\mathcal{U}'$ together with the composition $U'_\bullet \to U_\bullet \xrightarrow{\phi} Z_\bullet$. The pullback to a subspace $A \subseteq X$ is called a *restriction* and will be denoted $\mathcal{E}|_A$.

**Definition 6.2** *We say that $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ over $X$ are* concordant *if there exists a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $X \times I$ such that the restrictions to $X \times \{0\}$ and $X \times \{1\}$ give $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ respectively. Let $\mathrm{Con}(X, Z_\bullet)$ be the set of all concordance classes of $Z_\bullet$-bundles over $X$. The class in $\mathrm{Con}(X, Z_\bullet)$ corresponding to $\mathcal{E}$ will be written as $[\mathcal{E}]$.*

Observe that concordance is an equivalence relation.

Before proceeding with building the concordance theory of $Z_\bullet$-bundles, it is nice to present an interesting example of $Z_\bullet$-bundles where $Z_\bullet$ is not the geometric nerve of a 2-category:

**Example 6.3** *Wirth and Stasheff, [34], describe locally homotopy trivial fibrations with fiber $F$. It seems plausible that we can define a simplicial space with $k$-simplexes, $Z_k$, the space of all homotopy coherent functors from $[k]$ to the topological monoid of homotopy equivalences of $F$, $H(F)$. In that case a $Z_\bullet$-bundle should be the same as a homotopy transition cocycle, see [34, Definition 2.5]. Moreover, if $Z_\bullet$ is good, then our Theorem 7.12 shows that these fibrations are classified by $|Z_\bullet|$. Furthermore, $|Z_\bullet|$ should be weakly equivalent to $BH(F)$ for reasonable $F$.*

In the theory that follows we will also consider classes of $Z_\bullet$-bundles over $X$ fixed on some subspace $A$. We say that two $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ over $X$ are *equal on $A$* if the restriction $\mathcal{E}|_A$ equals $\mathcal{E}'|_A$. We will use the notation $X \times I/A$ for the quotient space of $X \times I$ where we identify $(x,t)$ and $(x',t')$ whenever $x = x'$ lies in $A$. Let $i_0$ and $i_1$ denote the inclusions of $X$ into $X \times I/A$ given by sending $x \in X$ to $(x,0)$ and $(x,1)$ respectively. If $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ over $A$ are equal on $A$, then they are *concordant relative to $A$* if there exists a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'$ over $X \times I/A$ such that $i_0^*\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}_0$ and $i_1^*\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}_1$. Fixing a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_A$ over $A$, we denote by

$$\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$$

the set of all $\mathcal{E}$ over $X$ whose restriction to $A$ equals $\mathcal{E}_A$ modulo the equivalence relation of concordance relative to $A$.

Taking a more categorical approach to concordance, we will now consider *presheaves on spaces*, i.e. functors $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathcal{T}op^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{S}et$. Sending a space $X$ to the set of $Z_\bullet$-bundles over $X$ defines our first example of a presheaf on spaces, and we let $\mathcal{F}_{Z_\bullet}$ denote this presheaf. More generally, we will consider presheaves, $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{S}et$, defined on categories $\mathcal{T}$ satisfing the following conditiuons:

i) There is a faithful functor $V\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}op$ such that

ii) The functor $V$ creates pushouts in $\mathcal{T}$, i.e. whenever given a span $B \leftarrow A \to X$ in $\mathcal{T}$, the pushout $Y'$ of

$$V(B) \leftarrow V(A) \to V(X)$$

in $\mathcal{T}op$ has a unique lifting to a pushout $Y$ of the original span in $\mathcal{T}$.

iii) Moreover, if $A \hookrightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{T}$ maps to an inclusion $V(A) \hookrightarrow V(X)$ in $\mathcal{T}op$, then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V(A) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, hook] & V(X) \arrow[d, "i_0"] \\
V(X) \arrow[r, "i_1"] & V(X) \times I/V(A)
\end{tikzcd}$$

lifts to $\mathcal{T}$, i.e. the space $X \times I/A$ represents a well-defined object in $\mathcal{T}$ and the inclusions $i_0, i_1\colon X \to X \times I/A$ are also well-defined in $\mathcal{T}$.

Since $V$ is a faithful functor, we will usually omit it from our notation. Thus we think about $\mathcal{T}$ as a subcategory of $\mathcal{T}op$. For later use, here are three examples of categories $\mathcal{T}$ satisfying conditions i)–iii):

**Example 6.4** *i) Fix a space $A$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{T}op$ consisting of spaces $X$ containing $A$ and maps $X \to X'$ restricting to the identity on $A$. We call this $\mathcal{T}$ the category of* spaces containing *$A$.*

*ii) Fix a space $A$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the category having as objects spaces $X$ containing $A$ together with the structure of a relative CW-complex on $(X, A)$. The morphisms of $\mathcal{T}$ are defined to the inclusion of subcomplexes, i.e. maps $X \hookrightarrow X'$ where the image of $X$ is a union of $A$ and some of the cells of $(X', A)$. We call this $\mathcal{T}$ the category of* CW-complexes relative to *$A$.*

*iii) Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the full subcategory of* compact spaces*. Condition ii) holds since any quotient of a compact space is compact.*

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a presheaf on $\mathcal{T}$, we define concordance as follows: let $A \hookrightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{T}$ be an inclusion in $\mathcal{T}op$, and fix some $s_A \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. Let $\mathcal{F}[X, A; s_A]$ denote the set of all $s$ in $\mathcal{F}(X)$ that restrict to $s_A$ on $A$ modulo the relation that $s_0 \sim s_1$ if there exists an element $s' \in \mathcal{F}(X \times I/A)$ with $i_0^* s' = s_0$ and $i_1^* s' = s_1$. Let $[s]$ denote the class of $s$ in $\mathcal{F}[X, A; s_A]$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a presheaf on spaces and $\mathcal{T}$ is a category satisfying the conditions above. We may restrict $\mathcal{F}$ to a presheaf on $\mathcal{T}$. Observe that condition iii) ensures that concordance of $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{T}}$ equals concordance on $\mathcal{F}$, and we may write

$$\mathcal{F}[X, A; s_A] = \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{T}}[X, A; s_A]$$

for all inclusions $A \hookrightarrow X$ and $s_A \in \mathcal{F}(A) = \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{T}}(A)$.

**Definition 6.5** *We say that a presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{T}$ is* excisive *if for all pushout diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, hook, "i"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & X \arrow[d, "g"] \\ B \arrow[r, hook, "j"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

*in $\mathcal{T}$ where $i$ is a closed cofibration on $\mathcal{T}op$, and elements $s_B \in \mathcal{F}(B)$ and $s_X \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ that restrict to the same element $s_A = f^*s_B = i^*s_X$ in $\mathcal{F}(A)$, there exists a unique element $s_Y$ of $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ such that $g^*s_Y = s_X$ and $j^*s_Y = s_B$. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is* strongly excisive *if such an element $s_Y$ exists uniquely whenever $i$ is an inclusion. We call $\mathcal{F}$* weakly excisive *if $s_Y$ exists uniquely whenever $(X, A)$ is a relative CW-complex.*

Observe that condition ii) on $\mathcal{T}$ ensures that restriction of an excisive presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on spaces to a presheaf $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{T}}$ on $\mathcal{T}$ also is excisive.

A map between presheaves on $\mathcal{T}$ is a natural transformation $\nu\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$. Clearly $\nu$ induces a maps of relative concordance classes $\nu_{[X,A;s_A]}\colon \mathcal{F}[X, A; s_A] \to \mathcal{F}'[X, A; \nu(s_A)]$. A useful insight in the theory of concordance is that surjectivity often implies injectivity, compare [21, Proposition 2.18]. We prove a similar result:

**Proposition 6.6** *Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ be excisive presheaves on $\mathcal{T}$. If $\nu$ induces a surjective map*

$$\nu_{[X,C;s_C]}\colon \mathcal{F}[X, C; s_C] \to \mathcal{F}'[X, C; \nu(s_C)].$$

*for all closed cofibrations $C \hookrightarrow X$ and $s_C$ in $\mathcal{F}(C)$, then all these $\nu_{[X,C;s_C]}$ are bijections. If $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ are strongly excisive and $\nu_{[X,C;s_C]}$ are surjective for all inclusions $C \hookrightarrow X$, then all $\nu_{[X,C;s_C]}$ are bijections.*

**Proof:** Let $s_0$ and $s_1$ be elements of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ whose restrictions to $C$ both equal $s_C$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is excisive there exists an element $s_{0,1}$ in $\mathcal{F}(X \amalg_C X)$ that restricts to $s_0$ and $s_1$ on the two copies of $X$ in $X \amalg_C X$. If $\nu(s_0)$ and $\nu(s_1)$ are concordant relative to $C$ there exists an element $s' \in \mathcal{F}'(X \times I/C)$ with $i_0^* s' = \nu(s_0)$ and $i_1^* s' = \nu(s_1)$. Observe that the uniqueness part of excision for $\mathcal{F}'$ implies that $s'|_{X \amalg_C X} = \nu(s_{0,1})$. Since the map

$$\mathcal{F}[X \times I/C, X \amalg_C X; s_{0,1}] \xrightarrow{\nu_*} \mathcal{F}[X \times I/C, X \amalg_C X; \nu(s_{0,1})]$$

is surjective, we may lift $s'$ to an element $\bar{s}$ in $\mathcal{F}(X \times I/C)$. This element $\bar{s}$ is a concordance between $s_0$ and $s_1$ relative to $C$.

If $C \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed cofibration, then $X \amalg_C X \hookrightarrow X \times I/C$ is also a closed cofibration. $\square$

Let us now verify that the set of $Z_\bullet$-bundles satisfy strong excision:

**Proposition 6.7** *Let $Y$ be the pushout of $f\colon A \to B$ along an inclusion $A \subseteq X$. Given $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}$ over $X$ and $\mathcal{E}_B$ over $B$ with $f^*\mathcal{E}_B = \mathcal{E}|_A$, there exists a unique $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'$ over $Y$ such that $\mathcal{E}'|_B = \mathcal{E}_B$ and $\mathcal{E}'$ pulls back to $\mathcal{E}$ over $X$.*

**Proof:** We explicitly construct $\mathcal{E}'$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}_B$ denote the indexing sets of the ordered open covers $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}_B$ associated to $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}_B$. Define $\mathcal{I}'$ as the union $\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}_B$, and extend the notation of $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ and $U^B_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_B$ so that $U_\alpha = \emptyset$ for $\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}$ and $U^B_\alpha = \emptyset$ for $\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}_B$. The equation $f^{-1}(U^B_\alpha) = U_\alpha \cap A$ is satisfied for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}'$. Hence, we may define an ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}' = \{U'_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}'}$ of $Y$ by declaring $U'_\alpha$ to be the image of $U_\alpha \amalg U^B_\alpha$ under the quotient map $X \amalg B \to Y$. We see that the corresponding ordered Čech complex $U'_\bullet$ is the pushout of $U^B_\bullet \leftarrow U_\bullet \cap A \hookrightarrow U_\bullet$, and we define the simplicial map $\phi^Y\colon U^Y_\bullet \to Z_\bullet$ as the uniquely defined extension of the simplicial maps corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_B$ and $\mathcal{E}$. $\square$

**Corollary 6.8** *Let $Y$ be the pushout of $f\colon A \to B$ along an inclusion $A \subseteq X$. Fix a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_B$ over $B$, and let $\mathcal{E}_A$ be $f^*\mathcal{E}_B$. Pullback of $Z_\bullet$-bundles induces a bijection*

$$\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(Y, B; \mathcal{E}_B) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A).$$

**Proof:** Keep $A$ fixed and let $\mathcal{T}$ be spaces containing $A$. There is a map $\nu\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ of strongly excisive presheaves on $\mathcal{T}$ inducing $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(Y, B; \mathcal{E}_B) \to \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$. Here $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}'(X)$ are the sets of $Z_\bullet$-bundles over $X \amalg_f B$ and $X$ respectively, and $\nu$ is pullback. By Proposition 6.6 all $\nu_*$ are bijections if $\nu$ induces a surjection $\mathcal{F}[X, C; \mathcal{E}_{C\amalg_f B}] \to \mathcal{F}'[X, C; \nu(\mathcal{E}_{C\amalg_f B})]$ for all inclusions $C \subseteq X$ in $\mathcal{T}$ and $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_{C\amalg_f B}$ over $C \amalg_f B$. This follows immediately from Proposition 6.7. Taking $C = A$ the conclusion follows. $\square$

**Lemma 6.9** *Let $A$ be a subspace of $X$, and fix a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_A$ over $A$. Then the inclusion $i_1\colon X \hookrightarrow X \times I/A$ induces a bijection*

$$i_1^*\colon \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X \times I/A, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A).$$

**Proof:** Keep $A$ fixed and let $\mathcal{T}$ be spaces containing $A$. Two strongly excisive presheaves on $\mathcal{T}$ are defined by letting $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}'(X)$ be the sets of $Z_\bullet$-bundles over $X \times I/A$ and $X$ respectively. Pullback by the inclusion $i_1\colon X \hookrightarrow X \times I/A$ induces a map of presheaves $i_1^*\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ inducing $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X \times I/A, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \to \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$. By Proposition 6.6 all $i_1^*$ are bijections if $i_1^*$ induces a surjection $\mathcal{F}[X, C; \mathcal{E}_{C \amalg_f B}] \to \mathcal{F}'[X, C; \nu(\mathcal{E}_{C \amalg_f B})]$ for all inclusions $C \subseteq X$ in $\mathcal{T}$ and $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_{C \amalg_f B}$ over $C \amalg_f B$. The projection map $\pi\colon X \times I/A \to X$ induces on concordance classes a map $\pi^*$ such that $i_1^* \pi^*$ is the identity. Consequently $i_1^*$ is surjective. Taking $C = A$ completes the proof. □

**Corollary 6.10** $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$ *is a homotopy functor of $X$ relative to $A$.*

**Lemma 6.11** *Let $A \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow X$ be closed cofibrations. Given $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}$ over $X$ and $\mathcal{E}_B$ over $B$ that are equal over $A$, and such that the restriction $\mathcal{E}|_B$ is concordant to $\mathcal{E}_B$ relative to $A$, then there exists a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'$ over $X$ concordant to $\mathcal{E}$ relative to $A$ such that the restriction $\mathcal{E}'|_B$ equals $\mathcal{E}_B$.*

**Proof:** Represent the concordance between $\mathcal{E}|_B$ and $\mathcal{E}_B$ by a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}''$ over $B \times I/A$. Gluing $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}''$ we get a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ over $(X \amalg_B B \times I)/A$. By the homotopy extension lifting property, there exists a map $j\colon X \to (X \amalg_B B \times I)/A$ such that in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B \arrow[r, "i_1"] \arrow[d, hook] & (X \amalg_B B \times I)/A \arrow[d, "\text{retraction}"] \\
X \arrow[ur, dotted, "j"] \arrow[r, equal] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

the upper triangle commutes and the lower diagram commutes up to homotopy relative to $B$. Let $\mathcal{E}' = j^* \bar{\mathcal{E}}$. Clearly $\mathcal{E}'_B = \mathcal{E}_B$. Moreover, $j$ is homotopic to the inclusion $i_X\colon X \hookrightarrow (X \amalg_B B \times I)/A$ relative to $A$. Hence,

$$[\mathcal{E}'] = \left[j^* \bar{\mathcal{E}}\right] = \left[i_X^* \bar{\mathcal{E}}\right] = [\mathcal{E}]$$

in $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}|_A)$. □

**Corollary 6.12** *The functor* $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}$ *is half exact in the following sense: let $A \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow X$ be closed cofibrations, and fix some $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_B$ over $B$. Let $\mathcal{E}_A = \mathcal{E}_B|_A$. In the sequence*

$$\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, B; \mathcal{E}_B) \to \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \xrightarrow{\textit{restriction}} \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(B, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$$

*the image of the first map is precisely the classes in* $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$ *that restrict to* $[\mathcal{E}_B]$ *in* $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(B, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$.

**Proposition 6.13** *For any relative CW-pair $(X, A)$ and $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_A$ over $A$, the map*

$$\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \lim_k \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X^k, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$$

*is a bijection. Here $X^k$ is the $k$-skeleton of $X$.*

**Proof:** Keep $A$ fixed and let $\mathcal{T}$ be CW-complexes relative to $A$. There is a map $\nu\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ of excisive presheaves on $\mathcal{T}$ inducing $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \to \lim_k \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X^k, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$. Here $\mathcal{F}$ is the presheaf of $Z_\bullet$-bundles restricted to $\mathcal{T}$, while $\mathcal{F}'(X)$ is the set of sequences $(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \ldots)$ where $\mathcal{E}_k$ is a $Z_\bullet$-bundle over the $k$-skeleton $X^k$ such that the restriction of $\mathcal{E}_k$ to $X^{k-1}$ is concordant to $\mathcal{E}_{k-1}$ relative to $A$. The map $\nu$ is given by sending $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ to $(\mathcal{E}|_{X^0}, \mathcal{E}|_{X^1}, \ldots)$ in $\mathcal{F}'(X)$. By Proposition 6.6 all $\nu_*$ are bijections if $\nu$ induces a surjection $\mathcal{F}[X, C; \mathcal{E}_C] \to \mathcal{F}'[X, C; \nu(\mathcal{E}_C)]$ for all inclusions $C \hookrightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{T}$ and $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_C$ over $C$.

Fix $C \hookrightarrow X$ and $\mathcal{E}_C$, and take a sequence $(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \ldots)$ in $\mathcal{F}'(X)$ that restricts to $\nu(\mathcal{E}_C)$ in $\mathcal{F}'(C)$. By definition of $\mathcal{T}$, see Example 6.4, $C \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion of a subcomplex. Hence $C^k \hookrightarrow X^{k-1} \cup C^k \hookrightarrow X^k$ are closed cofibrations. By induction we construct a sequence $\mathcal{E}'_k$ over $X^k$ such that the restriction of $\mathcal{E}'_k$ to $X^{k-1}$ is equal to $\mathcal{E}'_{k-1}$, and $\mathcal{E}'_k$ is concordant to $\mathcal{E}_k$ relative to $C^k$. We start by taking $\mathcal{E}'_0 = \mathcal{E}_0$, and the inductive step uses Lemma 6.11 with $\mathcal{E}_C|_{C^k}$ over $C^k$, the union of $\mathcal{E}'_{k-1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_C|_{C^k}$ over $X^{k-1} \cup C^k$ and $\mathcal{E}_k$ over $X^k$. This constructs an honest $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathrm{colim}_k\, \mathcal{E}'_k$ over $X$ mapping to the concordance class represented by the original sequence $(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \ldots)$, i.e. all $\nu_*$ are surjective. □

So far we have studied how $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$ depend on the space $X$. The next step is to understand what happens when $\mathcal{E}_A$ varies. To see this we now define a category as follows:

**Definition 6.14** *Define $\mathscr{C}_{Z_\bullet}(A)$ to be the category with objects all $Z_\bullet$-bundles over $A$, and with morphisms from $\mathcal{E}_A$ to $\mathcal{E}'_A$ being the set $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(A \times I, A \amalg A; \mathcal{E}_A \amalg \mathcal{E}'_A)$. The source and target of a morphism represented by $\mathcal{E}$ are given as the restrictions $i_0^*\mathcal{E}$ and $i_1^*\mathcal{E}$ respectively. Composition is defined by gluing.*

**Lemma 6.15** *The category $\mathscr{C}_{Z_\bullet}(A)$ is a groupoid.*

**Proof:** We will show that a morphism represented by an arbitrary concordance $\mathcal{E}$ has an inverse. Define $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ by pulling back $\mathcal{E}$ over the flip $A \times I \to A \times I$ sending $(a, t)$ to $(a, 1-t)$. The composition of $\mathcal{E}$ with $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ equals the pullback of $\mathcal{E}$ over the fold $A \times I \to A \times I$ sending $(a, t)$ to $(a, |2t-1|)$. A contraction $\theta$ from the fold to the map $(a, t) \mapsto (a, 1)$ is given by $\theta(a, s, t) = (a, (1-s) + s|2t-1|)$. The pullback $\theta^*\mathcal{E}$ is a concordance relative to $A \amalg A$ from the identity on $i_1^*\mathcal{E}$ to the composition of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$. □

**Proposition 6.16** *For closed cofibrations $A \hookrightarrow X$ the expression $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; -)$ defines a functor from $\mathscr{C}_{Z_\bullet}(A)$ to the category of sets.*

**Proof:** By the homotopy extension lifting property there exists a map $j\colon X \to$

$X \amalg_{A\times\{0\}} A\times I$ such that $j(a) = (a, 1)$ for every $a \in A \subseteq X$ and the composition

$$X \xrightarrow{j} X \amalg_{A\times\{0\}} A \times I \to X$$

is homotopic to the identity on $X$ relative to $A$.

Given a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ representing a morphism from $\mathcal{E}_A$ to $\mathcal{E}'_A$ in $\mathscr{C}_{Z_\bullet}(A)$ and a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'$ representing a class of $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$ we can glue them together and produce a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ over $X \amalg_{A\times\{0\}} A \times I$. The functor is defined by declaring $[j^*\bar{\mathcal{E}}]$ in $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}'_A)$ to represent the image of $[\mathcal{E}']$ under the map induced by the morphism $[\mathcal{E}]$. □

Let $[\mathcal{E}]$ be a morphism from $\mathcal{E}_A$ to $\mathcal{E}'_A$ in $\mathscr{C}_{Z_\bullet}(A)$. It follows from Lemma 6.15 that $\mathcal{E}$ induces a bijection

$$[\mathcal{E}]_*\colon\ \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \to \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}'_A).$$

An open cover $\mathcal{U}$ is *finite and totally ordered* if its indexing set $\mathcal{I}$ is finite and totally ordered. We call a $Z_\bullet$-bundle *finite* if its associated open cover is finite and totally ordered. The finite $Z_\bullet$-bundles form a strongly excisive presheaf $\mathcal{F}^f_{Z_\bullet}$ on spaces. Consequently there is a notion of *finite concordance*, and we denote by

$$\mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$$

the classes of finite $Z_\bullet$-bundles over $X$ modulo finite concordance and finite $Z_\bullet$-bundles over $X$ equal to $\mathcal{E}_A$ over a subset $A$ modulo finite concordance relative to $A$ respectively. Over compact spaces there is no difference between Con and $\mathrm{Con}^f$:

**Lemma 6.17** *Let $A$ be a compact subset of a compact space $X$. Then the natural map $\mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \to \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$ is a bijection for all finite $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_A$ over $A$.*

**Proof:** Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the full subcategory of compact space. Inclusion of finite $Z_\bullet$-bundles into all $Z_\bullet$-bundles gives a map of strongly excisive presheaves $\nu\colon \mathcal{F}^f_{Z_\bullet} \to \mathcal{F}_{Z_\bullet}$ on $\mathcal{T}$. By Proposition 6.6 it is sufficient to show that the map $\mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \to \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A)$ is surjective for all inclusions $A \hookrightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{T}$ and all finite $\mathcal{E}_A$ over $A$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a $Z_\bullet$-bundle over $X$ equal to $\mathcal{E}_A$ on $A$. By compactness of $X$, the ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}$ associated to $\mathcal{E}$ has a finite subcover $\mathcal{U}^f$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the restriction of $\mathcal{U}^f$ to $A$ equals the cover associated to $\mathcal{E}_A$. Choose a total ordering on $\mathcal{U}^f$ that extends the partial ordering. Let $\mathcal{E}^f$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to the smaller covering $\mathcal{U}^f$. Observe that the finite $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}^f$ is concordant to $\mathcal{E}$ relative to $A$. □

**Proposition 6.18** *Let $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ be a map of simplicial spaces. If*

$$\mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(D^n, S^{n-1}; \mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}) \to \mathrm{Con}^f_{W_\bullet}(D^n, S^{n-1}; q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}})$$

*is surjective for all $n \geq 0$ and finite $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}$ over $S^{n-1}$, then*

$$\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \to \mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(X, A; q_*\mathcal{E}_A)$$

*is a bijection for all pairs $(X, A)$ of the homotopy type of a CW-pair and all $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_A$ over $A$.*

**Proof:** By homotopy invariance, Corollary 6.10, it is sufficient to consider relative CW-complexes $(X, A)$. Fix $A$ and let $\mathcal{T}$ be the category of CW-complexes relative to $A$. The simplicial map $q$ gives a map $q_*\colon \mathcal{F}_{Z_\bullet} \to \mathcal{F}_{W_\bullet}$ of strongly excisive presheaves on $\mathcal{T}$ inducing $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \to \mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(X, A; q_*\mathcal{E}_A)$. By Proposition 6.6 this map of concordance classes is a bijection if $\mathcal{F}_{Z_\bullet}[X, C; \mathcal{E}_C] \to \mathcal{F}_{W_\bullet}[X, C; q_*\mathcal{E}_C]$ is surjective for all subcomplex inclusions $C \subseteq X$ and $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_C$ over $C$.

By Proposition 6.13 we can represent an element $\mathcal{E}'$ of $\mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(X, C; q_*\mathcal{E}_C)$ as a sequence $(\mathcal{E}'_0, \mathcal{E}'_1, \ldots)$ where $\mathcal{E}'_k$ is a $W_\bullet$-bundle over $X^k$, such that $\mathcal{E}'_k|_{X^{k-1}}$ is concordant to $\mathcal{E}'_{k-1}$ relative to $C^{k-1}$ and $\mathcal{E}'_k|_{C^k} = (q_*\mathcal{E}_C)|_{C^k}$. By induction on $k$ we will construct a sequence $(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \ldots)$ where $\mathcal{E}_k$ is a $Z_\bullet$-bundle over $X^k$, such that $\mathcal{E}_k|_{X^{k-1}} = \mathcal{E}_{k-1}$, $\mathcal{E}_k|_{C^k} = \mathcal{E}_C|_{C^k}$, and $q_*\mathcal{E}_k$ is concordant to $\mathcal{E}'_k$ relative to $C^k$. This new sequence will represent an element $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, C; \mathcal{E}_C)$ mapping to the class $[\mathcal{E}']$ under $q_*$.

We start the induction at the $(-1)$-skeleton, i.e. $X^{-1} = C^{-1} = A$, $\mathcal{E}_{-1} = \mathcal{E}_A$, and $\mathcal{E}'_{-1} = q_*\mathcal{E}_A$. By the induction hypothesis there is a concordance between $\mathcal{E}'_k|_{X^{k-1}\cup C^k}$ and $q_*(\mathcal{E}_{k-1} \cup \mathcal{E}_C|_{C^k})$ relative to $C^k$. By Lemma 6.11 we can find a $W_\bullet$-bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_k$ over $X^k$ concordant to $\mathcal{E}'_k$ relative to $C^k$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_k|_{X^{k-1}\cup C^k} = q_*(\mathcal{E}_{k-1} \cup \mathcal{E}_C|_{C^k})$. We claim that

$$q_*\colon\ \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X^k, X^{k-1}\cup C^k; \mathcal{E}_{k-1}\cup\mathcal{E}_C|_{C^k}) \to \mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(X^k, X^{k-1}\cup C^k; q_*(\mathcal{E}_{k-1}\cup\mathcal{E}_C|_{C^k}))$$

is surjective. If the claim holds, then choose $\mathcal{E}_k$ to be any $Z_\bullet$-bundle over $X^k$ equal to $\mathcal{E}_{k-1} \cup \mathcal{E}_C|_{C^k}$ on $X^{k-1} \cup C^k$ such that $q_*[\mathcal{E}_k] = [\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_k]$. This completes the inductive step.

To prove the claim observe that $X^k$ can be constructed from $X^{k-1} \cup C^k$ by attaching $k$-cells. By Corollary 6.8 and the fact that Con turns a disjoint union of spaces into a product, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(D^k, S^{k-1}; \mathcal{E}_{S^{k-1}}) \to \mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(D^k, S^{k-1}; q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{k-1}})$$

is surjective for all $k$ and $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_{S^{k-1}}$ over $S^{k-1}$. By Lemma 6.17 $\mathcal{E}_{S^{k-1}}$ is concordant to a finite $Z_\bullet$-bundle. By Proposition 6.16 nothing is lost by assuming that $\mathcal{E}_{S^{k-1}}$ actually is finite. Applying Lemma 6.17 we see that the claim holds if

$$\mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(D^k, S^{k-1}; \mathcal{E}_{S^{k-1}}) \to \mathrm{Con}^f_{W_\bullet}(D^k, S^{k-1}; q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{k-1}})$$

is surjective, which is precisely the hypothesis of the proposition we are proving. ☐

We now simplify by noticing that any ordered Čech complex $U_\bullet$ has *free degeneracies*, see [9, Definition A.4]. This means that $U_\bullet$ is the image of a presimplicial space $N_\bullet$ under the left adjoint to the forgetful functor from simplicial spaces to presimplicial spaces. It is easy to see what $N_\bullet$ must be; we define the $k$-simplices by

$$N_k = \coprod_{\alpha_0 < \cdots < \alpha_k} U_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_k},$$

and we call $N_\bullet$ the *non-degenerate part* of $U_\bullet$. Define $L^k N_\bullet$ to be subspace of $N_k$ consisting of points in the image of some face map $d_i$, i.e. $L^k N_\bullet = \bigcup_i d_i(N_{k+1})$

Because of the proposition above we are lead to study simplicial maps whose domains are ordered Čech complexes of finite and totally ordered covers $\mathcal{U}$. Let us make the necessary preparations for the construction of maps $N_\bullet \to Z_\bullet$ by downward induction on simplicial degree:

**Lemma 6.19** *Let $N_\bullet$ be the non-degenerate part of the ordered Čech complex corresponding to a finite and totally ordered open covering. The following properties are satisfied:*

- *i) There exists an integer $K$ so that $N_k = \emptyset$ for $k > K$.*
- *ii) If $d_i(x) = d_j(y) \in N_k$, $i < j$ there is a $z \in N_{k+2}$ such that $y = d_i(z)$ and $x = d_{j+1}(z)$.*
- *iii) The obvious map*

$$\coprod_{0 \le i \le k+1} N_{k+1} \xrightarrow{\coprod d_i} L^k N_\bullet$$

*is a quotient map.*

**Proof:** Let $K$ be the number of elements in the cover. The next two statements are general properties of the non-degenerate part of an ordered Čech complex.

Addressing the last statement, we consider a subset $V$ of $N_k$ such that all $d_i^{-1}(V)$ are open in $N_{k+1}$. We have to show that $V \cap L^k N_\bullet$ is open. We can assume that $V$ is a subset of some $U_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_k}$. Notice that all $V \cap U_\beta$ are open for $\beta \neq \alpha_i$. The result follows since $V \cap L^k N_\bullet$ equals the finite union $\bigcup_{\beta \neq \alpha_i} (V \cap U_\beta)$. ☐

**Remark 6.20** *In the Reedy formalism, see [16, Chapter 15], one considers the category of diagrams, $\mathbf{X}$, indexed by a Reedy category $\mathcal{C}$. Each object in $\mathcal{C}$ has a degree, and the usual way of constructing a map $f\colon \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ between diagrams is by increasing induction on the degree. Associated to a diagram $\mathbf{X}$ and an index $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ there are the so called latching and matching objects,*

*$L_\alpha \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}_\alpha \to M_\alpha \mathbf{X}$. If $f$ is already defined in degrees below $\alpha$, this fixes maps of latching and matching objects, and an extension of $f$ to $\mathbf{X}_\alpha$ must respect this.*

*In our case the presimplicial indexing category clearly is a Reedy category. However, the union $L^k N_\bullet \subseteq N_k$ is neither a latching nor a matching object. This is not so strange; we are preparing for downward induction on degree, and $L^k N_\bullet$ plays the role analogous of a latching object. The analogy for the matching object is the terminal space.*

The following lemma plays the role of "a homotopy extension property" for the inclusion $L^k N_\bullet \hookrightarrow N_k$. In a vague sense this is dual to Segal's definition of a good simplicial space, [26].

**Lemma 6.21** *Let $N_\bullet$ be the non-degenerate part of the ordered Čech complex corresponding to a finite and totally ordered open covering $\mathcal{U}$ of a normal space $X$ and let $Z$ be a topological space. Given $g\colon N_k \to Z$ and $G\colon L^k N_\bullet \times I \to Z$ with $g(x) = G(x, 0)$ for all $x$ in $L^k N_\bullet$, there exists a shrinking $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ of $\mathcal{U}$, inducing an inclusion $N^\epsilon_\bullet \hookrightarrow N_\bullet$, and a homotopy $G^\epsilon\colon N^\epsilon_k \times I \to Z$ such that $g(x) = G^\epsilon(x, 0)$ for all $x \in N^\epsilon_k$ and $G(x,t) = G^\epsilon(x,t)$ for all $x \in L^k N^\epsilon_\bullet$ and $t \in I$. Moreover, if $t \mapsto G(x,t)$ is constant for some $x \in N^\epsilon_k \cap L^k N_\bullet$, then $t \mapsto G^\epsilon(x,t)$ is also constant.*

**Proof:** Let $K$ be the number of elements in the cover. Choose a partition of unity $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ subordinate to $\mathcal{U}$. For $\epsilon > 0$ define $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ be the family of open sets $\{\psi_\alpha^{-1}(\epsilon, 1]\}$. If $\epsilon$ is small, $\epsilon < \frac{1}{K}$, then $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ is also a cover. Let $\psi\colon N_k \to I$ be the map given on each intersection $U_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_k}$ as the sum $\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha_i} \psi_\beta$. Notice that the support of $\psi$ is contained in $L^k N_\bullet$, and that $\psi^{-1}(\epsilon, 1]$ equals $L^k N^\epsilon_\bullet$.

Define a homotopy $\hat{G}^\epsilon\colon N_k \times I \to Z$ by the formula:

$$\hat{G}^\epsilon(x,t) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } x \notin L^k N_\bullet, \\ G(x,t) & \text{if } x \in L^k N_\bullet \text{ and } \psi(x) \geq \epsilon, \text{ and} \\ G(x, \frac{\psi(x)}{\epsilon} t) & \text{if } x \in L^k N_\bullet \text{ and } \psi(x) \leq \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Let $G^\epsilon$ be the restriction of $\hat{G}^\epsilon$ to $N^\epsilon_k \times I$. □

By shrinking the cover we also get a "lifting property" up to homotopy:

**Proposition 6.22** *Let $h\colon U_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ and $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ be maps of simplicial spaces. Suppose that each $q_k\colon Z_k \to W_k$ is the inclusion of a strong deformation retract, and that $U_\bullet$ is the Čech complex of a finite and totally ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of a normal space $X$. There exist a shrinking $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ of $\mathcal{U}$, inducing an inclusion $i\colon U^\epsilon_\bullet \hookrightarrow U_\bullet$, together with simplicial maps $g\colon U^\epsilon_\bullet \to Z_\bullet$ and $F\colon U^\epsilon_\bullet \times I \to W_\bullet$ such that*

$$F_k(x,0) = h_k(i_k(x)) \quad \textit{and} \quad F_k(x,1) = q_k(g_k(x))$$

*for all $k$ and $x \in U^\epsilon_k$. Moreover, if $h_k(x)$ is contained in the image of $q_k$, then $t \mapsto F_k(x,t)$ is constant.*

**Proof:** It is enough to consider the non-degerenate part $N_\bullet$ of $U_\bullet$. We construct $g$ and $F$ by downward induction on $n$. Assume that there exists shrinking $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ of $\mathcal{U}$, inducing $i\colon N^\epsilon_\bullet \to N_\bullet$ on the non-degenerate part of the ordered Čech complexes, and a presimplicial map

$$F^n\colon N^\epsilon_\bullet \times I \to W_\bullet$$

such that $F^n_k(x,0) = h_k(i_k(x))$ and $F^n_k(x,1) \in q_k(Z_k)$ for all $k > n$ and $x \in N^\epsilon_k$. To complete the inductive step and define $F^{n-1}$, it suffices to construct a shrinking $\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon'}$ of $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ and a presimplicial map $G\colon N^{\epsilon'}_\bullet \times I \to W_\bullet$ with the following properties:

$G_k(x,0) = F^n_k(x,1)$ for all $k$ and $x \in N^{\epsilon'}_k$,

$G_k(x,t) = F^n_k(x,1)$ if $k > n$, $x \in N^{\epsilon'}_k$, and $t \in I$, and

$G_n(x,1) \in q_n(Z_n) \subset W_n$ for all $x \in N^{\epsilon'}_k$.

Then we obtain $F^{n-1}$ by composing the homotopy $F^n$ with the homotopy $G$.

We construct $G$ by downward induction on $k$. For $k > n$, the conditions already define $G_k$. We start the induction at $k = n$ and take $\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon'} = \mathcal{U}^\epsilon$. Let $H\colon W_n \times I \to W_n$ be the deformation retraction into $q_n(Z_n)$, and define $G_n$ by the formula $G_n(x,t) = H(F^n_n(x,1),t)$. Observe that the image of $G_{n+1}$ is contained in $q_{n+1}(Z_{n+1})$, whence the definition of $G_n$ ensures commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N^{\epsilon'}_{n+1} \times I \arrow[rr, "d_i"] \arrow[d, "G_{n+1}"] & & N^{\epsilon'}_n \times I \arrow[d, "G_n"] \\
q_{n+1}(Z_{n+1}) \arrow[r, "\subseteq"] & W_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d_i"] & W_n.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus $G$ satisfies the presimplicial relations in simplicial degrees $k \geq n$. It remains to defined $G_k$ for $k < n$.

For each step in the inductive construction of $G$ we will shrink the cover $\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon'}$ to a smaller cover $\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon''}$. So we implicitly restrict the domains of the $G_k$'s already constructed. Assuming that $G_{k+1}\colon N^{\epsilon'}_{k+1} \times I \to W_{k+1}$ already has been defined we proceed to define $G_k$.

Because of Lemma 6.19.ii), there is a well defined set map $\tilde{G}_k\colon L^k N^{\epsilon'}_\bullet \times I \to W_k$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N^{\epsilon'}_{k+1} \times I \arrow[r, "d_i"] \arrow[d, "G_{k+1}"] & L^k N^{\epsilon'}_\bullet \times I \arrow[d, "\tilde{G}_k"] \\
W_{k+1} \arrow[r, "d_i"] & W_k
\end{tikzcd}$$

for all $d_i$. According to Lemma 6.19.iii), $\tilde{G}_k$ is continuous. By Lemma 6.21, we can find a shrinking $\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon''}$ of the cover $\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon'}$, inducing an inclusion $N_\bullet^{\epsilon''} \subseteq N_\bullet^{\epsilon'}$, together with a map $G_k\colon N_k^{\epsilon''} \times I \to W_k$ such that $G_k(x,0) = F_k^n(x,1)$ for $x \in N_k^{\epsilon''}$, and $G_k(x,t) = \tilde{G}_k(x,t)$ for $x \in L^k N_\bullet^{\epsilon''}$ and $t \in I$.

This completes the inductive step, and the proof of everything except the last part. However, tracing the proof it is easily seen that all homotopies fix points $x \in N^\epsilon$ having $h_k(x) \in q_k(Z_k)$. $\square$

**Corollary 6.23** *Let $X$ be a space and $A$ a subspace such that $(X,A)$ has the homotopy type of a CW pair. Let $q\colon Z_\bullet \hookrightarrow W_\bullet$ be a simplicial map which in each simplicial degree is the inclusion of a strong deformation retract. Let $\mathcal{E}_A$ be any $Z_\bullet$-bundle over $A$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X,A;\mathcal{E}_A) \to \mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(X,A;q_*\mathcal{E}_A)$$

*is a bijection.*

**Proof:** According to Proposition 6.18 it is sufficient to show that the induced map

$$q_*\colon\ \mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(D^n,S^{n-1};\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}) \to \mathrm{Con}^f_{W_\bullet}(D^n,S^{n-1};q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}})$$

is surjective when fixing an arbitrary integer $n$ and a finite $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}$ over the boundary sphere. Let $\mathcal{E}'$ be a finite $W_\bullet$-bundle over $D^n$ whose restriction to $S^{n-1}$ equals $q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ denote the corresponding finite and totally ordered open cover, and let $h\colon U_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ be the simplicial map associated to $\mathcal{E}'$. Applying Proposition 6.22 we get a shrinking $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ of $\mathcal{U}$, an induced inculsion of ordered Čech complexes $U_\bullet^\epsilon \hookrightarrow U_\bullet$, a simplicial map $g\colon U_\bullet^\epsilon \to Z_\bullet$, and a homotopy $F\colon U_\bullet^\epsilon \times I \to W_\bullet$ between the restriction of $h$ to $U_\bullet^\epsilon$ and $qg$.

The shrinking $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ of $\mathcal{U}$ gives us a family of inclusions $\{U_\alpha^\epsilon \hookrightarrow U_\alpha\}$. For each $\alpha$ define $U''_\alpha$ to be the open subset $U_\alpha^\epsilon \times I \cup U_\alpha \times \left[0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$ of $D^n \times I$. Together all the $U''_\alpha$ constitute a cover $\mathcal{U}''$ of $D^n \times I$. Let $\phi''\colon U''_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ be the simplicial map given in simplicial degree $k$ as

$$\phi''(x,t) = \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{for } x \in U_k \text{ and } 0 \le t < \frac{1}{2}\text{, and} \\ F(x,2t-1) & \text{for } x \in U_k^\epsilon \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

This simplicial map explicitly defines a $W_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}''$ over $D^n \times I$. The restriction of $\mathcal{E}''$ to $D^n \times \{0\}$ lifts to a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ represented by the simplicial map $g\colon U_\bullet^\epsilon \to Z_\bullet$. Moreover, the restriction of $\mathcal{E}''$ to $S^{n-1} \times I$ lifts to a concordance between the restriction $\mathcal{E}|_{S^{n-1}}$ and our fixed $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}$. Denote this concordance by $\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}\times I}$.

Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(D^n,S^{n-1};\mathcal{E}|_{S^{n-1}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{[\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}\times I}]_*}"] & \mathrm{Con}^f_{W_\bullet}(D^n,S^{n-1};q_*\mathcal{E}|_{S^{n-1}}) \arrow[d, "{[q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}\times I}]_*}"] \\
\mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(D^n,S^{n-1};\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}) \arrow[r, "q_*"] & \mathrm{Con}^f_{W_\bullet}(D^n,S^{n-1};q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical maps, see Proposition 6.16, corresponds to gluing the concordances $\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}\times I}$ and $q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}\times I}$ along the boundary sphere. They are bijections by Lemma 6.15. We started by picking a $W_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'$ representing an element from the lower right corner. The $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ represents an element in $\mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(D^n, S^{n-1}; \mathcal{E}|_{S^{n-1}})$, and $\mathcal{E}''$ produces a concordance between the image of $q_*[\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}\times I}]_*\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$. Hence $q_*$ is surjective. □

We say that two simplicial maps $p, q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ are *topologically homotopic* if there exists a simplicial map $F\colon Z_\bullet \times I \to W_\bullet$ with $F_k(x, 0) = p_k(x)$ and $F_k(x, 1) = q_k(x)$ for each $k$ and all $x \in Z_k$.

**Proposition 6.24** *Let $A \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed cofibration, and suppose that $p, q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ are topologically homotopic. Given any $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_A$ over $A$ there exists a $W_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'_{A\times I}$ over $A \times I$, restricting to $p_*\mathcal{E}_A$ and $q_*\mathcal{E}_A$ on $A \times \{0\}$ and $A \times \{1\}$ respectively, making the following triangle commute*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \arrow[dl, "p_*"'] \arrow[dr, "q_*"] & \\
\mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(X, A; p_*\mathcal{E}_A) \arrow[rr, "{[\mathcal{E}'_{A\times I}]_*}"] & & \mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(X, A; q_*\mathcal{E}_A).
\end{tikzcd}$$

**Proof:** Let $F\colon Z_\bullet \times I \to W_\bullet$ denote a homotopy between $p$ and $q$.

Given an ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $X$ we define a cover $\mathcal{U}'$ of $X \times I$ consisting of the open sets $U'_\alpha = U_\alpha \times I$ where $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$. The corresponding ordered Čech complexes satisfy $U'_\bullet = U_\bullet \times I$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a $Z_\bullet$-bundle over $X$ equal to $\mathcal{E}_A$ on $A$, and consider the associated simplicial map $\phi\colon U_\bullet \to Z_\bullet$. Subordinate to the cover $\mathcal{U}'$ we define a $W_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'$ over $X \times I$ having as its simplicial map the composition

$$U'_\bullet = U_\bullet \times I \xrightarrow{\phi\times id} Z_\bullet \times I \xrightarrow{F} W_\bullet.$$

The restriction of $\mathcal{E}'$ to $A \times I$ defines the concordance $\mathcal{E}'_{A\times I}$ between $p_*\mathcal{E}_A$ and $q_*\mathcal{E}_A$. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}'$ yields a concordance between $[\mathcal{E}'_{A\times I}]_* p_*\mathcal{E}$ and $q_*\mathcal{E}$. □

**Theorem 6.25** *Let $A \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed cofibration such that $(X, A)$ has the homotopy type of a CW pair. Let $f\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ be a simplicial map such that each $f_k$ is a homotopy equivalence. Let $\mathcal{E}_A$ be any $Z_\bullet$-bundle over $A$. Then $f$ induces a bijection*

$$f_*\colon \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \to \mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(X, A; f_*\mathcal{E}_A).$$

**Proof:** Let $M_\bullet$ be the degreewise mapping cylinder of $f$. There are inclusions of degreewise strong deformation retracts $i\colon Z_\bullet \hookrightarrow M_\bullet$ and $j\colon W_\bullet \hookrightarrow M_\bullet$.

Moreover, the map $jf$ is topologically homotopic to $i$. According to Proposition 6.24 there exists a concordance $\mathcal{E}'_{A\times I}$ of $M_\bullet$-bundles between $i_*\mathcal{E}_A$ and $j_*f_*\mathcal{E}_A$ making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X,A;\mathcal{E}_A) \arrow[r, "f_*"] \arrow[d, "i_*"'] & \mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(X,A;f_*\mathcal{E}_A) \arrow[d, "j_*"] \\
\mathrm{Con}_{M_\bullet}(X,A;i_*\mathcal{E}_A) \arrow[r, "{[\mathcal{E}'_{A\times I}]_*}"] & \mathrm{Con}_{M_\bullet}(X,A;j_*f_*\mathcal{E}_A).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical maps are bijections by Corollary 6.23. The bottom map is a bijection by Lemma 6.15. □

In our next line of arguments we will show that filling a horn in $Z_\bullet$ does not affect the concordance classes of $Z_\bullet$-bundles.

A shrinking $\mathcal{U}'$ of $\mathcal{U}$ induces an inclusion of ordered Čech complexes $i\colon U'_\bullet \hookrightarrow U_\bullet$. Given a simplicial map $U_\bullet \xrightarrow{\phi} Z_\bullet$, we define the *cover-restriction* of $\phi$ to $\mathcal{U}'$ the composition $U'_\bullet \xrightarrow{i} U_\bullet \xrightarrow{\phi} Z_\bullet$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{E}$ is the $Z_\bullet$-bundle associated to $\phi$, then we denote the $Z_\bullet$-bundle associated to $\phi i$ by $i^*\mathcal{E}$, and call it a *cover-restriction* of $\mathcal{E}$.

**Proposition 6.26** *Let $h\colon \Lambda^{n,k}_\bullet \to Z_\bullet$ be a $k$-horn of dimension $n$ in a simplicial space $Z_\bullet$, and define $q\colon Z_\bullet \hookrightarrow W_\bullet$ by filling this horn, i.e. $W_\bullet$ is the pushout of $\Delta^n_\bullet \hookleftarrow \Lambda^{n,k}_\bullet \xrightarrow{h} Z_\bullet$. Let $\phi\colon U_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ be a simplicial map from the ordered Čech complex associated to a finite and totally ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of a normal space $X$. Then there exists a zigzag chain of finite and totally ordered open covers*

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{U}'_1 \supseteq \mathcal{U}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}'_2 \supseteq \ldots \subseteq \mathcal{U}'_{s-1} \supseteq \mathcal{U}_{s-1} \subseteq \mathcal{U}'_s \supseteq \mathcal{U}_s$$

*together with simplicial maps $\phi_i$ and $\phi'_i$ from the various ordered Čech complexes into $W_\bullet$ such that each $\phi_i$ is equal to the cover-restriction of both $\phi'_{i-1}$ and $\phi'_i$, and the last simplicial map $\phi_s$ lifts through $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$. Moreover, if $A$ is a subset of $X$ such that $\phi$ restricted to $\mathcal{U}\cap A$ already lifts to $Z_\bullet$, then we may assume that the restriction to $A$ of all $\phi'_i$ and $\phi_i$ also lifts to $Z_\bullet$.*

At the center of this long and complicated proof there is an unpolished gem; the emptying of the horn by modifications of the cover.

**Proof:** It is enough to consider presimplicial maps from the non-degenerate part of the various ordered Čech complexes.

Our focus will be to move $\phi\colon N_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ away from the $k$th face of the filled horn. Recall that the $r$-simplices of $\Delta^n_\bullet$ correspond to order-preserving maps $\theta\colon [r] \to [n]$. Denote by $w_\theta$ the $r$-simplex in $W_r$ corresponding to $\theta$ under the simplicial map $\Delta^n_\bullet \to W_\bullet$. Let $\Theta$ be the set of all $\theta$ that factors as a surjective map $[r] \twoheadrightarrow [n-1]$ followed by the coface map $\delta_k\colon [n-1] \to [n]$ that omits $k$. Here we allow $r$ to vary. Choose a total ordering on $\Theta$ such that $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ whenever the domain of $\theta_1$ contains fewer elements than the domain of $\theta_2$. Each $\theta \in \Theta$ corresponds to a degenerate simplex above the $k$th face of $\Delta^n_\bullet$. Furthermore, for all $\theta \in \Theta$ the simplex $w_\theta$ is an isolated point of $W_r$.

The cover $\mathcal{U}$ has a finite and totally ordered indexing set $\mathcal{I}$. An order-preserving injection $\rho\colon [r] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}$ determines a subset $U_\rho = U_{\rho(0)} \cap \cdots \cap U_{\rho(r)}$. Moreover, $U_\rho$ is a summand of $N_r$. If the presimplicial map $\phi\colon N_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ does not factor through $q\colon Z_\bullet \hookrightarrow W_\bullet$, then some degenerate simplex above the $k$th face of $\Delta^n_\bullet$ lies in the image of $\phi$. From now on reserve $\theta$ as a symbol for the maximal element of $\Theta$ such that $w_\theta$ is contained in $\phi_r(N_r)$ for some $r$.

Define the *$\theta$-valence* of a presimplicial map $\phi\colon N_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ to be the number of order-preserving injections $\rho\colon [r] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}$ such that $\phi_r(U_\rho)$ contains $w_\theta$. We will soon construct a finite and totally ordered cover $\mathcal{U}'$ containing $\mathcal{U}$ as a subcover together with an extension $\phi'\colon N'_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ of $\phi$ such that there exists a shrinking $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ of $\mathcal{U}'$ where the cover-restriction $\phi^\epsilon\colon N^\epsilon_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ of $\phi'$ has smaller $\theta$-valence than $\phi$. Repeating this construction we will eventually reach a presimplicial map of $\theta$-valence zero, i.e. the point $w_\theta$ is no longer in its image. By downward induction on $\theta \in \Theta$ the forthcoming construction will establish the lemma.

For such a maximal $\theta$ choose any $\rho$ with $w_\theta \in \phi_r(U_\rho)$. Let $j$ be the largest integer such that $\theta(j-1) < k$. Define the indexing set $\mathcal{I}'$ by inserting into $\mathcal{I}$ a new index $\beta$ as the successor of $\rho(j-1)$, i.e. we have $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I} \cup \{\beta\}$. Since $w_\theta$ is an isolated point of $W_r$ the subset of $U_\rho$ mapping to $\theta$ is both closed and open, and we define $U_\beta = U_\rho \cap \phi^{-1}(w_\theta)$. Now view $U_\beta$ as a subspace of $X$, and consider the restriction of the presimplicial map $\phi$ to $U_\beta$. We claim that there is a factorization of $\phi|_{U_\beta}$ as a presimplicial map $N_\bullet \cap U_\beta \to \Delta^n_\bullet$ followed by the simplicial map $\Delta^n_\bullet \to W_\bullet$.

Let us verify this claim. Given an order-preserving injection $\tau\colon [t] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}$ let $\hat{t}$ be the smallest integer such that there exists order-preserving injections $\hat{\tau}$, $\mu$, and $\nu$ making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& {[t]} \arrow[dl, hook', "\nu"'] \arrow[dr, hook, "\tau"] & \\
{[\hat{t}]} \arrow[rr, hook, "\hat{\tau}"] & & \mathcal{I} \\
& {[r]} \arrow[ul, hook, "\mu"] \arrow[ur, hook', "\rho"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute. Observe that $\hat{\tau}$, $\mu$, and $\nu$ are unique. Informally, $\hat{\tau}$ is the union of $\tau$ and $\rho$.

By the constructions above $\phi_r$ maps any $x$ in $U_\rho \cap U_\beta$ to $w_\theta$ in $W_r$. Suppose that $U_{\hat{\tau}}$ meets $U_\beta$ and take some $x$ in $U_{\hat{\tau}} \cap U_\beta$. Observe that $\phi_{\hat{t}}(x) \in W_{\hat{t}}$ is sent to $w_\theta$ by the face operator $\mu^*$ corresponding to $\mu\colon [r] \to [\hat{t}]$. Inspecting the definition of $W_\bullet$ we see that all simplices mapping to $w_\theta$ by some face operator lies in the image of $\Delta^n_\bullet \to W_\bullet$. Hence $\phi_{\hat{t}}(x) = w_{\hat{\theta}}$ for some $\hat{\theta}\colon [\hat{t}] \to [n]$. The equation $\theta = \hat{\theta}\mu$ is satisfied, and for any $i \in [\hat{t}]$ outside the image of $\mu$ we must have $\hat{\theta}(i) = k$. Otherwise we get a contradiction, i.e. some $\theta_2 > \theta$ in $\Theta$ would be in the image of $\phi$. Thus $\hat{\theta}$ is uniquely determined. At last we see that $\phi_t$ maps any $x \in U_\tau \cap U_\beta$ to $w_{\hat{\theta}\nu}$ in $W_t$. This completes the verification of the claim.

Now define the cover $\mathcal{U}'$ as the union $\mathcal{U} \cap \{U_\beta\}$. Any extension $\phi' \colon N'_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ is completely determined by the restrictions of $\phi'_{t+1}$ to $U_{\tau'}$ for the order-preserving injections $\tau' \colon [t+1] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}'$ containing $\beta$ in their image. For any such $\tau'$ define $\tau$ and $\delta_l$ by the pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[t]} \arrow[r, hook, "\delta_l"] \arrow[d, hook, "\tau"'] & {[t+1]} \arrow[d, hook, "\tau'"] \\
\mathcal{I} \arrow[r, hook] & \mathcal{I}'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Applying the notation introduced above, we define $\theta' \colon [t+1] \to [n]$ by $\theta'(l) = k$ and $\theta'\delta_l = \hat{\theta}\nu$. For $x$ in $U_{\tau'} = U_\tau \cap U_\beta$ define $\phi'_{t+1}$ by the formula

$$\phi'_{t+1}(x) = w_{\theta'}.$$

Since $d_l \phi'_{t+1}(x) = w_{\theta'\delta_l} = w_{\hat{\theta}\nu} = \phi_t(x)$ it follows that $\phi'$ satisfies the presimplicial identities. Furthermore, $\phi$ and $\phi'$ have the same $\theta$-valence since $w_\theta$ is not contained in any $\phi'_{t+1}(U_{\tau'})$. What remains to be proved is that we can find a shrinking $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ of $\mathcal{U}'$ such that the cover-restriction $\phi^\epsilon$ of $\phi'$ has $\theta$-valence smaller than the $\theta$-valence of $\phi$ and $\phi'$.

Since $\mathcal{U}$ is a finite open cover of a normal space, we can choose a partition of unity $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ subordinate to the cover $\mathcal{U}$. Let $C$ be the closure of $U_\beta$ in $X$. Define $B$ to be the set of all points $x \in X \smallsetminus U_\beta$ with $\psi_{\rho(i)}(x) = \frac{1}{r+1}$ for $i = 0, \dots, r$. Because $U_\rho \smallsetminus U_\beta$ is an open neighborhood of $B$ in $X$, we see that $B$ and $C$ are disjoint closed subsets in $X$. By the Tietze extension theorem there exists a continuous function $\epsilon \colon X \to \left[0, \frac{1}{r+1}\right]$ such that $\epsilon(x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$ and $\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{r+1}$ for all $x$ in $B$. Informally, we think about the points $x$ with all $\psi_{\rho(i)}(x) = \frac{1}{r+1}$ as the bad guys. The role of $\epsilon$ is to separate the bad points inside $U_\beta$ from those outside.

We will now define a shrinking $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ of $\mathcal{U}'$ by shrinking the $U_{\rho(i)}$ while leaving the other open sets unchanged. Define $U^\epsilon_{\rho(i)}$ to be the set of all points $x \in U_{\rho(i)}$ satisfying the inequality

$$r \cdot \psi_{\rho(i)}(x) + \epsilon(x) > \psi_{\rho(0)}(x) + \cdots + \psi_{\rho(i-1)}(x) + \psi_{\rho(i+1)}(x) + \cdots + \psi_{\rho(r)}(x).$$

Explicitly, the cover $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ is given as the union $\{U^\epsilon_{\rho(i)}\}_{i=0}^r \cup \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \neq \rho(i), \beta} \cup \{U_\beta\}$. Let us verify that $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ really is a cover of $X$. Take an arbitrary point $x$ in $X$.

i) If $\sum_{i=0}^r \psi_{\rho(i)}(x) < 1$, then $x \in U_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ outside the image of $\rho$.

ii) If $\psi_{\rho(j)}(x) > \frac{1}{r+1}$ for some $j$, then

$$(r+1) \cdot \psi_{\rho(j)}(x) > 1 \geq \sum_{i=0}^r \psi_{\rho(i)}(x).$$

Consequently, $x$ lies in $U^{\epsilon}_{\rho(j)}$.

iii) If $x \in B$, then $\psi_{\rho(i)}(x) = \frac{1}{r+1}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r$ and $\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{r+1}$. Hence,

$$r \cdot \psi_{\rho(0)}(x) + \epsilon(x) = 1 > \frac{r}{r+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \psi_{\rho(i)}(x).$$

So, $x$ lies in $U^{\epsilon}_{\rho(0)}$.

iv) Otherwise, all $\psi_{\rho(i)}(x) = \frac{1}{r+1}$ and $x \notin B$, whence $x \in U_\beta$.

This shows that $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ indeed is a cover of $X$.

Define $\phi^\epsilon \colon N^\epsilon_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ to be the cover-restriction of $\phi'$ over $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon \subseteq \mathcal{U}'$. We claim that the $\theta$-valence of $\phi^\epsilon$ is less than the $\theta$-valence of $\phi'$. More precisely, $w_\theta$ is contained in $\phi'(U'_\rho)$, but not in $\phi^\epsilon(U^\epsilon_\rho)$. To see this, assume for a contradiction that $\phi^\epsilon(x) = w_\theta$ for some $x \in U^\epsilon_\rho$. By definition of $U_\beta$, we see that such $x$ must be contained in $U_\beta$, and consequently $\epsilon(x) = 0$. Moreover $x$ satisfies each of the inequalities defining $U^\epsilon_{\rho(i)}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r$. Adding all these inequalities we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r} (r \cdot \psi_{\rho(j)}(x)) + r \cdot \epsilon(x) > \sum_{j=0}^{r} \sum_{i \neq j} \psi_{\rho(i)}(x),$$

which contradicts $\epsilon(x) = 0$.

Addressing the last statement of the lemma, we consider a subset $A \subseteq X$ such that the restriction of $\phi$ to $N_\bullet \cap A$ already lifts through $q \colon Z_\bullet \hookrightarrow W_\bullet$. Let $\theta$, $\rho$, and $U_\beta$ be defined as above. Notice that $A \cap U_\beta$ must be empty, otherwise $w_\theta$ would be in the image of $\phi|_A$. Consequently, the restriction of $\mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{U} \cup \{U_\beta\}$ to $A$ equals $\mathcal{U} \cap A$, and similarly $\phi|_A = \phi'|_A$. It follows that $\phi'|_A$ lifts through $Z_\bullet$. Since $\phi^\epsilon|_A$ is a cover-restriction of $\phi'|_A$, also the restriction of $\phi^\epsilon$ to $A$ lifts through $Z_\bullet$, and we are done. □

**Corollary 6.27** *Let $X$ be a space and $A$ a subspace such that $(X, A)$ has the homotopy type of a CW pair. Let $h \colon \Lambda^{n,k}_\bullet \to Z_\bullet$ be a horn in a simplicial space $Z_\bullet$, and define $q \colon Z_\bullet \hookrightarrow W_\bullet$ by filling this horn, i.e. let $W_\bullet$ be the pushout of $\Delta^n_\bullet \hookleftarrow \Lambda^{n,k}_\bullet \xrightarrow{h} Z_\bullet$. Let $\mathcal{E}_A$ be any $Z_\bullet$-bundle over $A$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \to \mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(X, A; q_*\mathcal{E}_A)$$

*is a bijection.*

**Proof:** By Proposition 6.18 it is enough to show that $q$ induces surjections

$$q_* \colon \ \mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; \mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}) \to \mathrm{Con}^f_{W_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}})$$

for arbitrary integers $m$ and finite $Z_\bullet$-bundles $\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}$ over the boundary sphere. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be a finite $W_\bullet$-bundle over $D^m$ whose restriction to $S^{m-1}$ equals $q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}$.

Let $\mathcal{U}_0$ denote the corresponding finite and totally ordered open cover, and let $\phi_0\colon U^0_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ be the simplicial map associated to $\mathcal{E}_0$. By Proposition 6.26 there exists a zigzag chain of finite and totally ordered open covers

$$\mathcal{U}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{U}'_1 \supseteq \mathcal{U}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}'_2 \supseteq \ldots \subseteq \mathcal{U}'_{s-1} \supseteq \mathcal{U}_{s-1} \subseteq \mathcal{U}'_s \supseteq \mathcal{U}_s$$

together with simplicial maps $\phi_i$ and $\phi'_i$ from the various ordered Čech complexes into $W_\bullet$ such that each $\phi_i$ is equal to the cover-restriction of both $\phi'_{i-1}$ and $\phi'_i$, and the last simplicial map $\phi_s$ lifts through $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$. Moreover, the restriction to $A$ of each $\phi'_i$ and $\phi_i$ lifts to $Z_\bullet$.

We will now build a concordance $\mathcal{E}_{D^m\times I}$ between $W_\bullet$-bundles such that $i_0^*\mathcal{E}_{D^m\times I} = \mathcal{E}_0$ while the restrictions of $\mathcal{E}_{D^m\times I}$ to $D^m \times \{1\}$ and $S^{m-1}\times I$ lifts to $Z_\bullet$-bundles. Let $\mathcal{I}'_i$ be the indexing set of $\mathcal{U}'_i$. The elements of $\mathcal{U}_i$ and $\mathcal{U}'_i$ will be denoted by $U_{i,\alpha}$ and $U'_{i,\alpha}$ respectively, and we extend the notation by letting $U_{i,\alpha} = \emptyset$ and $U'_{i,\alpha} = \emptyset$ whenever the index $\alpha$ lies outside the respective indexing sets. Now define a cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $X \times I$ indexed by the union $\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{I}'_i$ by defining the open set $U_\alpha$ to be the union

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{s} U_{i,\alpha} \times \left\{\frac{i}{s}\right\}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} U'_{i,\alpha} \times \left(\frac{i-1}{s}, \frac{i}{s}\right)\right).$$

We define a simplicial map $\phi$ from the ordered Čech complex of $\mathcal{U}$ into $W_\bullet$ by defining each restriction $\phi|_{X\times\{\frac{i}{s}\}}$ to be equal to $\phi_i$ whereas each restriction $\phi|_{X\times\{t\}}$ is defined equal to $\phi'_i$ for all $t$ between $\frac{i-1}{s}$ and $\frac{i}{s}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{D^m\times I}$ be the $W_\bullet$-bundle corresponding to $\phi\colon U_\bullet \to W_\bullet$.

Recall that $D^m \times I/S^{m-1}$ denotes the quotient space of $D^m \times I$ where we have identified $(\mathbf{x}, t_0)$ with $(\mathbf{x}, t_1)$ for $\mathbf{x} \in S^{m-1}$ and any two $t_0, t_1 \in I$. Let $H\colon D^m \times I/S^{m-1} \to D^m \times I$ be a map with $H(\mathbf{x}, 1) \in S^{m-1}\times I \cup D^m \times \{1\}$ and $H(\mathbf{x}, 0) = (\mathbf{x}, 0)$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in D^m$. Then $H^*\mathcal{E}_{D^m\times I}$ gives a concordance relative to $q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}$ between the $W_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_0$ and another $W_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'$ such that $\mathcal{E}' = q_*\mathcal{E}$ for some $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ with $\mathcal{E}|_{S^{m-1}} = \mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}$. Thus we have shown that the map $\mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; \mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}) \to \mathrm{Con}^f_{W_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}})$ is surjective. $\square$

We will now show how Quillen's small object argument, see for example [11, Proposition 7.17], can be use to replace an arbitrary simplicial space $Z_\bullet$ with a simplicial space $\tilde{Z}_\bullet$ satisfying the topological Kan condition, Definition 4.1. First observe that $\tilde{Z}_\bullet$ satisfies the topological Kan condition if and only if all horn filling problems of the forms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda^{n,k}_\bullet \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \tilde{Z}_\bullet & \text{and} & D^l \times I \times \Lambda^{n,k}_\bullet \cup D^l \times \{0\} \times \Delta^n_\bullet \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \tilde{Z}_\bullet \\
\Delta^n_\bullet \arrow[ur, dotted] & & & D^l \times I \times \Delta^n_\bullet \arrow[ur, dotted] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

can be solved. Therefore we define $J$ as to be the union of the sets of simplicial maps

$$\{\Lambda^{n,k}_\bullet \hookrightarrow \Delta^n_\bullet\} \cup \{D^l \times I \times \Lambda^{n,k}_\bullet \cup D^l \times \{0\} \times \Delta^n_\bullet \hookrightarrow D^l \times I \times \Delta^n_\bullet\}$$

where the integers $k$, $l$ and $n$ vary freely.

A *relative $J$-cell complex* is a map $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ that can be constructed as a transfinite composition of pushouts of elements of $J$, see [16, Definition 10.5.8]. A *presentation* of a relative $J$-cell complex $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ is a particular choice of how to construct $W_\bullet$ from $Z_\bullet$, see [16, Section 10.6]. Moreover, a *subcomplex* of a presented relative $J$-cell complex $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ is a presented relative $J$-cell complex $q'\colon Z_\bullet \to W'_\bullet$ together with a map $W'_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ sending each $J$-cell of $W'_\bullet$ to a $J$-cell of $W_\bullet$. A relative $J$-cell complex is *finite* if it can be constructed by attaching only finitely many $J$-cells, i.e. if the transfinite composition is actually finite.

**Lemma 6.28** *Let $C_\bullet$ be a presimplicial space such that each $C_k$ is compact and there exists an integer $K$ such that $C_k = \emptyset$ for all $k > K$. Let $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ be a relative $J$-cell complex. Then for any presimplicial map $f\colon C_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ there is a finite subcomplex $q'\colon Z_\bullet \to W'_\bullet$ such that $f$ factors as a presimplicial map $f'\colon C_\bullet \to W'_\bullet$ followed by the subcomplex inclusion $W'_\bullet \to W_\bullet$.*

**Proof:** Fix a presentation of $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$, and observe that each $q_k\colon Z_k \to W_k$ is a relative cell complex of topological spaces. By [16, Proposition 10.8.7] it follows that $f_k\colon C_k \to W_k$ intersects only interiors of finitely many cells. Using that $C_k$ is non-empty in only finitely many simplicial degrees, we see that the image of $f$ intersects only interiors of finitely many $J$-cells of the given presentation of $Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$.

To finish the proof it is enough to show that each $J$-cell of $W_\bullet$ is contained in a finite subcomplex. The argument uses a transfinite induction over the given presentation of the relative $J$-cell complex. Observe that the non-degenerate part of the domain of a $J$-cell satisfies the same assumptions as the presimplicial space $C_\bullet$. It follows from the first part of this proof that any attaching map of a $J$-cell intersects only interiors of finitely many $J$-cells. By the induction hypothesis it follows that the attaching map of a $J$-cell has image contained in a finite subcomplex, and we are done. □

**Proposition 6.29** *Let $X$ be a space and $A$ a subspace such that $(X, A)$ has the homotopy type of a CW pair. Let $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ be a relative $J$-cell complex. Then for any $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_A$ over $A$ the induced map*

$$\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, Z; \mathcal{E}_A) \to \mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(X, A; q_*\mathcal{E}_A)$$

*is a bijection.*

**Proof:** Using Proposition 6.18 we reduce to showing that $q$ induces surjections

$$q_*\colon\ \mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; \mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}) \to \mathrm{Con}^f_{W_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}})$$

for any $m$ and finite $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}$ over the boundary sphere. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be a $W_\bullet$-bundle that equals $q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}$ over $S^{m-1}$, and let $\mathcal{U}$ be the finite and totally ordered cover associated to $\mathcal{E}_0$. Choose a partition of unity $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ subordinate to $\mathcal{U}$. Denote by $\phi\colon U_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ the simplicial map associated to $\mathcal{E}$.

Since $\mathcal{U}$ is finite there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ defined as $\{\phi_\alpha^{-1}(\epsilon, 1]\}$ is a cover of $D^m$. Between $\mathcal{U}$ and its shrinking $\mathcal{U}^\epsilon$ there is a cover $\{\phi_\alpha^{-1}[\epsilon, 1]\}$ consisting of compact subsets. Associated to these three covers we have the non-degenerate part of the ordered Čech complexes and natural inclusions

$$N^\epsilon_\bullet \to C_\bullet \to N_\bullet.$$

We observe that $C_\bullet$, associated to the compact cover, satisfies the condition of Lemma 6.28. Consequently, the restriction of $\phi$ to $C_\bullet$ factors through some finite subcomplex $q'\colon\ Z_\bullet \to W'_\bullet$ of the relative $J$-cell complex $q\colon\ Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$. Hence, the restriction of $\phi$ to $U^\epsilon_\bullet$ factors as a map $U^\epsilon_\bullet \to W'_\bullet$ followed by the subcomplex inclusion $W'_\bullet \to W_\bullet$. Using the technique from the proof of Corollary 6.27 we can now construct a finite $W'_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'$ with $\mathcal{E}'|_{S^{m-1}} = q'_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}$ such that the associated $W_\bullet$-bundle is concordant to $\mathcal{E}_0$ relative to $S^{m-1}$. Thus $[\mathcal{E}_0]$ is in the image of

$$\mathrm{Con}^f_{W'_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; q'_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}) \to \mathrm{Con}^f_{W_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}).$$

Since $q'\colon\ Z_\bullet \to W'_\bullet$ is a finite relative $J$-cell complex there is a finite chain of simplicial spaces

$$Z_\bullet = W^0_\bullet \to W^1_\bullet \to W^2_\bullet \to \cdots \to W^{s-1}_\bullet \to W^s_\bullet = W'_\bullet$$

such that each $W^i_\bullet \to W^{i+1}_\bullet$ is the pushout of some element from $J$. Let $q^i$ denote the composition $Z_\bullet \to W^i_\bullet$. We claim that for each $i$ the induced map of concordance classes

$$\mathrm{Con}^f_{W^i_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; q^i_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Con}^f_{W^{i+1}_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; q^{i+1}_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}})$$

is a bijection. There are two cases to verify. First, if $W^{i+1}_\bullet$ is the pushout of $D^l \times I \times \Delta^n_\bullet \hookleftarrow D^l \times I \times \Lambda^{n,k}_\bullet \cup D^l \times \{0\} \times \Delta^n_\bullet \to W^i_\bullet$, then $W^i_\bullet \to W^{i+1}_\bullet$ is in each simplicial degree a homotopy equivalence, whence the map above is a bijection by Theorem 6.25. Secondly, if $W^{i+1}$ is the pushout of $\Delta^n_\bullet \hookleftarrow \Lambda^{n,k}_\bullet \to W^i_\bullet$, the the map above is a bijection by Corollary 6.27.

Composing these bijections, we get a bijection

$$\mathrm{Con}^f_{Z_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; \mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Con}^f_{W'_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; q'_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}).$$

Thus there is a $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ whose restriction to $S^{m-1}$ equals $\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}$ and such that $[q_*\mathcal{E}] = [\mathcal{E}_0]$. This finish the proof. □

**Lemma 6.30** *If $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ is a relative $J$-cell complex, then its geometric realization $|Z_\bullet| \to |W_\bullet|$ is a weak equivalence.*

**Proof:** Pushouts commutes with geometric realization, and the geometric realization of any element of $J$ is both a relative CW complex and a weak equivalence. The conclusion follows. □

By Quillen's small object argument we now get:

**Theorem 6.31** *Let $Z_\bullet$ be a simplicial space, let $X$ be a space, and $A$ a subspace such that $(X, A)$ has the homotopy type of a CW pair. Then there exists a simplicial space $\tilde{Z}_\bullet$ together with a simplicial map $q\colon Z_\bullet \to \tilde{Z}_\bullet$ such that*

*i) $\tilde{Z}_\bullet$ satisfies the topological Kan condition,*

*ii) for any $Z_\bullet$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_A$ over $A$ the induced map*

$$\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \xrightarrow{q_*} \mathrm{Con}_{\tilde{Z}_\bullet}(X, A; q_*\mathcal{E}_A)$$

*is a bijection, and*

*iii) the geometric realization $|q|\colon |Z_\bullet| \to |\tilde{Z}_\bullet|$ is a weak equivalence.*

*Moreover, if $Z_\bullet$ is a good simplicial space, then $\tilde{Z}$ can also be taken to be a good simplicial space.*

**Proof:** The set $J$ of simplicial maps permits the small object argument. By the small object argument, see for example [16, Proposition 10.5.16], it is possible to construct a simplicial map $q\colon Z_\bullet \to \tilde{Z}_\bullet$ such that $q$ is a relative $J$-cell complex and $\tilde{Z}_\bullet \to *$ has the right lifting property with respect to any elemeny of $J$. The last statement immediately implies that $\tilde{Z}_\bullet$ satisfies the topological Kan condition. By Lemma 6.30 the geometric realization $|q|$ is a weak equivalence. Property iii) is implied by Proposition 6.29. □

**Remark 6.32** *It is natural to ask for a model structure on simplicial spaces such that the weak equivalences are the maps $Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ that induce bijections $q\colon \mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; \mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}) \to \mathrm{Con}_{W_\bullet}(D^m, S^{m-1}; q_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}})$ for all $m$ and $\mathcal{E}_{S^{m-1}}$, and fibrant objects are the simplicial spaces satisfying the topological Kan condition. Such a model structure would not be identical to the realization model structure of [24]. We would like more fibrant objects, compare [24, Lemma 8.10]. However, answering this question is beyond the scope of the present paper.*

# 7 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We want to relate concordance classes of $Z_\bullet$-bundles to homotopy classes of maps into the geometric realization $|Z_\bullet|$. Intuitively, a $Z_\bullet$-bundle over $X$ is a simplicial map $\phi\colon U_\bullet \to Z_\bullet$, and realizing gives a map $|U_\bullet| \to |Z_\bullet|$. As explained in [9] there is a natural weak equivalence $X \xleftarrow{\simeq} |U_\bullet|$ for any ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}$. Whenever $X$ has the homotopy type of a CW-complex each $Z_\bullet$-bundle yields a well-defined homotopy class of maps from $X$ into $|Z_\bullet|$. Actually, we will not use Dugger and Isaksens weak equivalence, but rather we compare homotopy classes and concordance classes by more direct means.

Given a topological space $Y$ there are several ways to functorially associate a simplicial space. We have the *constant simplicial space* $C_\bullet(Y)$ defined in each simplicial degree as $C_k(Y) = Y$ and with all face and degeneracy maps equal to the identity map on $Y$. On the other hand we have the *continuous singular simplicial space* $S_\bullet(Y)$ whose space of $k$-simplices is the mapping space $\mathcal{T}op(\Delta^k, Y)$. Observe that $C_\bullet(-)$ is the left adjoint to the space of 0-simplices functor $Z_\bullet \mapsto Z_0$ and that $S_\bullet(-)$ is the right adjoint to geometric realization of simplicial spaces. Since we have a homeomorphism $Y \xrightarrow{\cong} S_0(Y)$ there is a natural map $C_\bullet(Y) \to S_\bullet(Y)$. Inspecting the definitions, we see that this natural map assigns to a point $y$ in $C_k(Y) = Y$ the constant map sending all of $\Delta^k$ to $y \in Y$. We may also consider the discrete topology on the set of maps $\Delta^k \to Y$. This defines the *singular simplicial set* $\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet Y$. There is a natural simplicial map $\kappa\colon \operatorname{Sing}_\bullet Y \to S_\bullet(Y)$ given as the identity on the underlying sets.

**Lemma 7.1** *The simplicial spaces $C_\bullet(Y)$, $S_\bullet(Y)$, and $\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet Y$ are good, the geometric realization $|C_\bullet(Y)|$ is naturally homeomorphic to $Y$, and the natural maps induces weak equivalences*

$$Y \cong |C_\bullet(Y)| \xrightarrow{\simeq} |S_\bullet(Y)| \xleftarrow{\simeq} |\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet Y|.$$

**Proof:** Clearly $C_\bullet(Y)$ is a good simplicial space, i.e. all degeneracies are closed cofibrations, and we see that the geometric realization of $C_\bullet(Y)$ is naturally homeomorphic to $Y$. Furthermore, it is not difficult to show explicitly that the map $\mathcal{T}op(\Delta^{k-1}, Y) \to \mathcal{T}op(\Delta^k, Y)$, induced by $\sigma_i\colon \Delta^k \to \Delta^{k-1}$, is the inclusion of a deformation retract. Consequently, also $S_\bullet(Y)$ is good. The singular simplicial set is in each simplicial degree discrete, hence $\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet Y$ is good.

Let $q\colon Z_\bullet \to W_\bullet$ be simplicial map between good simplicial spaces. It is a classical result that the geometric realization $|q|$ is a weak equivalence if each $q_k\colon Z_k \to W_k$ is a weak equivalence, see for example [20, Section 2.2]. This applies to the simplicial map $C_\bullet(Y) \to S_\bullet(Y)$, i.e. we have a weak equivalence

$$Y \cong |C_\bullet(Y)| \xrightarrow{\simeq} |S_\bullet(Y)|.$$

It is well-known that the counit of the adjunction between $|-|$ and $\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet$ is a natural weak equivelence $|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet Y| \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y$. Now observe that the counit of the adjunction between geometric realization and the functor $S_\bullet(-)$ is a retraction to the weak equivelence $|C_\bullet(Y)| \xrightarrow{\simeq} |S_\bullet(Y)|$. The result now follows by commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{|S_\bullet(Y)|} \arrow[dr, "\simeq"] & & {|\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet Y|} \arrow[ll, "\kappa"'] \arrow[dl, "\simeq"'] \\
& Y. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Let $A$ be a subspace of $X$, and fix a continuous map $g\colon A \to Y$. Denote by $[X, A; Y, g]$ the set of maps $f\colon X \to Y$ whose restriction to $A$ equals the fixed map $f$ modulo homotopy relative to $A$, i.e. two such maps $f_0$ and $f_1$ represent the same class if there is a homotpy between them that is constant equal to $g$ on $A$.

Let $\phi\colon U_\bullet \to C_\bullet(Y)$ be the simplicial map of a $C_\bullet(Y)$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $X$ subordinate to some ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}$. At the level of 0-simplices $\phi$ maps each open set $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ into $Y$. Moreover, these maps agree on each intersection $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Hence $\phi$ comes from a continuous map $X \to Y$, and we call this map the *underlying map* of the $C_\bullet(Y)$-bundle. We now have the following important, but easy observation:

**Proposition 7.2** *Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological spaces, and let $A$ be a subspace of $X$. Let $g\colon A \to Y$ be the underlying map of a $C_\bullet(Y)$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_A$ over $A$. Then the underling map of $C_\bullet(Y)$-bundles defines a natural bijection*

$$\operatorname{Con}_{C_\bullet(Y)}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \xrightarrow{\cong} [X, A; Y, g].$$

**Proof:** We compare the notion of a map $X \to Y$ to the notion of a $C_\bullet(Y)$-bundle over $X$. The only additional piece of data contained in the latter definition is the choice of an ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}$ over $X$. Clearly any two ways of making this choice is equivalent up to concordance. The result follows. □

Let us compare $C_\bullet(Y)$-bundles and $S_\bullet(Y)$-bundles.

**Proposition 7.3** *Let $A \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed cofibration such that $(X, A)$ has the homotopy type of a CW pair. Let $Y$ be a topological space, and let $\mathcal{E}_A$ be any $C_\bullet(Y)$-bundle over $A$. Then the natural map $i\colon C_\bullet(Y) \to S_\bullet(Y)$ induces a bijection*

$$\operatorname{Con}_{C_\bullet(Y)}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Con}_{S_\bullet(Y)}(X, A; i_*\mathcal{E}_A).$$

**Proof:** This follows immediately from Theorem 6.25 since the simplicial map $i$ in each simplicial degree $k$ is a homotopy equivalence $Y = C_k(Y) \to S_k(Y) =$

$\mathcal{T}op(\Delta^k, Y)$. □

Let us also compare $S_\bullet(-)$-bundles for weakly equivalent spaces $Y$ and $Y'$.

**Corollary 7.4** *Let $A \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed cofibration such that $A$ has the homotopy type of a CW complex and $(X, A)$ has the homotopy type of a CW pair. Let $f\colon Y \to Y'$ be a weak equivalence, and let $\mathcal{E}_A$ be any $S_\bullet(Y)$-bundle over $A$. Then $f$ induces a bijection*

$$\mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(Y)}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(Y')}(X, A; f_*\mathcal{E}_A).$$

**Proof:** By Proposition 7.3 there is a $C_\bullet(Y)$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'_A$ over $A$ and a concordance $\mathcal{E}_{A\times I}$ between the $S_\bullet(Y)$-bundles $i_*\mathcal{E}'_A$ and $\mathcal{E}_A$. By Lemma 6.15 and Proposition 6.16 gluing of $\mathcal{E}_{A\times I}$ gives bijections. Hence, we have a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Con}_{C_\bullet(Y)}(X, A; \mathcal{E}'_A) \arrow[r, "②"] \arrow[d, "①"'] & \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(Y)}(X, A; i_*\mathcal{E}'_A) \arrow[r, "④"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(Y)}(X, A; \mathcal{E}_A) \arrow[d, "⑥"] \\
\mathrm{Con}_{C_\bullet(Y')}(X, A; f_*\mathcal{E}'_A) \arrow[r, "③"] & \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(Y')}(X, A; f_*i_*\mathcal{E}'_A) \arrow[r, "⑤"] & \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(Y')}(X, A; f_*\mathcal{E}_A)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ④ and ⑤ are bijections. Furthermore, ① is a bijection by Proposition 7.2, and ② and ③ are bijections by Proposition 7.3. It follows that ⑥ is a bijection. □

Let $Z_\bullet$ be a simplicial space. Since the diagram $C_\bullet(-) \to S_\bullet(-) \leftarrow \mathrm{Sing}_\bullet(-)$ is natural, we can insert $Z_\bullet$ and produce a diagram of bisimplicial spaces

$$C_\bullet(Z_\bullet) \to S_\bullet(Z_\bullet) \leftarrow \mathrm{Sing}_\bullet(Z_\bullet).$$

Applying the diagonal we recover from $C_\bullet(Z_\bullet)$ the simplicial space $Z_\bullet$. Define the functors $T$ and $D$ by sending $Z_\bullet$ to $\operatorname{diag} S_\bullet(Z_\bullet)$ and $\operatorname{diag} \mathrm{Sing}_\bullet(Z_\bullet)$ respectively. The underlying sets of $D(Z_\bullet)$ and $T(Z_\bullet)$ are identical. In both cases a $k$-simplex is represented by a continuous map $\Delta^k \to Z_k$. The difference is the topology we place on this set. In the case of $D(Z_\bullet)$ we take the discrete topology. In the case of $T(Z_\bullet)$ we take the compact-open topology on each of the mapping spaces. Let $\kappa\colon D(Z_\bullet) \to T(Z_\bullet)$ denote the natural map which is the identity on the underlying sets.

**Lemma 7.5** *Assume that $Z_\bullet$ is a good simplicial space. The geometric realization of $Z_\bullet \to T(Z_\bullet) \leftarrow D(Z_\bullet)$ gives a diagram of natural weak equivalences*

$$|Z_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\simeq} |T(Z_\bullet)| \xleftarrow{\simeq} |D(Z_\bullet)|.$$

**Proof:** Suppose that $W_{\bullet,\bullet}$ is a bisimplicial space. We can take the geometric realization in two steps; first by defining a simplicial space $[k] \mapsto |W_{k,\bullet}|$ and then realizing again to get $|[k] \mapsto |W_{k,\bullet}||$, or we can take the geometric realization of the diagonal, $|\operatorname{diag} W_{\bullet,\bullet}|$. It is a classical result that these two construction produce naturally homeomorphic spaces.

By Lemma 7.1 we get for each $k$ weak equivalences

$$Z_k \xrightarrow{\simeq} |S_\bullet(Z_k)| \xleftarrow{\simeq} |\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet Z_k|.$$

The simplicial space $Z_\bullet$ is good by assumption, and it is not hard to see that $[k] \mapsto |\operatorname{Sing}_\bullet Z_k|$ is good. Observing that each degeneracy $s_i \colon Z_{k-1} \to Z_k$ is a closed cofibration, we use [30, Lemma 4] to conclude that the induced map $\mathcal{T}op(\Delta^l, Z_{k-1}) \to \mathcal{T}op(\Delta^l, Z_k)$ also is a closed cofibration. It follows that $[k] \mapsto |S_\bullet(Z_k)|$ is a good simplicial space.

The statement of the lemma follows since $|Z_\bullet| \to |T(Z_\bullet)| \leftarrow |D(Z_\bullet)|$ is homeomorphic to the geometric realization of simplicial maps which are weak equivalences in each simplicial degree. □

Given a simplicial space $Z_\bullet$, let $\eta\colon Z_\bullet \to S_\bullet(|Z_\bullet|)$ denote the unit of the adjunction between geometric realization and the continuous singular simplicial space. We use $\eta$ to relate $Z_\bullet$-bundles to homotopy classes of maps into $|Z_\bullet|$. To be precise, if $\mathcal{E}$ is a $Z_\bullet$-bundle over a CW-complex $X$ represented by a simplicial map $U_\bullet \xrightarrow{\phi} Z_\bullet$, then composing with $\eta$ yields $U_\bullet \to S_\bullet(|Z_\bullet|)$, and this simplicial map corresponds to a well-defined homotopy class of maps $X \to |Z_\bullet|$ by Proposition 7.2 and Proposition 7.3. Our aim is to prove that that $\eta$, under reasonable assumptions, induces a bijection between concordance classes. To handle a technical point in this proof we need:

**Theorem 7.6 ((Whitney))** *Every open subset of $S^{n-1}$ is a CW-complex.*

**Proof:** Every proper subset $U$ of $S^{n-1}$ embeds as an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Consider meshes $\mathscr{M}_s$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ of cubes with side length $2^{-s}$, such that $\mathscr{M}_{s+1}$ is a subdivision of $\mathscr{M}_s$. Following [33, Section 8], we can write $U$ as a union $\bigcup_s K_s$ such that $K_s$ consists of cubes in $\mathscr{M}_s$, the interiors of the $K_s$'s are mutually disjoint, and $K_s$ meets only $K_{s-1}$ and $K_{s+1}$. This is a CW-structure on $U$. □

**Lemma 7.7** *Assume that $Z_\bullet$ satisfies the topological Kan condition and $\mathcal{U}$ is a good ordered open cover of $S^{n-1}$. For every simplicial map $\phi\colon U_\bullet \to T(Z_\bullet)$ there exists a simplicial map $\Phi\colon U_\bullet \times I \to T(Z_\bullet)$ such that $\phi = \Phi(-,1)$ and $\Phi(-,0)$ factors as $U_\bullet \to D(Z_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\kappa} T(Z_\bullet)$.*

**Proof:** Let $N_\bullet$ be the non-degenerate part of the ordered Čech complex $U_\bullet$ associated to the good ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}$. It is enough to define $\Phi$ as a presimplicial map $N_\bullet \times I \to T(Z_\bullet)$. Inspecting the definition of $T(Z_\bullet)$, we see that maps $N_\bullet \to T(Z_\bullet)$ can be described by continuous maps $\phi_k\colon N_k \times \Delta^k \to Z_k$

such that the following *presimplicial coherence diagrams* commute for all face maps $d_i$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& N_k \times \Delta^k \arrow[r, "\phi_k"] & Z_k \arrow[dd, "d_i"] \\
N_k \times \Delta^{k-1} \arrow[ur, "id\times\delta_i"] \arrow[dr, "d_i\times id"'] & & \\
& N_{k-1} \times \Delta^{k-1} \arrow[r, "\phi_{k-1}"] & Z_{k-1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Observe that a map $N_\bullet \to T(Z_\bullet)$ factors through $\kappa\colon D(Z_\bullet) \to T(Z_\bullet)$ if and only if for each $k$ and $\mathbf{t} \in \Delta^k$ the function sending $x \in N_k$ to $\phi_k(x, \mathbf{t})$ is a locally constant on $N_k$.

By definition the space of non-degenerate $k$-simplices is $N_k = \coprod_{\alpha_0<\cdots<\alpha_k} U_{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$. Since $\mathcal{U}$ is a good cover, each connected component of $N_k$ is contractible. Hence, there exists local contractions $H^k\colon N_k \times I \to N_k$, i.e. homotopies such that for $x \in N_k$ we have $H^k(x, 1) = x$, whereas $H^k(x, 0)$ is locally constant.

Let $\phi_k\colon N_k \times \Delta^k \to Z_k$ be the collection of maps that represents the given simplicial map $\phi\colon U_\bullet \to T(Z_\bullet)$. By induction on $k$ we will construct maps $\Phi_k\colon N_k \times I \times \Delta^k \to Z_k$ such that

i) $\Phi_k(x, 1, \mathbf{t}) = \phi_k(x, \mathbf{t})$ for all $x \in N_k$ and $\mathbf{t} \in \Delta^k$,

ii) for $\mathbf{t} \in \Delta^k$ fixed, the expression $\Phi_k(x, s, \mathbf{t})$ is locally constant as a function $N_k \times \left[0, \frac{1}{k+2}\right] \to Z_k$, and

iii) all presimplicial coherence diagrams for $\Phi$ commute.

We begin by defining $\Phi_0$. For $x \in N_0$, $s \in I$ and $1 \in \Delta^0$ we define

$$\Phi_0(x, s, 1) = \begin{cases} \phi_0(H^0(x, 2s-1), 1) & \text{if } s \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ \phi_0(H^0(x, 0), 1) & \text{if } s \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Next, we construct $\Phi_k$ from $\Phi_i$, $i < k$. This is done in four steps:

*First step.* In this step we will extend $\phi_k\colon N_k \times \{1\} \times \Delta^k \to Z_k$ to a map

$$\Phi_k\colon \left(N_k \times \left[\frac{1}{k+1}, 1\right] \times \partial\Delta^k\right) \cup \left(N_k \times \{1\} \times \Delta^k\right) \to Z_k.$$

We will do this by induction over the dimension of proper faces $\sigma$ of $\Delta^k$. Given a face $\sigma$, let $I$ be the subset of $[k]$ such that $n \in I$ if and only if $\sigma$ is contained in the $n$'th $(k-1)$-face of $\Delta^k$. We can, by the induction hypothesis, construct a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N_k \times \left(\left[\frac{1}{k+1}, 1\right] \times \partial\sigma \cup \{1\} \times \sigma\right) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z_k \arrow[d, "c_k^I"] \\
N_k \times \left[\frac{1}{k+1}, 1\right] \times \sigma \arrow[r] & C\Lambda_k^I(Z_\bullet),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the lower map comes from the $\Phi_i$, $i < k$. The vertical map on the left side is a cellular inclusion between CW-complexes, and a homotopy equivalence. The topological Kan condition says that $c_k^I$ is a Serre fibration. Hence, a lift exists, and we use this to define $\Phi_k$ on $N_k \times \left[\frac{1}{k+1}, 1\right] \times \sigma$.

*Second step.* Let

$$r\colon \left[\frac{1}{k+1}, 1\right] \times \Delta^k \to \big( \left[\frac{1}{k+1}, 1\right] \times \partial\Delta^k\big) \cup \big(\{1\} \times \Delta^k\big)$$

be a retraction. For $x \in N_k$, $\frac{1}{k+1} \leq s \leq 1$, $\mathbf{t} \in \Delta^k$, we define

$$\Phi_k(x, s, \mathbf{t}) = \Phi_k(r(x, s, \mathbf{t})),$$

where the $\Phi_k$ on the right side is defined by the previous step.

*Third step.* Recall that $H^k$ denoted a local contraction of $N_k$. Choose a function $f\colon \left[\frac{1}{k+2}, \frac{1}{k+1}\right] \to I$ such that $f(\frac{1}{k+2}) = 0$ and $f(\frac{1}{k+1}) = 1$. For $x \in N_k$, $\frac{1}{k+2} \leq s \leq 1$, $\mathbf{t} \in \Delta^k$, we now define

$$\Phi_k(x, s, \mathbf{t}) = \begin{cases} \Phi_k(x, s, \mathbf{t}) & \text{if } \frac{1}{k+1} \leq s, \\ \Phi_k(H^k(x, f(s)), \frac{1}{k+1}, \mathbf{t}) & \text{if } \frac{1}{k+2} \leq s \leq \frac{1}{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

Again, the $\Phi_k$ on the right comes from the previous step. At this stage, we should verify that the presimplicial coherence diagrams commute for $s \in \left[\frac{1}{k+2}, \frac{1}{k+1}\right]$, i.e. we need to check the equality

$$d_i \Phi_k(x, s, \delta_i \mathbf{t}) = \Phi_{k-1}(d_i x, s, \mathbf{t})$$

for all face maps $d_i$, $x \in N_k$, $s$ as above and $t \in \Delta^{k-1}$. This is an easy exercise using that equality holds for $s = \frac{1}{k+1}$, and that when fixing $\mathbf{t}$ the function $\Phi_{k-1}(y, s, \mathbf{t})$ is locally constant on $N_{k-1} \times \left[0, \frac{1}{k+1}\right]$.

*Final step.* The steps above define $\Phi_k$ on $N_k \times \left[\frac{1}{k+2}, 1\right] \times \Delta^k$. Now extend the domain to all of $N_k \times I \times \Delta^k$ by

$$\Phi_k(x, s, \mathbf{t}) = \Phi_k(x, \frac{1}{k+2}, \mathbf{t}), \quad \text{for } s \leq \frac{1}{k+2}.$$

This completes the construction of all $\Phi_k$s.

Since $\Phi_k(x, 0, \mathbf{t})$ is locally constant for fixed $\mathbf{t} \in \Delta^k$, it follows that $\Phi(-, 0)\colon N_\bullet \to T(Z_\bullet)$ factors through $D(Z_\bullet)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

**Lemma 7.8** *Let* $Z_\bullet$ *be a good simplicial space satisfying the topological Kan condition. Let* $\mathcal{U}$ *be a good ordered open cover of* $D^n$ *such that the restriction to* $S^{n-1}$ *also is good. Let* $U_\bullet$ *and* $U_\bullet^\partial$ *denote the ordered Čech complex associated to* $\mathcal{U}$ *and its restriction to* $S^{n-1}$ *respectively. Suppose that the pair* $(|U_\bullet|, |U_\bullet^\partial|)$ *is a relative CW-complex. Let* $\phi^\partial$ *be a simplicial map* $U_\bullet^\partial \to D(Z_\bullet)$*, and let* $\tilde{\phi}$ *be a continuous map* $|U_\bullet| \to |T(Z_\bullet)|$ *such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U_\bullet^\partial \arrow[r, "\phi^\partial"] \arrow[d] & D(Z_\bullet) \arrow[d, "\eta\kappa"] \\
U_\bullet \arrow[r, "\phi"] & S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)
\end{tikzcd}$$

*commutes. Here* $\phi$ *is the adjoint of* $\tilde{\phi}$*. Then there exists a simplicial map* $\phi' \colon U_\bullet \to D(Z_\bullet)$ *extending* $\phi^\partial$ *such that the composition* $|U_\bullet| \xrightarrow{|\phi'|} |D(Z_\bullet)| \xrightarrow{|\kappa|} |T(Z_\bullet)|$ *is homotopic to* $\tilde{\phi}$ *relative to* $|U_\bullet^\partial|$.

**Proof:** Since $|\kappa|\colon |D(Z_\bullet)| \to |T(Z_\bullet)|$ is a weak equivalence it follows by classical techniques, see [27, Theorem 7.6.22], that there exists a map $\tilde{\phi}_1\colon |U_\bullet| \to |D(Z_\bullet)|$ such that the composition

$$|U_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_1} |D(Z_\bullet)| \xrightarrow{|\kappa|} |T(Z_\bullet)|$$

is homotopic to $\tilde{\phi}$ relative to $|U_\bullet^\partial|$.

A key idea in what follows is to replace the Čech complexes $U_\bullet$ and $U_\bullet^\partial$ by their simplicial sets of path components $V_\bullet$ and $V_\bullet^\partial$. To be precise, we define the $k$-simplices of $V_\bullet$ to be the set of path components of $U_k$, i.e. we have $V_k = \pi_0 U_k$. Because $\mathcal{U}$ is good the natural quotient map $q\colon U_\bullet \to V_\bullet$ is a weak equivalence in each simplicial degree. Consequently, we get a weak equivalence $|U_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\simeq} |V_\bullet|$. Similarly for $V_\bullet^\partial$.

Inside the mapping cylinder $|U_\bullet| \times I \cup_{|q|} |V_\bullet|$ we find $|U_\bullet| \times \{0\} \cup_0 |U_\bullet^\partial| \times I \cup_{|q^\partial|} |V_\bullet^\partial|$ lying as a deformation retract. The restriction of $\tilde{\phi}_1$ to $|U_\bullet^\partial|$ factors through $|V_\bullet^\partial|$ since it is the realization of the simplicial map $\phi^\partial\colon U_\bullet^\partial \to D(Z_\bullet)$ and $D(Z_\bullet)$ is a simplicial set. It follows that $\tilde{\phi}_1$ extends to a map

$$|U_\bullet| \times \{0\} \cup_0 |U_\bullet^\partial| \times I \cup_{|q^\partial|} |V_\bullet^\partial| \to |D(Z_\bullet)|$$

being constant in the $I$-coordinate. Using the deformation retraction, we extend to a map from the mapping cylinder of $q$,

$$|U_\bullet| \times I \cup_{|q|} |V_\bullet| \to |D(Z_\bullet)|.$$

Consequently we get a continuous map $\tilde{\phi}_2\colon |V_\bullet| \to |D(Z_\bullet)|$ whose restriction to $|V_\bullet^\partial|$ agrees with the realization of $\phi^\partial$ and such that there is a homotopy relative to $|U_\bullet^\partial|$ between $\tilde{\phi}_1$ and the composition

$$|U_\bullet| \xrightarrow{|q|} |V_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_2} |D(Z_\bullet)|.$$

The adjoint of $\tilde{\phi_2}$ fits into the following solid commutative diagram of simplicial sets,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V^{\partial}_{\bullet} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D(Z_{\bullet}) \arrow[d, "\eta"] \\
V_{\bullet} \arrow[r, "\phi_2"] \arrow[ur, dotted] & \operatorname{Sing}_{\bullet} |D(Z_{\bullet})|.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $Z_{\bullet}$ satisfies the topological Kan condition, we know that $D(Z_{\bullet})$ is a Kan complex by Theorem 4.4. It follows that $\eta$ is a weak equivalence between fibrant and cofibrant objects. It is well-known, see for example [17, Lemma 4.6], that under these circumstances the dotted map exists such that the upper triangle commutes, while the lower triangle commutes up to homotopy relative to $V^{\partial}_{\bullet}$. This implies that there is a simplicial map $\phi_3\colon V_{\bullet} \to D(Z_{\bullet})$ whose restriction to $V^{\partial}_{\bullet}$ is $\phi^{\partial}$ and such that there is a homotopy relative to $|U^{\partial}_{\bullet}|$ between $\tilde{\phi_2}$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

**Lemma 7.9** *Let $\mathcal{U}'$ be any ordered open cover of $D^n$. Then there exists a refinement $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{U}'$ together with a carrier function, such that $\mathcal{U}$ and its restriction to $S^{n-1}$ both are good covers and the pair $(|U_{\bullet}|, |U^{\partial}_{\bullet}|)$ is a relative CW-complex. Here $U_{\bullet}$ and $U^{\partial}_{\bullet}$ are the ordered Čech complexes associated to $\mathcal{U}$ and the restriction $\mathcal{U}^{\partial}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ to $S^{n-1}$.*

**Proof:** To prove the lemma we introduce *convex fragments of spherical shells.* We call a subset $V$ of $S^{n-1}$ *convex* if

i) $V$ contains no pair of antipodal point, and

ii) whenever $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ lies in $V$ then the shortest segment of the great arc between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ is completely contained in $V$.

We call a subset $U$ of $D^n$ a *convex fragment of a spherical shell* if there is a convex subset $V$ of $S^{n-1}$ and an interval $J \subseteq (0,1]$ such that

i) the set $U$ does not contain the center of $D^n$, and

ii) a point $\mathbf{x}$ in $D^n$ is contained in $U$ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{y} \in V$ and $r \in J$ such that $\mathbf{x} = r\mathbf{y}$.

A subset $U$ of $D^n$ is a ball if there exists an interval $J \subseteq [0,1]$ such that $0 \in J$ and $\mathbf{x}$ lies in $U$ if and only if $\|x\| \in J$. Observe that the intersection between a convex fragment of a spherical shell and either a ball or another convex fragment of a spherical shell is again a convex fragment of a spherical shell.

Given the ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}'$ we let $\mathcal{U}$ be an ordered open cover such that

i) each $U_{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{U}$ is either an open ball of radius less than 1 or a open convex fragment of a spherical shell, and

ii) each $U_\alpha$ in $\mathcal{U}$ is contained in some $U'_\beta$ in $\mathcal{U}'$.

The existence of such a cover $\mathcal{U}$ follows from the fact that the collection of all open balls and open convex fragments of spherical shells is a basis for the topology on $D^n$. Choose any carrier function $c\colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{I}'$ between the corresponding indexing sets.

Any non-empty finite intersection $U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k}$ of subsets in $\mathcal{U}$ is contractible since it is either a ball or a convex fragment of a spherical shell. Consequently $\mathcal{U}$ is a good cover. Moreover, the restriction of $\mathcal{U}$ to $S^{n-1}$ is a cover $\mathcal{U}^\partial$ consisting of open convex subsets. Hence $\mathcal{U}^\partial$ is also good. To check that $(|U_\bullet|, |U^\partial_\bullet|)$ is a relative CW complex it is enough to see that for each finite intersection the pair $(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k}, U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k} \cap S^{n-1})$ is a relative CW complex. Such a pair is either an open ball relative to the empty set or an open convex fragment of a spherical shell relative to an open convex subset of $S^{n-1}$. In both cases an argument using the technique of Theorem 7.6 shows that we indeed have a relative CW complex. This proves the lemma. $\square$

**Proposition 7.10** *Assume that* $Z_\bullet$ *is good and satisfies the topological Kan condition. Let* $\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}$ *be any* $T(Z_\bullet)$*-bundle over* $S^{n-1}$*. Then the map*

$$\eta_*\colon\ \mathrm{Con}_{T(Z_\bullet)}(D^n, S^{n-1}; \mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}) \to \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)}(D^n, S^{n-1}; \eta_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}})$$

*is surjective.*

**Proof:** Let $\mathcal{E}'$ be any $S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)$-bundle with $\mathcal{E}'|_{S^{n-1}} = \eta_*\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}$, and let $\mathcal{U}'$ be the ordered open cover associated to $\mathcal{E}'$. Use Lemma 7.9 to choose a refinement $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{U}'$. The carrier function $c\colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{I}'$ between the corresponding indexing sets induces simplicial maps $i\colon U_\bullet \to U'_\bullet$ and $i_\partial\colon U^\partial_\bullet \to U'^\partial_\bullet$ between the ordered Čech complexes of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$ and their restriction to $S^{n-1}$ respectively. Using $i$ and $i_\partial$ we change the associated cover and get a new $S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)$-bundle $i^*\mathcal{E}'$ and a new $T(Z_\bullet)$-bundle $i_\partial^*\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}$.

We claim that there exists a concordance of $S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)$-bundles between $\mathcal{E}'$ and $i^*\mathcal{E}'$ whose restriction to $S^{n-1}$ lifts to a concordance of $T(Z_\bullet)$-bundles between $\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}$ and $i_\partial^*\mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}$. Let $\phi'\colon U'_\bullet \to S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)$ be the simplicial map corresponding to $\mathcal{E}'$. By definition the composition $\phi' i$ corresponds to $i^*\mathcal{E}'$. To see the existence of these concordances it is sufficient to construct a new ordered open cover $\mathcal{U}''$ together with a simplicial map $\phi''\colon U''_\bullet \to Z_\bullet$ such that $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$ are subcovers and $\phi' i$ and $\phi'$ are the restrictions of $\phi''$. Let the indexing set $\mathcal{I}''$ of $\mathcal{U}''$ be the disjoint union $\mathcal{I} \amalg \mathcal{I}'$ and give $\mathcal{I}''$ the smallest partial ordering such that the inclusions of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}'$ are order preserving and $\alpha > \beta$ whenever $c(\alpha) = \beta$. We define $\mathcal{U}'' = \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{U}'$. Observe that $c$ extends to a carrier function $c''\colon \mathcal{I}'' \to \mathcal{I}'$ inducing a map $r\colon U''_\bullet \to U'_\bullet$ of the corresponding ordered Čech complexes such that $r$ is a retraction of $U'_\bullet \to U''_\bullet$. Define $\phi'' = \phi' r$. This proves the claim.

As a consequence of this claim it follows that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Con}_{T(Z_\bullet)}(D^n, S^{n-1}; i_\partial^* \mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}) \arrow[r, "②"] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)}(D^n, S^{n-1}; \eta_* i_\partial^* \mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
\mathrm{Con}_{T(Z_\bullet)}(D^n, S^{n-1}; \mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}) \arrow[r, "①"] & \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)}(D^n, S^{n-1}; \eta_* \mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps are gluing of concordances over $S^{n-1}$. These vertical maps are bijections by Lemma 6.15 and Proposition 6.16. Moreover, the class represented by $\mathcal{E}'$ corresponds to the class represented by $i^*\mathcal{E}'$. So it is enough to prove that $i^*\mathcal{E}'$ lies in the image of ②.

Since $\mathcal{U}^\partial$ is a good cover, Lemma 7.7 applies to the simplicial map $\phi_{S^{n-1}} i_\partial \colon U_\bullet^\partial \to T(Z_\bullet)$ associated to $i_\partial^* \mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}$. Thus we get a $D(Z_\bullet)$-bundle $\mathcal{E}^\partial$ over $S^{n-1}$ together with simplicial map $\Phi \colon U_\bullet^\partial \times I \to T(Z_\bullet)$ such that $\Phi(-,1) = \phi_{S^{n-1}} i_\partial$ and $\Phi(-,0) = \kappa\phi^\partial$, where $\phi^\partial \colon U_\bullet^\partial \to D(Z_\bullet)$ is associated to $\mathcal{E}^\partial$.

Let $\tilde{\phi}' \colon |U_\bullet| \to |T(Z_\bullet)|$ denote the adjoint of the simplicial map $\phi' i \colon U_\bullet \to S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)$ associated to $i^*\mathcal{E}'$. We see that the geometric realization of $\Phi$ match up with $\tilde{\phi}'$ and produce a continuous map

$$|U_\bullet^\partial| \times I \cup |U_\bullet| \times \{1\} \to |T(Z_\bullet)|.$$

Since $(|U_\bullet|, |U_\bullet^\partial|)$ is a relative CW complex it follows that the inclusion $|U_\bullet^\partial| \times I \cup |U_\bullet| \times \{1\} \hookrightarrow |U_\bullet| \times I$ is a trivial cofibration, and consequently we may extend the map above to a continuous map

$$\tilde{\Phi} \colon |U_\bullet| \times I \to |T(Z_\bullet)|.$$

The simplicial map $\Phi$ immediately gives a concordance of $T(Z_\bullet)$-bundles between $i_\partial^* \mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}$ and $\kappa_* \mathcal{E}^\partial$. Furthermore, the adjoint of $\tilde{\Phi}$ gives a concordance of $S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)$-bundles from $i^*\mathcal{E}'$ to a new $S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)$-bundle $\mathcal{E}''$. The restriction of the latter concordance to $S^{n-1}$ is the image of the first concordance under $\eta_*$. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}''$ has the following properties:

i) it is subordinate to the good cover $\mathcal{U}$,

ii) the restriction of $\mathcal{E}''$ to $S^{n-1}$ corresponds to a simplicial maps that factors as
$$U_\bullet^\partial \xrightarrow{\phi^\partial} D(Z) \xrightarrow{\eta\kappa} S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|).$$

Consequently, the gluing of these concordances gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Con}_{T(Z_\bullet)}(D^n, S^{n-1}; \kappa_* \mathcal{E}^\partial) \arrow[r, "③"] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)}(D^n, S^{n-1}; \eta_* \kappa_* \mathcal{E}^\partial) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
\mathrm{Con}_{T(Z_\bullet)}(D^n, S^{n-1}; i_\partial^* \mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}) \arrow[r, "②"] & \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)}(D^n, S^{n-1}; \eta_* i_\partial^* \mathcal{E}_{S^{n-1}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $i^*\mathcal{E}'$ in the lower left corner lifts to $\mathcal{E}''$ in the upper left corner.

Translating Lemma 7.8 into the language of $?_\bullet$-bundles we get a $D(Z_\bullet)$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $D^n$ whose restriction to $S^{n-1}$ equals $\mathcal{E}^\partial$, together with a concordance relative to $S^{n-1}$ between the $S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)$-bundles $\mathcal{E}''$ and $\eta_*\kappa_*\mathcal{E}$. Thus the class of $\mathcal{E}''$ is in the image of ③. This implies that the class of $\mathcal{E}'$ is in the image of ①, and we are done. □

**Corollary 7.11** *Assume that $Z_\bullet$ is good and satisfies the topological Kan condition. Let $A \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed cofibration such that $(X,A)$ has the homotopy type of a CW-pair. Let $\mathcal{E}_A$ be any $Z_\bullet$-bundle over $A$. Then the map*

$$\eta_*\colon\ \mathrm{Con}_{T(Z_\bullet)}(X,A;\mathcal{E}_A) \to \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(|T(Z_\bullet)|)}(X,A;\eta_*\mathcal{E}_A)$$

*is a bijection.*

**Proof:** This follows directly from the proposition above together with Proposition 6.18. □

We have now almost proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 7.12** *Assume that $X$ has the homotopy type of a CW-complex, and that $Z_\bullet$ is good. Then geometric realization induces a bijection $\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} [X,|Z_\bullet|]$.*

In some sense this theorem is related to [21, Proposition A.1.1]. Let us now explain how the our theory of concordances gives a proof:

**Proof:** By Theorem 6.31 there is a good simplicial space $\tilde{Z}_\bullet$ satisfying the topological Kan condition together with a simplicial map $q\colon Z_\bullet \to \tilde{Z}_\bullet$ inducing bijections of concordance classes and homotopy classes of maps. Now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Con}_{Z_\bullet}(X) \arrow[r, "①"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Con}_{\tilde{Z}_\bullet}(X) \arrow[r, "②"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Con}_{T(\tilde{Z}_\bullet)}(X) \arrow[d, "③"] \\
\mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(|Z_\bullet|)}(X) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(|\tilde{Z}_\bullet|)}(X) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Con}_{S_\bullet(|T(\tilde{Z}_\bullet)|)}(X) \\
\mathrm{Con}_{C_\bullet(|Z_\bullet|)}(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "④"] \arrow[d, "⑤"] & \mathrm{Con}_{C_\bullet(|\tilde{Z}_\bullet|)}(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "④"] \arrow[d, "⑤"] & \mathrm{Con}_{C_\bullet(|T(\tilde{Z}_\bullet)|)}(X) \arrow[u, "④"] \arrow[d, "⑤"] \\
{[X,|Z_\bullet|]} \arrow[r, "⑦"] & {[X,|\tilde{Z}_\bullet|]} \arrow[r, "⑥"] & {[X,|T(\tilde{Z}_\bullet)|].}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The maps ① and ⑦ are induced by $q$ and they are bijections by Theorem 6.31. Since the natural map $\tilde{Z}_\bullet \to T(\tilde{Z}_\bullet)$ is a homotopy equivalence in each simplicial degree, it follows from Theorem 6.25 that ② is a bijection. Moreover, the map ⑥ is a bijection for the same reason, see Lemma 7.5. By Corollary 7.11 the map ③ is a bijection. All maps marked ④ are bijections by Proposition 7.3, and all maps marked ⑤ are bijections by Proposition 7.2. Consequently, we get our natural bijection by composing these maps. □

Observe that we easily could generalize the theorem to a CW-complex $X$ relative to fixed data over some subcomplex $A$.

Of course we have the case $Z_\bullet = \Delta 2\mathcal{C}$ in mind, and when $2\mathcal{C}$ is a good topological 2-category the condition of Theorem 7.12 is satisfied. Thus we get a proof of the Theorem 1.1. Reasonable criteria implying that $2\mathcal{C}$ is good are provided by Theorem 5.6.

# References

[1] C. Ausoni, 'On the algebraic $K$-theory of the complex $K$-theory spectrum', preprint, 38 pages, 2006.

[2] N. A. Baas, B. I. Dundas, B. Richter and J. Rognes, 'Two-vector bundles define a form of elliptic cohomology', preprint, 2007; arXiv:math/0706.0531v1.

[3] N. A. Baas, B. I. Dundas and J. Rognes, 'Two-vector bundles and forms of elliptic cohomology', *Topology, geometry and quantum field theory* (ed U. Tillmann), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 308 (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004), pp. 18–45.

[4] J. C. Baez and A. S. Crans, 'Higher-dimensional algebra. VI. Lie 2-algebras', *Theory Appl. Categ.* 12 (2004) 492–538 (electronic).

[5] T. Beke, 'Higher Čech theory', *K-Theory* 32 (2004) 293–322.

[6] J. Bénabou, 'Introduction to bicategories', *Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 47, (Springer, Berlin, 1967), pp. 1–77.

[7] E. H. Brown, Jr. and R. H. Szczarba, 'Real and rational homotopy theory', *Handbook of algebraic topology* (ed I. M. James), (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995), pp. 867–915.

[8] M. Bullejos and A. M. Cegarra, 'On the geometry of 2-categories and their classifying spaces', *K-Theory* 29 (2003) 211–229.

[9] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen, 'Topological hypercovers and $\mathbb{A}^1$-realizations', *Math. Z.* 246 (2004) 667–689.

[10] J. W. Duskin, ‘Simplicial matrices and the nerves of weak $n$-categories. I. Nerves of bicategories’, *Theory Appl. Categ.* 9 (2001/02), 198–308 (electronic), CT2000 Conference (Como).

[11] W. G. Dwyer and J. Spaliński, ‘Homotopy theories and model categories’, *Handbook of algebraic topology* (ed I. M. James), (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995), pp. 73–126.

[12] E. Dyer and S. Eilenberg, ‘An adjunction theorem for locally equiconnected spaces’, *Pacific J. Math.* 41 (1972) 669–685.

[13] S. Galatius, ‘Stable homology of automorphism groups of free groups’, preprint, 2006; arXiv:math.AT/0610216.

[14] S. Galatius, I. Madsen, U. Tillmann and M. Weiss, ‘The homotopy type of the cobordism category’, preprint, 2006; arXiv:math/0605249v2.

[15] P. R. Heath, ‘A pullback theorem for locally-equiconnected spaces’, *Manuscripta Math.* 55 (1986) 233–237.

[16] P. S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003).

[17] J. F. Jardine, ‘Simplicial approximation’, *Theory Appl. Categ.* 12 (2004) 34–72 (electronic).

[18] M. M. Kapranov and V. A. Voevodsky, ‘2-categories and Zamolodchikov tetrahedra equations’, *Algebraic groups and their generalizations: quantum and infinite-dimensional methods*, University Park, PA, 1991, (eds W. J. Haboush and B. J. Parshall), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 56, (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994), pp. 177–259.

[19] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics 5, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998).

[20] I. Madsen, ‘Algebraic $K$-theory and traces’, *Current developments in mathematics, 1995*, Cambridge, MA, (eds R. Bott, M. Hopkins, A. Jaffe, I. Singer, D. Stroock and S.-T. Yau), (Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994), pp. 191–321.

[21] I. Madsen and M. Weiss, ‘The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford’s conjecture’, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 165 (2007) 843–941.

[22] M. K. Murray and D. Stevenson, ‘Bundle gerbes: stable isomorphism and local theory’, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 62 (2000) 925–937.

[23] D. Quillen, ‘Higher algebraic $K$-theory. I’, *Algebraic $K$-theory, I: Higher $K$-theories*, Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972, (ed H. Bass), Lecture Notes in Math. 341, (Springer, Berlin, 1973), pp. 85–147.

[24] C. Rezk, S. Schwede and B. Shipley, 'Simplicial structures on model categories and functors', *Amer. J. Math.* 123 (2001) 551–575.

[25] G. Segal, 'Classifying spaces and spectral sequences', *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 34 (1968) 105–112.

[26] G. Segal, 'Categories and cohomology theories', *Topology* 13 (1974) 293–312.

[27] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991); Corrected reprint of the 1966 original.

[28] R. Street, 'The algebra of oriented simplexes', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 49 (1987) 283–335.

[29] R. Street, 'Categorical structures', *Handbook of algebra*, Vol. 1, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1996), pp. 529–577.

[30] A. Strøm, 'The homotopy category is a homotopy category', *Arch. Math.* (Basel) 23 (1972) 435–441.

[31] U. Tillmann, 'On the homotopy of the stable mapping class group', *Invent. Math.* 130 (1997) 257–275.

[32] M. Weiss, 'What does the classifying space of a category classify ?', *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* 7 (2005) 185–195; http://intlpress.com/HHA.

[33] H. Whitney, 'Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 36 (1934) 63–89.

[34] J. Wirth and J. Stasheff, 'Homotopy Transition Cocycles', preprint, 2006, arXiv:math.AT/0609220.